

JOURNÉES ARITHMÉTIQUES, 4 JULY 2023

ZERO-DENSITY ESTIMATES FOR BEURLING GENERALIZED NUMBERS

Frederik Broucke — fabrouck.broucke@ugent.be

BEURLING GENERALIZED PRIMES

Beurling's question: minimum requirements for proving the PNT?

Abstract setting: generalized primes and integers.

BEURLING GENERALIZED PRIMES

Beurling's question: minimum requirements for proving the PNT?

Abstract setting: generalized primes and integers.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} &= (p_j)_{j \geq 1}, & 1 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots, & & p_j \rightarrow \infty; \\ \mathcal{N} &= (n_k)_{k \geq 0}, & 1 = n_0 < n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots, & & n_k = p_1^{\nu_1} \cdots p_j^{\nu_j}.\end{aligned}$$

BEURLING GENERALIZED PRIMES

Beurling's question: minimum requirements for proving the PNT?

Abstract setting: generalized primes and integers.

$$\mathcal{P} = (p_j)_{j \geq 1}, \quad 1 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots, \quad p_j \rightarrow \infty;$$

$$\mathcal{N} = (n_k)_{k \geq 0}, \quad 1 = n_0 < n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots, \quad n_k = p_1^{\nu_1} \cdots p_j^{\nu_j}.$$

Counting functions:

$$\pi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \#\{p_j \leq x\}, \quad N_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \#\{n_k \leq x\}.$$

BEURLING GENERALIZED PRIMES

Beurling's question: minimum requirements for proving the PNT?

Abstract setting: generalized primes and integers.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} &= (p_j)_{j \geq 1}, & 1 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots, & & p_j \rightarrow \infty; \\ \mathcal{N} &= (n_k)_{k \geq 0}, & 1 = n_0 < n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots, & & n_k = p_1^{\nu_1} \cdots p_j^{\nu_j}.\end{aligned}$$

Counting functions:

$$\pi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \#\{p_j \leq x\}, \quad N_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \#\{n_k \leq x\}.$$

Chebyshev function:

$$\psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \sum_{p_j^{\nu} \leq x} \log p_j.$$

EXAMPLES

- $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N}) = (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{N}_{>0})$, the classical primes and integers.

$$\pi_{\mathbb{P}}(x) = \pi(x), \quad N_{\mathbb{P}}(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor.$$

EXAMPLES

- $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N}) = (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{N}_{>0})$, the classical primes and integers.

$$\pi_{\mathbb{P}}(x) = \pi(x), \quad N_{\mathbb{P}}(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor.$$

- \mathcal{O}_K the ring of integers of a number field K .

$$\mathcal{P} = (|P|, P \trianglelefteq \mathcal{O}_K, P \text{ prime ideal}),$$

$$\mathcal{N} = (|I|, I \trianglelefteq \mathcal{O}_K, I \text{ integral ideal}).$$

$$\pi_{\mathcal{O}_K}(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}, \quad N_{\mathcal{O}_K}(x) = \rho_K x + O(x^{1-\frac{2}{d+1}}).$$

THE LANDAU-DLVP PNT

We assume

$$N_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = Ax + O(x^{\theta}), \quad \text{some } A > 0 \text{ and } \theta \in [0, 1). \quad (1)$$

THE LANDAU-DLVP PNT

We assume

$$N_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = Ax + O(x^{\theta}), \quad \text{some } A > 0 \text{ and } \theta \in [0, 1). \quad (1)$$

Theorem (Landau prime ideal theorem)

Under the above assumption, there is a constant $c = c(\theta) > 0$ such that

$$\pi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \text{Li}(x) + O(x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})).$$

THE LANDAU-DLVP PNT

We assume

$$N_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = Ax + O(x^{\theta}), \quad \text{some } A > 0 \text{ and } \theta \in [0, 1). \quad (1)$$

Theorem (Landau prime ideal theorem)

Under the above assumption, there is a constant $c = c(\theta) > 0$ such that

$$\pi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \text{Li}(x) + O(x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})).$$

Theorem (Diamond, Montgomery, Vorhauer, 2006)

For $\theta \in (1/2, 1)$, there exist systems $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$ with

$$N_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = Ax + O(x^{\theta}) \text{ and}$$

$$\pi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \text{Li}(x) + \Omega(x \exp(-c'\sqrt{\log x}))$$

BEURLING ζ

Beurling zeta function associated with $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$:

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{n_j} \frac{1}{n_j^{\mathbf{s}}}.$$

BEURLING ζ

Beurling zeta function associated with $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$:

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s) = \sum_{n_j} \frac{1}{n_j^s}.$$

Under (1), $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s) - \frac{A}{s-1}$ has analytic continuation to $\operatorname{Re} s > \theta$,

BEURLING ζ

Beurling zeta function associated with $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$:

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s) = \sum_{n_j} \frac{1}{n_j^s}.$$

Under (1), $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s) - \frac{A}{s-1}$ has analytic continuation to $\operatorname{Re} s > \theta$, and no zeros in the region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{d}{\log(|t| + 2)}.$$

BEURLING ζ

Beurling zeta function associated with $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$:

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s) = \sum_{n_j} \frac{1}{n_j^s}.$$

Under (1), $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s) - \frac{A}{s-1}$ has analytic continuation to $\operatorname{Re} s > \theta$, and no zeros in the region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{d}{\log(|t| + 2)}.$$

How many zeros can $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$ have?

NUMBER OF ZEROS OF $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$

Lemma (Révész, '21)

Suppose (1) holds. For each $T > 2$, $\alpha > \theta$, the number of zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$ satisfying $\beta \in [\alpha, 1]$, $|\gamma| \in [T, T + 1]$, is $O_{\alpha}(\log T)$.

NUMBER OF ZEROS OF $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$

Lemma (Révész, '21)

Suppose (1) holds. For each $T > 2$, $\alpha > \theta$, the number of zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$ satisfying $\beta \in [\alpha, 1]$, $|\gamma| \in [T, T+1]$, is $O_{\alpha}(\log T)$.

For $\alpha \in (\theta, 1]$, $T > 2$ denote

$$N(\alpha, T) := \#\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma : \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\rho) = 0, \beta \geq \alpha, |\gamma| \leq T\}.$$

NUMBER OF ZEROS OF $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$

Lemma (Révész, '21)

Suppose (1) holds. For each $T > 2$, $\alpha > \theta$, the number of zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$ satisfying $\beta \in [\alpha, 1]$, $|\gamma| \in [T, T+1]$, is $O_{\alpha}(\log T)$.

For $\alpha \in (\theta, 1]$, $T > 2$ denote

$$N(\alpha, T) := \#\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma : \zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\rho) = 0, \beta \geq \alpha, |\gamma| \leq T\}.$$

Theorem (Révész, '22)

Suppose (1) holds. Suppose additionally that $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ for every $n_k \in \mathcal{N}$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$N(\alpha, T) \ll T^{(6-2\theta)\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\theta} + \varepsilon}.$$

ZERO-DENSITY ESTIMATE

Express α as convex combination of 1 and θ : $\alpha = (1 - \mu)\theta + \mu$.

We also set

$$c(\mu) := \frac{4\mu}{2\mu^2 - 3\mu + 2}.$$

ZERO-DENSITY ESTIMATE

Express α as convex combination of 1 and θ : $\alpha = (1 - \mu)\theta + \mu$.

We also set

$$c(\mu) := \frac{4\mu}{2\mu^2 - 3\mu + 2}.$$

Theorem (B., Debruyne, '23)

Suppose (1) holds. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ there exists a $T_0 = T_0(\varepsilon, \delta)$ such that, uniformly for $T \geq T_0$, $\mu \geq 2/3 + \delta$,

$$N(\alpha, T) = N((1 - \mu)\theta + \mu, T) \ll T^{(c(\mu)+\varepsilon)(1-\mu)} (\log T)^9.$$

PNT IN SHORT INTERVALS

PNT in short intervals holds if there is a $\lambda < 1$ such that

$$\psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x+h) - \psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) \sim h, \quad \text{for } h \gg x^\lambda, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

PNT IN SHORT INTERVALS

PNT in short intervals holds if there is a $\lambda < 1$ such that

$$\psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x+h) - \psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) \sim h, \quad \text{for } h \gg x^\lambda, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

For classical primes, based on Ingham–Huxley zero density estimate:

$$\psi_{\mathbb{P}}(x+h) - \psi_{\mathbb{P}}(x) \sim h, \quad \text{for } h \gg x^\lambda, \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

whenever $\lambda > 7/12$.

PNT IN SHORT INTERVALS

PNT in short intervals holds if there is a $\lambda < 1$ such that

$$\psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x+h) - \psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) \sim h, \quad \text{for } h \gg x^\lambda, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

For classical primes, based on Ingham–Huxley zero density estimate:

$$\psi_{\mathbb{P}}(x+h) - \psi_{\mathbb{P}}(x) \sim h, \quad \text{for } h \gg x^\lambda, \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

whenever $\lambda > 7/12$.

Can we obtain PNT in short intervals for any system $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$ satisfying (1)?

PNT IN SHORT INTERVALS

Theorem (B., Debruyne, '23)

Suppose (1) holds. If for some $d > 0$ $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$ has no zeros for

$$\sigma > 1 - d \frac{\log_2 |t|}{\log |t|}, \quad t > t_0,$$

and if for some $c > 0$, $L > 0$, $b \in (\theta, 1)$ we have

$$N(\alpha, T) \ll T^{c(1-\alpha)} (\log T)^L, \quad \text{for } b \leq \alpha \leq 1,$$

then $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x+h) - \psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) \sim h$ for $h \gg x^\lambda$ whenever

$$\lambda > \max \left\{ b, 1 - \frac{d}{cd + L} \right\}.$$

COUNTEREXAMPLE

Proposition (B., Debruyne, '23)

For every $\theta \in (1/2, 1)$, there exists a system satisfying (1) such that for every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, there exist sequences $(x_K)_K, (h_K)_K, h_K \asymp x_K^\lambda$ such that

$$\limsup_{K \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x_K + h_K) - \psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x_K)}{h_K} > 1,$$
$$\liminf_{K \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x_K + h_K) - \psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x_K)}{h_K} < 1.$$

CHEBYSHEV BOUNDS IN SHORT INTERVALS

Suppose (1) holds. If for some $d > 0$ $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$ has no zeros for

$$\sigma > 1 - \frac{d}{\log |t|}, \quad t > t_0,$$

and if for some $c > 0$, $K > 0$, $b \in (\theta, 1)$ we have

$$N(\alpha, T) \leq KT^{c(1-\alpha)}, \quad \text{for } b \leq \alpha \leq 1,$$

then $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x+h) - \psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) \asymp h$ for $h \gg x^\lambda$ whenever $\lambda > \lambda_0(d, c, K, b)$.

CHEBYSHEV BOUNDS IN SHORT INTERVALS

Suppose (1) holds. If for some $d > 0$ $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s)$ has no zeros for

$$\sigma > 1 - \frac{d}{\log |t|}, \quad t > t_0,$$

and if for some $c > 0$, $K > 0$, $b \in (\theta, 1)$ we have

$$N(\alpha, T) \leq KT^{c(1-\alpha)}, \quad \text{for } b \leq \alpha \leq 1,$$

then $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x+h) - \psi_{\mathcal{P}}(x) \asymp h$ for $h \gg x^\lambda$ whenever $\lambda > \lambda_0(d, c, K, b)$.

Questions:

Does a log-free zero-density estimate always hold under (1)?

Do Chebyshev bounds in short intervals always hold under (1)?

REFERENCES

- H. G. Diamond, W.-B. Zhang, *Beurling generalized numbers*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs series, AMS, Providence, RI, 2016.
- Sz. Gy. Révész, *Density theorems for the Beurling zeta function*, *Mathematika* **68** (2022), 1045–1072.
- F. Broucke, G. Debruyne, *On zero-density estimates and the PNT in short intervals for Beurling generalized numbers*, *Acta Arith.* **207** (2023), 365–391.

QUESTIONS?