# NONDEGENERACY OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS TO NONLINEAR HARDY-SOBOLEV EQUATIONS

#### FRÉDÉRIC ROBERT

ABSTRACT. In this note, we prove that the kernel of the linearized equation around a positive energy solution in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , to  $-\Delta W - \gamma |x|^{-2}V =$  $|x|^{-s}W^{2^*(s)-1}$  is one-dimensional when  $s + \gamma > 0$ . Here,  $s \in [0,2), 0 \leq \gamma < (n-2)^2/4$  and  $2^*(s) = 2(n-s)/(n-2)$ .

We fix  $n \ge 3$ ,  $s \in [0,2)$  and  $\gamma < \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}$ . We define  $2^*(s) = 2(n-s)/(n-2)$ . We consider a nonnegative solution  $W \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}$  to

(1) 
$$-\Delta W - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2} W = \frac{W^{2^\star(s)-1}}{|x|^s} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Due to the abundance of solutions to (1), we require in addition that W is an energy solution, that is  $W \in D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the completion of  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for the norm  $u \mapsto ||\nabla u||_2$ . Linearizing (1) yields to consider

$$K := \left\{ \varphi \in D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) / -\Delta \varphi - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2} \varphi = (2^*(s) - 1) \frac{W^{2^*(s)-2}}{|x|^s} \varphi \text{ in } D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$$

Equation (1) is conformally invariant in the following sense: for any r > 0, define

$$W_r(x) := r^{\frac{n-2}{2}} W(rx) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},\$$

then, as one checks,  $W_r \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  is also a solution to (1), and, differentiating with respect to r at r = 1, we get that

$$-\Delta Z - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2} Z = (2^*(s) - 1) \frac{W^{2^*(s)-2}}{|x|^s} Z \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},\$$

where

$$Z := \frac{d}{dr} W_r|_{r=1} = \sum_i x^i \partial_i W + \frac{n-2}{2} W \in D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Therefore,  $Z \in K$ . We prove that this is essentially the only element:

**Theorem 0.1.** We assume that  $\gamma \geq 0$  and that  $\gamma + s > 0$ . Then  $K = \mathbb{R}Z$ . In other words, K is one-dimensional.

Such a result is useful when performing Liapunov-Schmidt's finite dimensional reduction. When  $\gamma = s = 0$ , the equation (1) is also invariant under the translations  $x \mapsto W(x - x_0)$  for any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and the kernel K is of dimension n + 1 (see Rey [6] and also Bianchi-Egnell [1]). After this note was completed, we learnt that Dancer-Gladiali-Grossi [4] proved Theorem 0.1 in the case s = 0, and that their proof can be extended to our case, see also Gladiali-Grossi-Neves [5].

Date: December 29th 2016.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J20, 35J60, 35J75.

### FRÉDÉRIC ROBERT

This note is devoted to the proof of Theorem 0.1. Since  $\gamma + s > 0$ , it follows from Chou-Chu [3], that there exists r > 0 such that  $W = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2^*(s)-2}} U_r$ , where

$$U(x) := \left( |x|^{\frac{2-s}{n-2}\alpha_{-}(\gamma)} + |x|^{\frac{2-s}{n-2}\alpha_{+}(\gamma)} \right)^{-\frac{n-2}{2-s}}.$$

with

$$\epsilon := \sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} - \gamma} \text{ and } \alpha_{\pm}(\gamma) := \frac{n-2}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} - \gamma}.$$

As one checks,  $U \in D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  and

(2) 
$$-\Delta U - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2}U = \lambda \frac{U^{2^{\gamma}(s)-1}}{|x|^s} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \text{ with } \lambda := 4\frac{n-s}{n-2}\epsilon^2.$$

Therefore, proving Theorem 0.1 reduces to prove that  $\tilde{K}$  is one-dimensional, where

$$\tilde{K} := \left\{ \varphi \in D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) / -\Delta \varphi - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2} \varphi = (2^*(s) - 1)\lambda \frac{U^{2^*(s) - 2}}{|x|^s} \varphi \text{ in } D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$$

### I. Conformal transformation.

We let  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n / \sum x_i^2 = 1\}$  be the standard (n-1)-dimensional sphere of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We endow it with its canonical metric can. We define

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} \Phi: & \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{n-1} & \mapsto & \mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\} \\ & & (t,\sigma) & \mapsto & e^{-t}\sigma \end{array} \right.$$

The map  $\Phi$  is a smooth conformal diffeomorphism and  $\Phi^* \operatorname{Eucl} = e^{-2t}(dt^2 + \operatorname{can})$ . On any Riemannian manifold (M, g), we define the conformal Laplacian as  $L_g := -\Delta_g + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}R_g$  where  $\Delta_g := \operatorname{div}_g(\nabla)$  and  $R_g$  is the scalar curvature. The conformal invariance of the Laplacian reads as follows: for a metric  $g' = e^{2\omega}g$  conformal to g ( $\omega \in C^{\infty}(M)$ ), we have that  $L_{g'}u = e^{-\frac{n+2}{2}\omega}L_g(e^{\frac{n-2}{2}\omega}u)$  for all  $u \in C^{\infty}(M)$ . It follows from this invariance that for any  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , we have that

$$(-\Delta u) \circ \Phi(t,\sigma) = e^{\frac{n+2}{2}t} \left( -\partial_{tt}\hat{u} - \Delta_{\operatorname{can}}\hat{u} + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\hat{u} \right) (t,\sigma)$$

for all  $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , where  $\hat{u}(t, \sigma) := e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}t}u(e^{-t}\sigma)$  for all  $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . In addition, as one checks, for any  $u, v \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla u, \nabla v) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left( \partial_t \hat{u} \partial_t \hat{v} + (\nabla' \hat{u}, \nabla' \hat{v})_{\operatorname{can}} + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \hat{u} \hat{v} \right) \, dt \, d\sigma$$
  
(3) :=  $B(\hat{u}, \hat{v})$ 

where we have denoted  $\nabla' \hat{u}$  as the gradient on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  with respect to the  $\sigma$  coordinate. We define the space H as the completion of  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_H := \sqrt{B(\cdot, \cdot)}$ . As one checks,  $u \mapsto \hat{u}$  extends to a bijective isometry  $D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to H$ .

The Hardy-Sobolev inequality asserts the existence of  $K(n, s, \gamma) > 0$  such that  $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)}}{|x|^s} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{\star}(s)}} \leq K(n, s, \gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2}u^2\right) dx$  for all  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . Via the isometry  $D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq H$ , this inequality rewrites

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |v|^{2^{\star}(s)} dt d\sigma\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{\star}(s)}} \leq K(n,s,\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left( (\partial_t v)^2 + |\nabla' v|_{\operatorname{can}}^2 + \epsilon^2 v^2 \right) dt d\sigma,$$
for all  $v \in H$ . In particular,  $v \in L^{2^{\star}(s)}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  for all  $v \in H$ .

for all  $v \in H$ . In particular,  $v \in L^{2^{\star}(s)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  for all  $v \in H$ .

We define  $H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$  (resp.  $H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ ) as the completion of  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  (resp.  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ ) for the norm

$$u \mapsto \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\dot{u}^2 + u^2) \, dx} \left( \text{resp. } u \mapsto \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (|\nabla' u|_{\text{can}}^2 + u^2) \, d\sigma} \right).$$

Each norm arises from a Hilbert inner product. For any  $(\varphi, Y) \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \times C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , define  $\varphi \star Y \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  by  $(\varphi \star Y)(t, \sigma) := \varphi(t)Y(\sigma)$  for all  $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . As one checks, there exists C > 0 such that

(4) 
$$\|\varphi \star Y\|_{H} \le C \|\varphi\|_{H^{2}_{1}(\mathbb{R})} \|Y\|_{H^{2}_{1}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}$$

for all  $(\varphi, Y) \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \times C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . Therefore, the operator extends continuously from  $H_1^2(\mathbb{R}) \times H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  to H, such that (4) holds for all  $(\varphi, Y) \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R}) \times H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ .

**Lemma 1.** We fix  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  and  $Y \in H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . We define

$$u_Y(t) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} u(t,\sigma) Y(\sigma) \, d\sigma = \langle u(t,\cdot), Y \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then  $u_Y \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, this definition extends continuously to  $u \in H$  and there exists C > 0 such that

$$||u_Y||_{H^2_1(\mathbb{R})} \le C ||u||_H ||Y||_{H^2_1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}$$
 for all  $(u, Y) \in H \times H^2_1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ 

Proof of Lemma 1: We let  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ ,  $Y \in H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  and  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ . Fubini's theorem yields:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \partial_t u_Y \partial_t \varphi + u_Y \varphi \right) \, dt = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left( \partial_t u \partial_t (\varphi \star Y) + u \cdot (\varphi \star Y) \right) \, dt d\sigma$$

Taking  $\varphi := u_Y$ , the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_Y\|_{H^2_1(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &\leq \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left( (\partial_t u)^2 + u^2 \right) dt d\sigma} \times \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left( (\partial_t (u_Y \star Y))^2 + (u_Y \star Y)^2 \right) dt d\sigma} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_H \|u_Y \star Y\|_H \leq C \|u\|_H \|u_Y\|_{H^2_1(\mathbb{R})} \|Y\|_{H^2_1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

and then  $||u_Y||_{H^2_1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C ||u||_H ||Y||_{H^2_1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}$ . The extension follows from density.  $\Box$ 

II. Transformation of the problem. We let  $\varphi \in \tilde{K}$ , that is

$$-\Delta \varphi - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2} \varphi = (2^*(s) - 1)\lambda \frac{U^{2^*(s)-2}}{|x|^s} \varphi \text{ weakly in } D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Since  $U \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , elliptic regularity yields  $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . Moreover, the correspondence (3) yields

(5) 
$$-\partial_{tt}\hat{\varphi} - \Delta_{\operatorname{can}}\hat{\varphi} + \epsilon^2\hat{\varphi} = (2^*(s) - 1)\lambda\hat{U}^{2^*(s) - 2}\hat{\varphi}$$

weakly in H. Note that since  $\hat{\varphi}, \hat{U} \in H$  and H is continuously embedded in  $L^{2^{\star}(s)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , this formulation makes sense. Since  $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , we get that  $\hat{\varphi} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \cap H$  and equation (5) makes sense strongly in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . As one checks, we have that

$$\hat{U}(t,\sigma) = \left(e^{\frac{2-s}{n-2}\epsilon t} + e^{-\frac{2-s}{n-2}\epsilon t}\right)^{-\frac{n-2}{2-s}} \text{ for all } (t,\sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

In the sequel, we will write  $\hat{U}(t)$  for  $\hat{U}(t,\sigma)$  for  $(t,\sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

The eigenvalues of  $-\Delta_{\operatorname{can}}$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  are

$$0 = \mu_0 < n - 1 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots$$

We let  $\mu \geq 0$  be an eigenvalue for  $-\Delta_{\operatorname{can}}$  and we let  $Y = Y_{\mu} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  be a corresponding eigenfunction, that is

$$-\Delta_{\operatorname{can}} Y = \mu Y$$
 in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

We fix  $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  so that  $\psi \star Y \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . Multiplying (5) by  $\psi \star Y$ , integrating by parts and using Fubini's theorem yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \partial_t \hat{\varphi}_Y \partial_t \psi + (\mu + \epsilon^2) \hat{\varphi}_Y \psi \right) \, dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2^*(s) - 1) \lambda \hat{U}^{2^*(s) - 2} \hat{\varphi}_Y \psi \, dt,$$

where  $\hat{\varphi}_Y \in H^2_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$A_{\mu}\hat{\varphi}_{Y} = 0$$
 with  $A_{\mu} := -\partial_{tt} + (\mu + \epsilon^{2} - (2^{\star}(s) - 1)\lambda \hat{U}^{2^{\star}(s) - 2})$ 

where this identity holds both in the classical sense and in the weak  $H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$  sense. We claim that

(6) 
$$\hat{\varphi}_Y \equiv 0$$
 for all eigenfunction Y of  $\mu \ge n-1$ 

We prove the claim by taking inspiration from Chang-Gustafson-Nakanishi ([2], Lemma 2.1). Differentiating (2) with respect to i = 1, ..., n, we get that

$$-\Delta \partial_i U - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2} \partial_i U - (2^*(s) - 1)\lambda \frac{U^{2^*(s)-2}}{|x|^s} \partial_i U = -\left(\frac{2\gamma}{|x|^4}U + \frac{s\lambda}{|x|^{s+2}}U^{2^*(s)-1}\right) x_i$$

On  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , this equation reads

$$-\partial_{tt}\partial_{\hat{i}}\hat{U} - \Delta_{\operatorname{Can}}\partial_{\hat{i}}\hat{U} + \left(\epsilon^{2} - (2^{\star}(s) - 1)\lambda\hat{U}^{2^{\star}(s) - 2}\right)\partial_{\hat{i}}\hat{U} = -\sigma_{i}e^{t}\left(2\gamma\hat{U} + s\lambda\hat{U}^{2^{\star}(s) - 1}\right)$$

Note that  $\hat{\partial_i U} = -V \star \sigma_i$ , where  $\sigma_i : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$  is the projection on the  $x_i$ 's and

$$V(t) := -e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}t}U'(e^{-t}) = e^{(1+\epsilon)t} \left(\alpha_+(\gamma) + \alpha_-(\gamma)e^{2\frac{2-s}{n-2}\epsilon t}\right) \left(1 + e^{2\frac{2-s}{n-2}\epsilon t}\right)^{-\frac{n-s}{2-s}} > 0$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $-\Delta_{\operatorname{can}}\sigma_i = (n-1)\sigma_i$  (the  $\sigma_i$ 's form a basis of the second eigenspace of  $-\Delta_{\operatorname{can}}$ ), we then get that

$$A_{\mu}V \ge A_{n-1}V = e^t \left(2\gamma \hat{U} + s\lambda \hat{U}^{2^*(s)-1}\right) > 0 \text{ for all } \mu \ge n-1 \text{ and } V > 0.$$

Note that for  $\gamma > 0$ , we have that  $\alpha_{-}(\gamma) > 0$ , and that for  $\gamma = 0$ , we have that  $\alpha_{-}(\gamma) = 0$ . As one checks, we have that

(i) 
$$\left\{ (\gamma > 0 \text{ and } \epsilon > 1) \text{ or } \left( \gamma = 0 \text{ and } s < \frac{n}{2} \right) \right\} \Rightarrow V \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$$
  
(ii)  $\left\{ (\gamma > 0 \text{ and } \epsilon \le 1) \text{ or } \left( \gamma = 0 \text{ and } s \ge \frac{n}{2} \right) \right\} \Rightarrow V \notin L^2((0, +\infty))$ 

Assume that case (i) holds: in this case,  $V \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$  is a distributional solution to  $A_{\mu}V > 0$  in  $H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ . We define  $m := \inf\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi A_{\mu}\varphi dt\}$ , where the infimum is taken on  $\varphi \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\|\varphi\|_2 = 1$ . We claim that m > 0. Otherwise, it follows from Lemma 3 below that the infimum is achieved, say by  $\varphi_0 \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$  that is a weak solution to  $A_{\mu}\varphi_0 = m\varphi_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $|\varphi_0|$  is also a minimizer, and due to the comparison principle, we can assume that  $\varphi_0 > 0$ . Using the self-adjointness of  $A_{\mu}$ , we get that  $0 \ge m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_0 V dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (A_{\mu}\varphi_0) V dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (A_{\mu}V)\varphi_0 dt > 0$ , which is a

contradiction. Then m > 0. Since  $A_{\mu}\varphi_{Y} = 0$ , we then get that  $\varphi_{Y} \equiv 0$  as soon as  $\mu \ge n-1$ . This ends case (i).

Assume that case (ii) holds: we assume that  $\varphi_Y \neq 0$ . It follows from Lemma 4 that  $V(t) = o(e^{-\alpha|t|})$  as  $t \to -\infty$  for all  $0 < \alpha < \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + n - 1}$ . As one checks with the explicit expression of V, this is a contradiction when  $\epsilon < \frac{n-2}{2}$ , that is when  $\gamma > 0$ . Then we have that  $\gamma = 0$  and  $\epsilon = \frac{n-2}{2}$ . Since  $\frac{n}{2} \leq s < 2$ , we have that n = 3. As one checks,  $(\mu + \epsilon^2 - (2^*(s) - 1)\lambda \hat{U}^{2^*(s)-2}) > 0$  for  $\mu \geq n - 1$  as soon as n = 3 and  $s \geq 3/2$ . Lemma 4 yields  $\varphi_Y \equiv 0$ , a contradiction. So  $\varphi_Y \equiv 0$ , this ends case (ii).

These steps above prove (6). Then, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\hat{\varphi}(t, \cdot)$  is orthogonal to the eigenspaces of  $\mu_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , so it is in the eigenspace of  $\mu_0 = 0$  spanned by 1, and therefore  $\hat{\varphi} = \hat{\varphi}(t)$  is independent of  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Then

$$-\hat{\varphi}'' + (\epsilon^2 - (2^{\star}(s) - 1)\lambda \hat{U}^{2^{\star}(s)-2})\hat{\varphi} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \hat{\varphi} \in H^2_1(\mathbb{R}).$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that the space of such functions is at most one-dimensional. Going back to  $\varphi$ , we get that  $\tilde{K}$  is of dimension at most one, and then so is K. Since  $Z \in K$ , then K is one dimensional and  $K = \mathbb{R}Z$ . This proves Theorem 0.1.

## III. Auxiliary lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $q \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

 $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \{ \varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^2_1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } - \ddot{\varphi} + q\varphi = 0 \} \leq 1.$ 

Proof of Lemma 2: Let F be this space. Fix  $\varphi, \psi \in F \setminus \{0\}$ : we prove that they are linearly dependent. Define the Wronskian  $W := \varphi \dot{\psi} - \dot{\varphi} \psi$ . As one checks,  $\dot{W} = 0$ , so W is constant. Since  $\varphi, \dot{\varphi}, \psi, \dot{\psi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $W \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and then  $W \equiv 0$ . Therefore, there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(\psi(0), \dot{\psi}(0)) = \lambda(\varphi(0), \dot{\varphi}(0))$ , and then, classical ODE theory yields  $\psi = \lambda \varphi$ . Then F is of dimension at most one.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $q \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$  be such that there exists A > 0 such that  $\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} q(t) = A$ , and define

$$m := \inf_{\varphi \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\dot{\varphi}^2 + q\varphi^2\right) dt}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^2 dt}$$

Then either m > 0, or the infimum is achieved.

Note that in the case  $q(t) \equiv A$ , m = A and the infimum is not achieved. Proof of Lemma 3: As one checks,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  is well-defined. We let  $(\varphi_i)_i \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$  be a minimizing sequence such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_i^2 dt = 1$  for all i, that is  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\dot{\varphi}_i^2 + q\varphi_i^2) dt = m + o(1)$  as  $i \to +\infty$ . Then  $(\varphi_i)_i$  is bounded in  $H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and, up to a subsequence, there exists  $\varphi \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\varphi_i \rightharpoonup \varphi$  weakly in  $H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi$  strongly in  $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  as  $i \to +\infty$ . We define  $\theta_i := \varphi_i - \varphi$ . Since  $\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} (q(t) - A) = 0$  and  $(\theta_i)_i$  goes to 0 strongly in  $L^2_{loc}$ , we get that  $\lim_{i \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (q(t) - A) \theta_i^2 dt = 0$ . Using the weak convergence to 0 and that  $(\varphi_i)_i$  is minimizing, we get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \dot{\varphi}^2 + q\varphi^2 \right) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \dot{\theta}_i^2 + A\theta_i^2 \right) dt = m + o(1) \text{ as } i \to +\infty.$$

Since  $1 - \|\varphi\|_2^2 = \|\theta_i\|_2^2 + o(1)$  as  $i \to +\infty$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\dot{\varphi}^2 + q\varphi^2\right) dt \ge m\|\varphi\|_2^2$ , we get

$$m\|\theta_i\|_2^2 \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\dot{\theta}_i^2 + A\theta_i^2\right) dt + o(1) \text{ as } i \to +\infty$$

If  $m \leq 0$ , then  $\theta_i \to 0$  strongly in  $H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and then  $(\varphi_i)_i$  goes strongly to  $\varphi \neq 0$  in  $H_1^2$ , and  $\varphi$  is a minimizer for m. This proves the lemma.  $\Box$ 

### FRÉDÉRIC ROBERT

**Lemma 4.** Let  $q \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$  be such that there exists A > 0 such that  $\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} q(t) = A$  and q is even. We let  $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  be such that  $-\ddot{\varphi} + q\varphi = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi \in H^2_1(\mathbb{R})$ .

- If  $q \ge 0$ , then  $\varphi \equiv 0$ .
- We assume that there exists V ∈ C<sup>2</sup>(ℝ) such that
   -V̈ + qV > 0, V > 0 and V ∉ L<sup>2</sup>((0, +∞)).
   Then either φ ≡ 0 or V(t) = o(e<sup>-α|t|</sup>) as t → -∞ for all 0 < α < √A.</li>

Proof of Lemma 4: We assume that  $\varphi \neq 0$ . We first assume that  $q \geq 0$ . By studying the monotonicity of  $\varphi$  between two consecutive zeros, we get that  $\varphi$  has at most one zero, and then  $\ddot{\varphi}$  has constant sign around  $\pm \infty$ . Therefore,  $\varphi$  is monoton around  $\pm \infty$  and then has a limit, which is 0 since  $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . The contradiction follows from studying the sign of  $\ddot{\varphi}$ ,  $\varphi$ . Then  $\varphi \equiv 0$  and the first part of Lemma 4 is proved.

We now deal with the second part and we let  $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  be as in the statement. We define  $\psi := V^{-1}\varphi$ . Then,  $-\ddot{\psi} + h\dot{\psi} + Q\psi = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $h, Q \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$  and Q > 0. Therefore, by studying the zeros,  $\dot{\psi}$  vanishes at most once, and then  $\psi(t)$  has limits as  $t \to \pm \infty$ . Since  $\varphi = \psi V$ ,  $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $V \notin L^2(0, +\infty)$ , then  $\lim_{t\to +\infty} \psi(t) = 0$ . We claim that  $\lim_{t\to -\infty} \psi(t) \neq 0$ . Otherwise, the limit would be 0. Then  $\psi$  would be of constant sign, say  $\psi > 0$ . At the maximum point  $t_0$  of  $\psi$ , the equation would yield  $\ddot{\psi}(t_0) > 0$ , which contradicts the maximum. So the limit of  $\psi$  at  $-\infty$  is nonzero, and then  $V(t) = O(\varphi(t))$  as  $t \to -\infty$ .

We claim that  $\varphi$  is even or odd and  $\varphi$  has constant sign around  $+\infty$ . Since  $t \mapsto \varphi(-t)$  is also a solution to the ODE, it follows from Lemma 2 that it is a multiple of  $\varphi$ , and then  $\varphi$  is even or odd. Since  $\dot{\psi}$  changes sign at most once, then  $\psi$  changes sign at most once, then  $\psi$  changes sign at most twice. Therefore  $\varphi = \psi V$  has constant sign around  $+\infty$ .

We fix 0 < A' < A and we let  $R_0 > 0$  such that q(t) > A' for all  $t \ge R_0$ . Without loss of generality, we also assume that  $\varphi(t) > 0$  for  $t \ge R_0$ . We define  $b(t) := C_0 e^{-\sqrt{A't}} - \varphi(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $C_0 := 2\varphi(R_0)e^{\sqrt{A'R_0}}$ . We claim that  $b(t) \ge 0$  for all  $t \ge R_0$ . Otherwise  $\inf_{t\ge R_0} b(t) < 0$ , and since  $\lim_{t\to +\infty} b(t) = 0$  and  $b(R_0) > 0$ , then there exists  $t_1 > R_0$  such that  $\ddot{b}(t_1) \ge 0$  and  $b(t_1) < 0$ . However, as one checks, the equation yields  $\ddot{b}(t_1) < 0$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $b(t) \ge 0$  for all  $t \ge R_0$ , and then  $0 < \varphi(t) \le C_0 e^{-\sqrt{A't}}$  for  $t \to +\infty$ . Lemma 4 follows from this inequality,  $\varphi$  even or odd, and  $V(t) = O(\varphi(t))$  as  $t \to -\infty$ .

### References

- [1] G. Bianchi and H. Egnell, A note on Sobolev inequality, J. Funct. Anal. 100 (1991), 18-24.
- [2] S.-M. Chang, S. Gustafson, K. Nakanishi, and T.-P. Tsai, Spectra of linearized operators for NLS solitary waves, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 39 (2007/08), no. 4, 1070–1111.
- K.-S. Chou and C.-W. Chu, On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev-Hardy inequality, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 48 (1993), no. 1, 137–151.
- [4] N. Dancer, F. Gladiali, and M. Grossi, On the Hardy-Sobolev equation, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A. In press.
- [5] F. Gladiali, M. Grossi, and S. L. N. Neves, Nonradial solutions for the Hénon equation in R<sup>N</sup>, Adv. Math. 249 (2013), 1–36.
- [6] O. Rey, The role of the Green's function in a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent, J. Funct. Anal. 89 (1990), no. 1, 1–52.

Frédéric Robert, Institut Élie Cartan, Université de Lorraine, BP 70239, F-54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France

*E-mail address*: frederic.robert@univ-lorraine.fr

 $\mathbf{6}$