

# CRITICAL FUNCTIONS AND OPTIMAL SOBOLEV INEQUALITIES

FRÉDÉRIC ROBERT

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$ . We denote by  $H_1^2(M)$  the standard Sobolev space of functions in  $L^2(M)$  with one derivative in  $L^2(M)$ . As is well known, it follows from Sobolev's embedding theorem that there exist  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\left( \int_M |u|^{2^*} dv_g \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq A \int_M |\nabla u|_g^2 dv_g + B \int_M u^2 dv_g \quad (1)$$

for all  $u \in H_1^2(M)$ , where  $2^* = \frac{2n}{n-2}$  is critical. The sharp constant  $A$  in (1) is  $A_{opt}(M) = K(n, 2)^{-2}$ , where

$$\frac{1}{K(n, 2)^2} = \frac{4}{n(n-2)\omega_n^{4/n}}$$

and  $\omega_n$  is the volume of the unit  $n$ -sphere. In 1995, using intricate developments from blow-up analysis, Hebey and Vaugon [HeVa2, HeVa3] proved that the sharp constant  $A_{opt}(M)$  is attained in (1). It follows that there exists  $B_0(g) > 0$  such that

$$\left( \int_M |u|^{2^*} dv_g \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq K(n, 2)^2 \left( \int_M |\nabla u|_g^2 dv_g + B_0(g) \int_M u^2 dv_g \right) \quad (I_{opt,g})$$

for all  $u \in H_1^2(M)$ . Following Hebey [Heb2], we choose  $B_0(g)$  such that it cannot be lowered. Natural questions with respect to  $(I_{opt,g})$ , see Druet and Hebey [DrHe] for a presentation in book form, are to compute  $B_0(g)$ , and to know whether or not  $(I_{opt,g})$  possesses extremal functions. Important notions with respect to these questions are the notions of weakly critical and critical functions introduced by Hebey and Vaugon [HeVa4]. These notions have independent applications and were used by Druet [Dru] to prove the Brézis-Nirenberg conjecture on low dimensions. For  $f$  a smooth function on  $M$ , we let

$$\mu_{g,f} = \inf \frac{\int_M (|\nabla u|_g^2 + fu^2) dv_g}{\left( \int_M |u|^{2^*} dv_g \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}},$$

where the infimum is taken over the nonzero functions of  $H_1^2(M)$ , and  $dv_g$  is the Riemannian volume element. It is by now standard that  $\mu_{g,f} \leq \frac{1}{K(n, 2)^2}$ . By definition, following Hebey and Vaugon [HeVa4], we say that  $f$  is a weakly critical function for  $g$  if  $\mu_{g,f} = \frac{1}{K(n, 2)^2}$ , and we say that  $f$  is a critical function if it is

---

*Date:* July 2003.

weakly critical and such that  $\mu_{g,\tilde{f}} < \frac{1}{K(n,2)^2}$  for all smooth functions  $\tilde{f}$  satisfying that  $\tilde{f} \leq f$  and  $\tilde{f} \not\equiv f$ . Let

$$B_0(g)_{ext} = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \max_M S_g,$$

where  $S_g$  denotes the scalar curvature of  $g$ . It is easily checked that when  $n \geq 4$ , we always have that  $B_0(g) \geq B_0(g)_{ext}$ . Moreover, as proved by Djadli and Druet [DjDr], one of the two following conditions hold:

- (i) either  $B_0(g) = B_0(g)_{ext}$ ,
- (ii) or  $(I_{opt,g})$  possesses extremal functions.

We know since Hebey [Heb1] that there are examples of manifolds for which (i) is true and (ii) is false. The examples in [Heb1] are in the conformal class of the unit  $n$ -sphere, and we are left with the question (question (Q1) in [HeVa4]) of describing the class of manifolds for which (i) is true and (ii) is false. Such a question is closely related to the following conjecture of Hebey and Vaugon [HeVa4]: if  $n \geq 6$ , the Weyl curvature tensor  $Weyl_g$  of  $g$  vanishes up to the order 1 at some point  $x_0$ , and  $f$  is a smooth nonnegative function vanishing at  $x_0$ , then there exists  $g_f \in [g]$  a conformal metric to  $g$  such that the scalar curvature  $S_{g_f}$  is maximal at  $x_0$  and such that  $\alpha = B_0(g_f)_{ext} - f$  is a weakly critical function for  $g_f$ . We prove in this article that this conjecture is true. We also treat the case of the dimension  $n = 5$ . Concerning terminology, we say that the Weyl tensor  $Weyl_g$  of  $g$  vanishes up to order  $p \geq 1$  at  $x_0$  if  $Weyl_g(x_0) = 0$  and  $\nabla^j Weyl_g(x_0) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq p$ . Our main result states as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a smooth compact Riemannian  $n$ -manifold,  $n \geq 5$ ,  $x_0$  a point in  $M$ , and  $f$  a smooth nonnegative nonzero function on  $M$  with the property that  $f(x_0) = 0$ . We assume that  $Weyl_g$  is null up to the order 2 at  $x_0$  if  $n = 5$ , and up to the order 1 at  $x_0$  if  $n \geq 6$ . Then there exists  $g_f \in [g]$  a conformal metric to  $g$  such that  $S_{g_f}$  is maximal at  $x_0$  and such that  $\alpha = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \max_M S_{g_f} - f$  is a weakly critical function for  $g_f$ . In particular, for any smooth compact Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  of dimension  $n \geq 5$ , whose Weyl curvature tensor satisfies the above conditions, there exists a conformal metric  $\tilde{g}$  to  $g$  such that  $B_0(\tilde{g}) = B_0(\tilde{g})_{ext}$  and  $(I_{opt,\tilde{g}})$  does not possess extremal functions.*

Hebey and Vaugon [HeVa4] also conjectured that the following surprising complementary result to Theorem 1.1 should hold: if  $(M, g)$  is a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 6$  such that its Weyl tensor is null up to the order 2 at some point, then there exists  $\tilde{g} \in [g]$  a conformal metric to  $g$  such that  $B_0(\tilde{g}) = B_0(\tilde{g})_{ext} = Vol_{\tilde{g}}^{-2/n}$  and such that  $(I_{opt,\tilde{g}})$  possesses constant extremal functions. In this statement,  $Vol_{\tilde{g}}$  denotes the volume of  $M$  wrt the metric  $\tilde{g}$ . As a remark, it easily follows from the approach we use to prove Theorem 1.1 that this conjecture is also true. With respect to the mathematics developed in Hebey and Vaugon [HeVa4], both conjectures reduce to the proof that for a blowing-up sequence  $(u_\epsilon)$  of solutions of the equations attached to the above problems, if  $x_0$  is the geometric concentration point of the sequence  $(u_\epsilon)$ , then  $d_g(x_0, x_\epsilon) = O(\mu_\epsilon)$  where the  $x_\epsilon$ 's are the centers and the  $\mu_\epsilon$ 's are the weights of the bubbles developed by the  $u_\epsilon$ 's.

**Acknowledgements:** The author expresses his deep thanks to Emmanuel Hebey for stimulating discussions and valuable comments on this work.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

When possible, we follow the approach developed in [HeVa4]. Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$ . We first assume that  $n \geq 6$  and that there exists  $x_0 \in M$  such that  $Weyl_g(x_0) = 0$  and  $\nabla Weyl_g(x_0) = 0$ . Up to a conformal change of metric (see for instance Lee-Parker [LePa] and [HeVa1]), we can assume that

$$Rm_g(x_0) = 0 \text{ and } \nabla Rm_g(x_0) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Here  $Rm_g$  and  $\nabla Rm_g$  denote the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivative. Note that in this case,  $\nabla^i S_g(x_0) = 0$  for  $i = 0 \dots 2$ . We denote by  $[g]$  the conformal class of the metric  $g$  and

$$[g]_s = \left\{ \tilde{g} \in [g] \text{ s.t. } S_{\tilde{g}}(x_0) = \max_M S_{\tilde{g}} \right\}.$$

We let  $f \in C^\infty(M) - \{0\}$  such that for any  $x \in M$ ,

$$f(x) \geq f(x_0) = 0. \quad (3)$$

In this section, we prove that there exists  $\tilde{g} \in [g]_s$  such that  $\alpha_{\tilde{g}} = c_n \max_M S_{\tilde{g}} - f$  is a weakly critical function. Here and in the sequel, we let  $c_n = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}$ . We argue by contradiction, and assume that for any  $\tilde{g} \in [g]_s$ ,

$$\inf_{u \in H_1^2(M) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_M (|\nabla u|_{\tilde{g}}^2 + \alpha_{\tilde{g}} u^2) dv_{\tilde{g}}}{\left( \int_M |u|^{2^*} dv_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} < \frac{1}{K(n, 2)^2}.$$

Letting  $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$  positive such that  $\tilde{g} = \varphi^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g$ , the preceding inequality is equivalent to

$$\inf_{u \in H_1^2(M) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_M (|\nabla u|_g^2 + h_\varphi u^2) dv_g}{\left( \int_M |u|^{2^*} dv_g \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} < \frac{1}{K(n, 2)^2}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$h_\varphi = c_n S_g + \varphi^{2^*-2} \left( c_n (\max_M S_{\tilde{g}} - S_{\tilde{g}}) - f \right) \quad (5)$$

for all positive functions  $\varphi$ . Let  $\delta \in (0, i_g(M)/2)$ , where  $i_g(M)$  is the injectivity radius of  $(M, g)$ , and  $\eta \in C^\infty(M)$  such that  $\eta \equiv 1$  in  $B_{x_0}(\delta)$  and  $\eta \equiv 0$  in  $M \setminus B_{x_0}(2\delta)$ . We also let  $c > 0$  and consider the function

$$\varphi_\epsilon(x) = \frac{\eta(x)}{(\epsilon^2 + d_g(x, x_0)^2)^{\frac{n-2}{8}}} + (1 - \eta(x))c.$$

Clearly,  $\varphi_\epsilon$  is smooth and positive.

**Step 1:** It follows from [HeVa4] that the metric  $g_\epsilon = \varphi_\epsilon^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g$  belongs to  $[g]_s$  for small  $\epsilon$ . Moreover, noting  $h_\epsilon = h_{\varphi_\epsilon}$ , we get that

$$h_\epsilon(x) \geq a(\epsilon) d_g(x, x_0)^2 \quad (6)$$

for all  $x \in M$  and all  $\epsilon > 0$ , with

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} a(\epsilon) = +\infty. \quad (7)$$

It is by now classical that it follows from (4) that there exists  $u_\epsilon \in C^\infty(M)$  positive such that

$$\Delta_g u_\epsilon + h_\epsilon u_\epsilon = \lambda_\epsilon u_\epsilon^{2^*-1} \text{ and } \int_M u_\epsilon^{2^*} dv_g = 1 \quad (E_\epsilon)$$

where

$$\lambda_\epsilon = \mu_{g, h_\epsilon} < \frac{1}{K(n, 2)^2} \quad (8)$$

It then follows that  $u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup 0$  weakly in  $H_1^2(M)$  when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We refer to [HeVa4] for the details. We let  $x_\epsilon \in M$  and  $\mu_\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\max_M u_\epsilon = u_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) = \mu_\epsilon^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}.$$

Since  $u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup 0$  weakly in  $H_1^2(M)$ , it follows from  $(E_\epsilon)$  that  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_\epsilon = 0$ . We let  $y_\epsilon \in M$  such that

$$\frac{d_g(x_\epsilon, y_\epsilon)}{\mu_\epsilon} = O(1)$$

when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . With (6), it follows from [DrRo], see also [DrHe], that there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$d_g(y_\epsilon, x)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_\epsilon(x) \leq C \text{ and } u_\epsilon(x) \leq C \left( \frac{\mu_\epsilon}{\mu_\epsilon^2 + d_g(x, y_\epsilon)^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \quad (9)$$

for all  $x \in M$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Moreover, it follows from [HeVa4] that  $y_\epsilon \rightarrow x_0$  when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

**Step 2:** We denote by  $\exp_{y_\epsilon}$  the exponential map at  $y_\epsilon$  with respect to the metric  $g$ . We let  $\tilde{u}_\epsilon(x) = u_\epsilon(\exp_{y_\epsilon}(x))$  for all  $x \in B_0(3\delta)$  and  $g_\epsilon = \exp_{y_\epsilon}^* g$  the pullback metric of  $g$  via the exponential chart at  $y_\epsilon$ . In the sequel, we denote by  $g_\epsilon^{ij}$  the coordinates of  $g^{-1}$ , the inverse of the metric tensor, via the chart  $\exp_{y_\epsilon}$ . We let  $\delta \in (0, i_g(M)/3)$ . We let  $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\eta \equiv 1$  in  $B_0(\delta)$  and  $\eta \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_0(2\delta)$ . The optimal Euclidean Sobolev inequality asserts that

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq K(n, 2)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|_\xi^2 dx,$$

for all smooth compactly supported function  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It follows from this inequality that

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon)^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq K(n, 2)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon)|_\xi^2 dx. \quad (10)$$

We denote the volume element by  $dv_{g_\epsilon} = \sqrt{|g_\epsilon|} dx$ . We then get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon)^{2^*} dx \\ &= 1 + \int_{B_0(\delta)} \tilde{u}_\epsilon^{2^*} (1 - \sqrt{|g_\epsilon|}) dx + \int_{B_0(2\delta) \setminus B_0(\delta)} (\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon)^{2^*} dx - \int_{M \setminus B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} u_\epsilon^{2^*} dv_g. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

It follows from Cartan's expansion of the metric that

$$g_\epsilon^{ij}(x) = \delta^{ij} + O(|Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g |x|^2 + |\nabla Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g |x|^3 + |x|^4)$$

uniformly for  $x \in B_0(3\delta)$  when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Plugging this expansion in (11), using  $(E_\epsilon)$  and (9), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon)^{2^*} dv_\xi \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} - 1 \right| \leq C |Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g \int_{B_0(\delta)} \tilde{u}_\epsilon^2 dx \\ & + C |\nabla Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g \int_{B_0(\delta)} |x| \tilde{u}_\epsilon^2 dx + C \int_{B_0(\delta)} |x|^2 \tilde{u}_\epsilon^2 dx + C \int_{M \setminus B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} \tilde{u}_\epsilon^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We now deal with the LHS of (10). Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon)|_\xi^2 dv_\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_\epsilon^{ij} \partial_i(\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon) \partial_j(\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon) \sqrt{|g_\epsilon|} dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_\epsilon^{ij} \partial_i(\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon) \partial_j(\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon) \left(1 - \sqrt{|g_\epsilon|}\right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\delta^{ij} - g_\epsilon^{ij}) \partial_i(\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon) \partial_j(\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon) dx \end{aligned}$$

Noting  $\eta_\epsilon = \eta \circ \exp_{y_\epsilon}^{-1}$ , integrating the first term of the RHS by parts and using Cartan's expansion of the metric, we then get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon)|_\xi^2 dv_\xi = \int_M \eta_\epsilon^2 u_\epsilon \Delta_g u_\epsilon dv_g + \int_M |\nabla \eta_\epsilon|_g^2 u_\epsilon^2 dv_g \\ & + O\left(\int_M (|Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g r^2 + |\nabla Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g r^3 + r^4) |\nabla(\eta_\epsilon u_\epsilon)|_g^2 dv_g\right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $r = d_g(x, y_\epsilon)$ . Integrating by parts the last term of the RHS, using equation  $(E_\epsilon)$ , the estimate (9) and that  $h_\epsilon \geq 0$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\eta \tilde{u}_\epsilon)|_\xi^2 dv_\xi \leq \lambda_\epsilon - \lambda_\epsilon \int_M \eta_\epsilon^2 h_\epsilon u_\epsilon^2 dv_g \\ & + C |Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} u_\epsilon^2 dv_g + C |\nabla Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} r u_\epsilon^2 dv_g \\ & + C \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} r^2 u_\epsilon^2 dv_g + C \int_{M \setminus B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} u_\epsilon^2 dv_g, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

in this expression,  $r = d_g(x, y_\epsilon)$ . Plugging (12) and (13) into (10) and using (8), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} h_\epsilon u_\epsilon^2 dv_g \leq C |Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} u_\epsilon^2 dv_g + C |\nabla Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} r u_\epsilon^2 dv_g \\ & + C \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} r^2 u_\epsilon^2 dv_g + C \int_{M \setminus B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} u_\epsilon^2 dv_g \end{aligned}$$

in this expression,  $r = d_g(x, y_\epsilon)$ . It follows from [HeVa4] that

$$\int_{M \setminus B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} u_\epsilon^2 dv_g = o\left(\int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} h_\epsilon u_\epsilon^2 dv_g\right).$$

It then follows from these last two estimates that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} h_\epsilon u_\epsilon^2 dv_g \leq C |Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} u_\epsilon^2 dv_g \\ & + C |\nabla Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} d_g(x, y_\epsilon) u_\epsilon^2 dv_g + C \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} d_g(x, y_\epsilon)^2 u_\epsilon^2 dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d_g(x, y_\epsilon)^2 \leq 2d_g(x, x_0)^2 + 2d_g(x_0, y_\epsilon)^2$ , we get with (6) and (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} h_\epsilon u_\epsilon^2 dv_g &\leq C \cdot (d_g(x_0, y_\epsilon)^2 + |Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g) \cdot \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} u_\epsilon^2 dv_g \\ &\quad + C |\nabla Rm_g(y_\epsilon)|_g \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} d_g(x, y_\epsilon) u_\epsilon^2 dv_g. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

With (2), we then get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} h_\epsilon u_\epsilon^2 dv_g &\leq C d_g(x_0, y_\epsilon)^2 \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} u_\epsilon^2 dv_g \\ &\quad + C d_g(x_0, y_\epsilon) \int_{B_{y_\epsilon}(\delta)} d_g(x, y_\epsilon) u_\epsilon^2 dv_g \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

as soon as  $\frac{d_g(x_\epsilon, y_\epsilon)}{\mu_\epsilon} = O(1)$  when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

**Step 3:** We claim that

$$\frac{d_g(x_\epsilon, x_0)}{\mu_\epsilon} = O(1) \quad (16)$$

when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We prove the claim by contradiction and assume that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{d_g(x_\epsilon, x_0)}{\mu_\epsilon} = +\infty. \quad (17)$$

Inequality (15) is obviously verified with  $y_\epsilon = x_\epsilon$ . Since  $B_{x_\epsilon}(\mu_\epsilon) \subset B_{x_\epsilon}(\delta)$  when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get with a change of variable that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\epsilon^2 \int_{B_0(1)} h_\epsilon(\exp_{x_\epsilon}(\mu_\epsilon x)) v_\epsilon^2 dv_{\tilde{g}_\epsilon} &\leq C d_g(x_0, x_\epsilon)^2 \mu_\epsilon^2 \int_{B_0(\delta \mu_\epsilon^{-1})} v_\epsilon^2 dv_{\tilde{g}_\epsilon} \\ &\quad + C d_g(x_0, x_\epsilon) \mu_\epsilon^3 \int_{B_0(\delta \mu_\epsilon^{-1})} |x| v_\epsilon^2 dv_{\tilde{g}_\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_\epsilon(x) = \mu_\epsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_\epsilon(\exp_{y_\epsilon}(\mu_\epsilon x))$  for all  $x \in B_0(\delta/\mu_\epsilon)$  and  $\tilde{g}_\epsilon = \exp_{x_\epsilon}^* g(\mu_\epsilon x) = g_\epsilon(\mu_\epsilon x)$ . For any  $x \in B_0(1)$ , we get with (17) that

$$d_g(x_0, \exp_{x_\epsilon}(\mu_\epsilon x)) \geq d_g(x_0, x_\epsilon) - \mu_\epsilon \geq \frac{1}{2} d_g(x_0, x_\epsilon).$$

With the lower bound (6) of  $h_\epsilon$ , we then get that

$$\begin{aligned} a(\epsilon) d_g(x_0, x_\epsilon)^2 \mu_\epsilon^2 \int_{B_0(1)} v_\epsilon^2 dv_{\tilde{g}_\epsilon} &\leq C d_g(x_0, x_\epsilon)^2 \mu_\epsilon^2 \int_{B_0(\delta \mu_\epsilon^{-1})} v_\epsilon^2 dv_{\tilde{g}_\epsilon} \\ &\quad + C d_g(x_0, x_\epsilon) \mu_\epsilon^3 \int_{B_0(\delta \mu_\epsilon^{-1})} |x| v_\epsilon^2 dv_{\tilde{g}_\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Moser's iterative scheme (see for instance [DrRo]) that

$$\int_{B_0(1)} v_\epsilon^2 dv_{\tilde{g}_\epsilon} \geq C v_\epsilon(0) = C > 0.$$

Using the estimates (9) and assuming that  $n \geq 6$ , we get that

$$a(\epsilon) d_g(x_0, x_\epsilon)^2 \mu_\epsilon^2 \leq C d_g(x_0, y_\epsilon)^2 \mu_\epsilon^2 + C d_g(x_0, y_\epsilon) \mu_\epsilon^3.$$

A contradiction with (7) and (17). Then (16) holds. This proves the claim.

It follows from (16) that (15) holds with  $y_\epsilon = x_0$ . A contradiction, since  $h_\epsilon \geq 0$ . We have then contradicted our initial assumption (4). Then there exists  $\tilde{g}$

conformal to  $g$  such that  $c_n \max_M S_{\tilde{g}} - f$  is a weakly critical function. Now we claim that  $B_0(\tilde{g})$  has no extremal function. We prove the claim by contradiction and assume that  $B_0(\tilde{g})$  has an extremal function. By definition,  $B_0(\tilde{g})$  is weakly critical: since it has an extremal function, it is a critical function. Since  $B_0(\tilde{g}) \geq c_n \max_M S_{\tilde{g}} \geq c_n \max_M S_{\tilde{g}} - f$  (see for instance [HeVa4]) and  $c_n \max_M S_{\tilde{g}} - f$  is weakly critical, we get that  $B_0(\tilde{g}) = c_n \max_M S_{\tilde{g}} = c_n \max_M S_{\tilde{g}} - f$  and then  $f \equiv 0$ . A contradiction with the choice of  $f$  in (3). Then  $B_0(\tilde{g})$  has no extremal function. It then follows from [DjDr] that  $B_0(\tilde{g}) = B_0(\tilde{g})_{ext}$ . This proves Theorem 1.1 when  $n \geq 6$ . Concerning the case of dimension  $n = 5$ , the proof is similar and uses inequality (14). Note also that when  $\nabla^i Weyl_g(x_0) = 0$  for  $i = 0 \dots 2$ , it follows from [HeVa1] that we can assume that  $\nabla^i Rm_g(x_0) = 0$  for  $i = 0 \dots 2$  up to a conformal change of metric. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## REFERENCES

- [DjDr] Djadli, Z.; Druet, O. Extremal functions for optimal Sobolev inequalities on compact manifolds. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **12** (2001), 59-84.
- [Dru] Druet, O. Elliptic equations with critical Sobolev exponents in dimension 3. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. Anal. Non Linéaire*, **19**, (2002), 125-142.
- [DrHe] Druet, O.; and Hebey, E. *The AB program in geometric analysis. Sharp Sobolev inequalities and related problems*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, MEMO/160/761, 2002.
- [DrRo] Druet, O; Robert, F. Asymptotic profile and blow-up estimates on compact Riemannian manifolds. *Preprint* (2000). Reproduced in [DrHe].
- [Heb1] Hebey, E. Fonctions extrémales pour une inégalité de Sobolev optimale dans la classe conforme de la sphère. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **77** (1998), 721-733.
- [Heb2] Hebey, E. *Nonlinear analysis on manifolds: Sobolev spaces and inequalities*, CIMS Lecture Notes, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 5, 1999. Second edition published by the American Mathematical Society, 2000.
- [HeVa1] Hebey, E.; Vaugon, M. Le problème de Yamabe équivariant. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **117** (1993), 241-286.
- [HeVa2] ——— The best constant problem in the Sobolev embedding theorem for complete Riemannian manifolds. *Duke Math. J.* **79** (1995), 235-279.
- [HeVa3] ——— Meilleures constantes dans le théorème d'inclusion de Sobolev, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. Anal. Non Linéaire* **13** (1996), 57-93.
- [HeVa4] ——— From best constants to critical functions. *Math. Z.* **237** (2001), 737-767.
- [LePa] Lee, J. M.; Parker, T.H. The Yamabe problem. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (1987), 37-91.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH ZENTRUM, CH-8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND  
*E-mail address:* frederic.robert@math.ethz.ch