

# SOME STOCHASTIC PROCESS WITHOUT BIRTH, LINKED TO THE MEAN CURVATURE FLOW

BY KOLÉHÈ A. COULIBALY-PASQUIER

*Université du Luxembourg*

Using Huisken’s results about the mean curvature flow on a strictly convex hypersurface and Kendall–Cranston’s coupling, we will build a stochastic process without birth and show that there exists a unique law of such a process. This process has many similarities with the circular Brownian motion studied by Émery and Schachermayer, and Arnaudon. In general this process is not a stationary process; it is linked to some differential equation without initial condition. We will show that this differential equation has a unique solution up to a multiplicative constant.

**1. Tools and first properties.** Let  $M$  be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  without boundary, which is smoothly embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . We write  $F_0$  the embedding function

$$F_0 : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

Consider the flow defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t F(t, x) = -H_\nu(t, x) \vec{\nu}(t, x), \\ F(0, x) = F_0(x). \end{cases}$$

Let  $M_t = F(t, M)$ . We identify  $M$  with  $M_0$  and  $F_0$  with Id. In (1.1),  $\vec{\nu}(t, x)$  is the outer unit normal at  $F(t, x)$  on  $M_t$ , and  $H_\nu(t, x)$  is the mean curvature at  $F(t, x)$  on  $M_t$  in the direction  $\vec{\nu}(t, x)$ , that is,  $H_\nu(x) = \text{trace}(S_\nu(x))$  where  $S_\nu$  is the second fundamental form (see [21] for the definition).

**REMARK 1.1.** In this paper we take this point of view of mean curvature flow (see [15] for existence, and related results). Many other authors give a different point of view for this equation. The viscosity solution (see [8–12]) generalizes the solution after the explosion time and gives a unique solution which is contained in the Brakke family of solutions and passes the singularity. In the sequel we shall only consider smooth solutions until explosion time.

As usual we call  $M_t$  the motion by mean curvature. To be self-contained, we include a proof of the next lemma, although it is well known.

---

Received October 2009; revised July 2010.

*AMS 2000 subject classifications.* 60B12, 58J65, 53C44, 60G46, 60J65.

*Key words and phrases.* Brownian motion, limit of stochastic processes, mean curvature flow.

1 LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold isometrically embedded* 1  
 2 *in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . We call  $\iota$  the isometry* 2

$$3 \quad (M, g) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{R}^{n+1}. \quad 3$$

4 *Then* 4

$$5 \quad (1.2) \quad \forall x \in M, \Delta \iota(x) = -H_\nu(x) \vec{\nu}(x), \quad 5$$

6 *where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace–Beltrami operator associated to the metric  $g$ .* 6

7 **PROOF.** By the flatness of the target manifold, we have 7

$$8 \quad \Delta \iota(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \iota^1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \Delta \iota^{n+1}(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad 8$$

9 and 9

$$10 \quad \Delta \iota^j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} \iota^j(\gamma_i(t)), \quad 10$$

11 where  $\gamma_i(t)$  is a geodesic in  $M$  such that  $\gamma_i(0) = x$  and  $\dot{\gamma}_i(0) = A_i$ , and  $A_i$  is an 11  
 12 orthogonal basis of  $T_x M$ . By definition of a geodesic we obtain 12

$$13 \quad \Delta \iota(x) \perp T_{\iota(x)}(\iota(M)), \quad 13$$

14 so there exists a function  $\beta$  such that  $\Delta \iota(x) = \beta(x) \vec{\nu}(x)$ . We compute  $\beta$  as follows: 14

$$15 \quad \begin{aligned} 15 \quad \beta(x) &= \langle \Delta \iota(x), \vec{\nu}(x) \rangle & 15 \\ 16 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\langle \frac{d}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} \iota(\gamma_i(t)), \vec{\nu}(x) \right\rangle & 16 \\ 17 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{\iota(\dot{\gamma}_i(t))} \iota(\dot{\gamma}_i(t)) \Big|_{t=0}, \vec{\nu}(x) \rangle & 17 \\ 18 &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\langle \iota(\dot{\gamma}_i(t)), \nabla_{\iota(\dot{\gamma}_i(t))} \vec{\nu} \Big|_{t=0} \rangle, \text{ metric connection} & 18 \\ 19 &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\langle \iota(\dot{\gamma}_i(t)), (\nabla_{\iota(\dot{\gamma}_i(t))} \vec{\nu})^\top \Big|_{t=0} \rangle & 19 \\ 20 &= -\text{trace}(S_\nu(x)). & 20 \end{aligned}$$

21  $\square$  21

22 To give a parabolic interpretation of (1.1), let us define a family of metrics  $g(t)$  22  
 23 on  $M$  which is the pull-back by  $F(t, \cdot)$  of the induced metric on  $M_t$ , that is, 23

$$24 \quad g(t) := F(t, \cdot)^*(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}) \Big|_{M_t}. \quad 24$$

1 Using the previous lemma we rewrite the equation as in [15] 1

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t F(t, x) = \Delta_t F(t, x), \\ F(0, x) = F_0(x), \end{cases}$$

2 3 4 5 where  $\Delta_t$  is the Laplace–Beltrami operator associated to the metric  $g(t)$ . 6

7 REMARK 1.3. Sometimes we follow the probabilistic convention of putting 7  
8  $1/2$  in front of the Laplacian (which just changes the time and makes computations 8  
9 more concise); sometimes we use a geometric convention. 9

10 We call  $T_c$  the explosion time of the mean curvature flow. Let  $T < T_c$ , and  $g(t)$  11  
12 be the family of metrics defined as above. Let  $(W^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be a  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued Brownian 12  
13 motion. Recall from [4] the definition of the  $g(t)$ -Brownian motion in  $M$  started 13  
14 at  $x$  which we call  $g(t)$ -BM( $x$ ). 14

15 DEFINITION 1.4. Let us take a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  16  
17 and a  $C^{1,2}$ -family  $g(t)_{t \in [0, T[}$  of metrics over  $M$ . An  $M$ -valued process  $X(x)$  de- 17  
18 fined on  $\Omega \times [0, T[$  is called a  $g(t)$  Brownian motion in  $M$  started at  $x \in M$  if 18  
19  $X(x)$  is continuous, adapted and for every smooth function  $f$ , 19

$$f(X_s(x)) - f(x) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \Delta_t f(X_t(x)) dt$$

20 21 22 is a local martingale vanishing at 0. 23

24 We give a proposition which yields a characterization of mean curvature flow 24  
25 by the  $g(t)$  Brownian motion. 26

27 PROPOSITION 1.5. Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional manifold isometrically em- 27  
28 bedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Consider the application 28

$$F: [0, T[ \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

29 30 31 such that  $F(t, \cdot)$  are diffeomorphisms and the family of metrics  $g(t)$  on  $M$ , which 31  
32 is the pull-back by  $F(t, \cdot)$  of the induced metric on  $M_t = F(t, M)$ , that is, 32

$$g(t) := F(t, \cdot)^*(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}})|_{M_t}.$$

33 34 35 Then the following assertions are equivalent: 36

- 37 (i)  $F(t, \cdot)$  is a solution of mean curvature flow; 37  
38 (ii)  $\forall x_0 \in M, \forall T \in [0, T_c[$ , let  $\tilde{g}_t^T = \frac{1}{2}g_{T-t}$  and  $X^T(x_0)$  be a  $(\tilde{g}_t^T)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -BM( $x_0$ ), 38  
39 then 39

$$Y_t^T = F(T - t, X_t^T(x_0))$$

40 41 42 is a local martingale in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . 42  
43 43

1 PROOF. By definition we have a sequence of isometries

$$2 \quad F(t, \cdot) : (M, g_t) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_t \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}. \quad 3$$

4 Let  $x_0 \in M$  and  $T \in [0, T_c[$  and  $X^T(x_0)$  a  $(\tilde{g}_t^T)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -BM( $x_0$ ). We compute the  
5 Itô differential of

$$6 \quad Y_t^{T,i} = F^i(T-t, X_t^T(x_0)), \quad 7$$

8 that is to say

$$\begin{aligned} 9 \quad d(Y_t^{T,i}) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F^i(T-t, X_t^T(x_0)) dt + d(F_{T-t}^i(X_t^T(x_0))) \quad 10 \\ 11 &\equiv -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F^i(T-t, X_t^T(x_0)) dt + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\tilde{g}_t} F_{T-t}^i(X_t^T(x_0)) dt \quad 12 \\ 13 &\equiv -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F^i(T-t, X_t^T(x_0)) dt + \Delta_{g_{T-t}} F_{T-t}^i(X_t^T(x_0)) dt \quad 14 \\ 15 &\equiv 0. \quad 15 \\ 16 &\quad d\mathcal{M} \quad 16 \\ 17 &\quad \quad \quad 17 \\ 18 &\quad \quad \quad 18 \end{aligned}$$

19 Therefore  $Y_t^T$  is a local martingale.

20 Let us show the converse. Let  $x_0 \in M$  and  $T \in [0, T_c[$  and let  $X^T(x_0)$  be a  
21  $(\tilde{g}_t^T)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -BM( $x_0$ ). Then  $Y_t^{T,i}$  is a local martingale since, almost surely, for all  
22  $t \in [0, T]$

$$23 \quad -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F^i(T-t, X_t^T(x_0)) dt + \Delta_{g_{T-t}} F_{T-t}^i(X_t^T(x_0)) dt = 0. \quad 24$$

25 For any  $s \in [0, T]$ , we get by integrating

$$26 \quad \int_0^s -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F^i(T-t, X_t^T(x_0)) dt + \Delta_{g_{T-t}} F_{T-t}^i(X_t^T(x_0)) dt = 0. \quad 27$$

28 Continuity of  $g(t)$ -Brownian motions then yields

$$29 \quad -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F^i(T, x_0) + \Delta_{g_T} F_T^i(x_0) = 0. \quad 30$$

31 In order to apply this proposition, we give an estimation of the explosion time.  
32 This is also a consequence of a maximum principle explicitly contained in the  
33  $g(t)$ -Brownian motion.

34 The quadratic covariation of  $Y_t^T$  is given by

35 PROPOSITION 1.6. *Let  $Y_t^T$  be defined as before; then the quadratic covaria-*  
36 *tion of  $Y_t^T$  for the usual scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is*

$$37 \quad \langle dY_t^T, dY_t^T \rangle = 2n \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}(t) dt. \quad 38$$

1 PROOF. Let  $//_{0,t}^T$  be the parallel transport above  $X_t^T$ . It is shown in [4] that  
2 this is an isometry:

$$3 //_{0,t}^T : (T_{X_0}M, \tilde{g}(0)) \mapsto (T_{X_t}M, \tilde{g}(t)).$$

4 Let  $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be a orthonormal basis of  $(T_{X_0}M, \tilde{g}(0))$ , and  $(W^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be the  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -  
5 valued Brownian motion such that (e.g., [2, 4])

$$6 *dW_t = //_{0,t}^{T,-1} *dX_t^T,$$

7 and in the Itô's sense

$$8 dX_t^T = //_{0,t}^T e_i dW_t^i.$$

9 Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 10 \langle dY_t^T, dY_t^T \rangle &= \langle d(F_{T-t}(X_t^T(x_0))), d(F_{T-t}(X_t^T(x_0))) \rangle \\ 11 &= \langle d(X_t^T(x_0)), d(X_t^T(x_0)) \rangle_{g_{T-t}} \\ 12 &= \langle d(X_t^T(x_0)), d(X_t^T(x_0)) \rangle_{2\tilde{g}_t} \\ 13 &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n //_{0,t}^T e_i dW^i, \sum_{j=1}^n //_{0,t}^T e_j dW^j \right\rangle_{2\tilde{g}_t} \\ 14 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle //_{0,t}^T e_i, //_{0,t}^T e_i \rangle_{2\tilde{g}_t} dt = \sum_{i=1}^n 2 dt = 2n dt. \end{aligned}$$

15 To pass from the first to the second line, we used the fact that  $F_{T-t}$  is an isometry,  
16 for the last step we used the isometry of the parallel transport.  $\square$

17 REMARK 1.7. Up to convention we recover the same martingale as in [22].

18 An immediate corollary of Proposition 1.6 is the following result, which appears  
19 in [11] and [15].

20 COROLLARY 1.8. *Let  $M$  be a compact Riemannian  $n$ -manifold and  $T_c$  the  
21 explosion time of the mean curvature flow; then*

$$22 T_c \leq \frac{\text{diam}(M_0)^2}{2n}.$$

23 PROOF. Recall that the mean curvature flow stays in a compact region, like  
24 the smallest ball which contains  $M_0$ . This result is clear in the case of a strictly  
25 convex starting manifold and can be proved in the general setting using P. L. Lions  
26 viscosity solution (e.g., Theorem 7.1 in [11]).

27 For all  $T \in [0, T_c[$  take the previous notation. By the above recall that

$$28 \|Y_t^T\| \leq \text{diam}(M_0);$$

1 then  $Y_t^T$  is a true martingale, and

$$2 \quad \|Y_t^T\|^2 - \langle Y^T, Y^T \rangle_t$$

3 is also a true martingale. Hence

$$4 \quad \mathbb{E}[\|Y_0^T\|^2] + 2nT \leq \text{diam}(M_0)^2,$$

5 and we obtain

$$6 \quad T \leq \frac{\text{diam}(M_0)^2}{2n}. \quad \square$$

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12 **2. Tightness and first example on the sphere.** We now define  $(\tilde{g}^{T_c})_{t \in ]0, T_c]}$ -  
13 BM in a general setting. When the initial manifold  $M_0$  is a sphere we use con-  
14 formality of the metric to show that after a deterministic change of time such a  
15 process is a  $] -\infty, T_c]$  Brownian motion on the sphere (for existence and definition  
16 see [1] and [6]). In the next section, we shall give a general uniqueness result when  
17 the initial manifold  $M_0$  is strictly convex.

18  
19 **DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional strictly convex manifold (i.e.,  
20 with a strictly positive definite second fundamental form),  $F(t, \cdot)$  the smooth so-  
21 lution of the mean curvature flow,  $(M, g(t))$  the family of metrics constructed by  
22 pull-back (as in Proposition 1.5) and  $T_c$  the explosion time. We define a family of  
23 processes as follows:  $\forall \varepsilon \in ]0, T_c]$

$$24 \quad X_t^\varepsilon(x_0) = \begin{cases} x_0, & \text{if } 0 < t \leq \varepsilon, \\ \text{BM}(\varepsilon, x_0)_t, & \text{if } \varepsilon \leq t \leq T_c, \end{cases}$$

25 where  $\text{BM}(\varepsilon, x_0)_t$  is a  $\frac{1}{2}g(T_c - t)$  Brownian motion that starts at  $x_0$  at time  $\varepsilon$ , and

$$26 \quad Y_t^\varepsilon(x_0) = \begin{cases} F(T_c - \varepsilon, x_0), & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon, \\ F(T_c - t, X_t^\varepsilon(x_0)), & \text{if } \varepsilon \leq t \leq T_c. \end{cases}$$

27  
28  
29  
30  
31 **REMARK 2.2.** We proceed as before because at time  $T_c$ , there is not any met-  
32 ric. Huisken shows in [15] that in this case

$$33 \quad \exists \mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \quad \text{such that } \forall x_0 \in M, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow T_c} F(s, x_0) = \mathcal{D}.$$

34  
35  
36 **PROPOSITION 2.3.** *With the same notation as in the above definition there*  
37 *exists at least one martingale  $Y^1$  in the adherence (for the weak convergence) of*  
38  *$(Y^\varepsilon(x_0))_{\varepsilon > 0}$  when  $\varepsilon$  goes to 0. Also, every adherence point is a martingale.*

39  
40 **PROOF.** We have

$$41 \quad dY_t^\varepsilon(x_0) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \leq \varepsilon, \\ d\mathcal{M}, & \text{if } t \geq \varepsilon, \end{cases}$$

1 where  $d\mathcal{M}$  is an Itô differential of some martingale. This defines a family of mar- 1  
 2 tingales. With the same computation as in Proposition 1.6, we get 2

$$3 \quad \langle dY_t^\varepsilon, dY_t^\varepsilon \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} = 2n1]_{\varepsilon, T_c]}(t) dt \leq 2n dt. \quad 3$$

4 Also by the above remark  $Y_0^\varepsilon$  is tight, hence  $(Y^\varepsilon(x_0))_{\varepsilon>0}$  is tight. As usual, 4  
 5 Prokhorov's theorem implies that there exists an adherence point. We also use 5  
 6 Huisken [15] (for the strictly convex manifold) to show 6  
 7

$$8 \quad (2.1) \quad \|Y^\varepsilon\| \leq \text{diam}(M_0). \quad 8$$

9 By Proposition 1-1 in [17], page 481, and the fact that  $(Y^\varepsilon)$  are martingales, we 9  
 10 conclude that all adherence points of  $(Y^\varepsilon)$  are martingales with respect to the fil- 10  
 11 tration that they generate.  $\square$  11  
 12

13 **REMARK 2.4.** The above proposition is also valid for arbitrary  $M$  which are 13  
 14 isometrically embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  just because the bound (2.1) is also a consequence 14  
 15 of Theorem 7.1 in [11]. 15  
 16

17 We will now derive tightness of  $X_t^\varepsilon$  from those of  $(Y^\varepsilon)$ . This purpose will be 17  
 18 completed by the subsequent Lemma 2.6. 18

19 Recall some results of [15]: if  $M_0$  is a strictly convex manifold, then  $M_t$  is also 19  
 20 strictly convex and  $\forall 0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < T_c$ ,  $M_{t_2} \subset \text{int}(M_{t_1})$ , where  $\text{int}$  is the interior of 20  
 21 the bounded connected component of the complementary. Hence there is a folia- 21  
 22 tion of  $\overline{\text{int}}(M_0)$  22

$$23 \quad \bigsqcup_{t \in [0, T_c[} M_t, \quad 23$$

24 where  $\bigsqcup$  stand for the disjoint union. 24  
 25

26 **DEFINITION 2.5.** We denote 26  
 27

$$28 \quad \mathcal{C}^f([0, T_c], \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}([0, T_c], \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \text{ such that } \gamma(t) \in M_{T_c-t}\}. \quad 28$$

29 Note that  $\mathcal{C}^f([0, T_c], \mathbb{R}^n)$  is a closed set of  $\mathcal{C}([0, T_c], \mathbb{R}^n)$  for the Skorokhod 29  
 30 topology. 30  
 31

32 **LEMMA 2.6.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional strictly convex manifold,  $F(t, \cdot)$  the 32  
 33 smooth solution of the mean curvature flow and  $T_c$  the explosion time. Then 33  
 34

$$35 \quad F : [0, T_c[ \times M \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{t \in [0, T_c[} M_t \quad 35$$

36 is a diffeomorphism in the sense of manifolds with boundary, and 36  
 37

$$38 \quad \Psi : \mathcal{C}^f([0, T_c], \mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}([0, T_c], M), \quad 38$$

$$39 \quad \gamma \longmapsto t \mapsto F^{-1}(T_c - t, \gamma(t)) \quad 39$$

40 is continuous for the different Skorokhod topologies. To define the Skorokhod topol- 40  
 41 ogy in  $\mathcal{C}([0, T_c], M)$  we could use the initial metric  $g(0)$  on  $M$ . 41  
 42  
 43

1 PROOF. It is clear that  $F$  is smooth as a solution of a parabolic equation [15], 1  
2 and this result has been used above. Its differential is given at each point by 2

$$3 \quad \forall(t, x) \in [0, T_c[ \times M, \forall v \in T_x M \quad 3$$

$$4 \quad DF(t, x) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, v \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(t, x) \oplus DF_t(x)(v), \quad 4$$

5 where  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(t, x) = -H(t, x)\vec{v}(t, x)$ ; here  $\oplus$  stands for  $+$  and means that we cannot 5  
6 cancel the sum without cancelling each term. Since there is no ambiguity we write 6  
7  $H(t, x)$  for  $H_v(t, x)$ . Recall that  $H(t, x) > 0$ . 7

8 For the second part of the lemma, we remark that for  $0 \leq \delta < T_c$  8  
9  
10

$$11 \quad F^{-1}: \bigsqcup_{t \in [0, \delta]} M_t \longrightarrow [0, \delta] \times M \quad 11$$

12 is Lipschitz (use the bound of the differential on a compact set). 12  
13  
14

15 Recall that a sequence converges to a continuous function in the Skorokhod 15  
16 topology if and only if it converges to this function locally uniformly. We will 16  
17 now show the continuity of  $\Psi$ . Take a sequence  $\alpha_m$  in  $\mathcal{C}^f(]0, T_c], \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  and 17  
18  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^f(]0, T], \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  such that  $\alpha_m \rightarrow \alpha$  for the Skorokhod topology. Then for 18  
19 all compact sets  $A$  in  $]0, T_c]$ , 19  
20

$$21 \quad \|\alpha_m - \alpha\|_A \longrightarrow 0, \quad 21$$

22 where  $\|f\|_A = \sup_{t \in A} \|f(t)\|$ . Let  $A$  be a compact set in  $]0, T_c]$ ; then there exists 22  
23 a Lipschitz constant  $C_A$  of  $F^{-1}$  in  $\bigsqcup_{t \in A} M_t$ , such that for all  $t$  in  $A$ , 23  
24

$$25 \quad d_{g(o)}(F^{-1}(\alpha_m(t)), F^{-1}(\alpha(t))) \leq C_A \|\alpha_m(t) - \alpha(t)\|, \quad 25$$

26 where  $d_{g(o)}(x, y)$  is the distance in  $M$  between  $x$  and  $y$  for the metric  $g(o)$ . We 26  
27 also define 27

$$28 \quad d_{g(o), A}(f, g) = \sup_{t \in A} d_{g(o)}(f(t), g(t)), \quad 28$$

29 where  $f$  and  $g$  are  $M$ -valued function. We get 29  
30  
31

$$32 \quad d_{g(o), A}(\Psi(\alpha_m), \Psi(\alpha)) \leq C_A \|\alpha_m - \alpha\|_A. \quad 32$$

33 So  $\Psi(\alpha_m) \rightarrow \Psi(\alpha)$  uniformly in all compact, so for the Skorokhod topology in 33  
34  $\mathcal{C}(]0, T_c], M)$ .  $\square$  34

35 Let 35  
36  
37

$$38 \quad \tilde{Y}_t^\varepsilon = (Y_t^\varepsilon - Y_0^\varepsilon) + (Y_0^\varepsilon \mathbb{1}_{[\varepsilon, T_c]}(t) + \mathbb{1}_{[0, \varepsilon]}(t) F(T_c - t, x_o)). \quad 38$$

39 Proposition 2.3 gives the tightness of  $Y_t^\varepsilon - Y_0^\varepsilon$ , and 39  
40  
41

$$42 \quad Y_0^\varepsilon \mathbb{1}_{[\varepsilon, T_c]}(t) + \mathbb{1}_{[0, \varepsilon]}(t) F(T_c - t, x_o) \quad 42$$



1 is a nonrandom sequence of functions that converges uniformly; hence  $\tilde{Y}^\varepsilon$  is tight. 1  
 2 For strictly positive time  $t$ , 2

$$3 \quad X_t^\varepsilon = F^{-1}(T_c - t, \tilde{Y}_t^\varepsilon). \quad 3$$

4 The previous Lemma 2.6 yields the tightness of  $X^\varepsilon$ . Hence we have shown that 4  
 5

$$6 \quad \forall \varphi = (\varepsilon_k)_k \rightarrow 0, \exists X_{]0, T_c]}^\varphi, \quad X_{]0, T_c]}^{\varepsilon_k} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} X_{]0, T_c]}^\varphi \quad \text{for a subsequence.} \quad 6$$

7  
 8  
 9 PROPOSITION 2.7. Let  $\varphi = (\varepsilon_k)_k \rightarrow 0$  and  $X_{]0, T_c]}^\varphi$  such that  $X_{]0, T_c]}^{\varepsilon_k} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}}$  9  
 10  $X_{]0, T_c]}^\varphi$ . Then  $X_{]0, T_c]}^\varphi$  is a  $\frac{1}{2}g(T_c - t)$ -BM in the following sense: 10  
 11

$$12 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad X_{[\varepsilon, T_c]}^\varphi \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \text{BM}(\varepsilon, X_\varepsilon^\varphi). \quad 12$$

13  
 14 PROOF. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; then for large  $k$  14

$$15 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X^{\varepsilon_k} \text{ is a } \text{BM}(\varepsilon, X_\varepsilon^{\varepsilon_k}) \text{ after time } \varepsilon, \text{ by the Markov property,} \\ \text{and let } X \text{ be a } \text{BM}(\varepsilon, X_\varepsilon^\varphi) \text{ after time } \varepsilon. \end{array} \right. \quad 15$$

16  
 17 We want to show that  $X = X^\varphi$  after  $\varepsilon$ . To sketch the proof 17  
 18

$$19 \quad X^{\varepsilon_k} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{L}} X^\varphi, \quad 19$$

20  
 21 and hence 21

$$22 \quad X_\varepsilon^{\varepsilon_k} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{L}} X_\varepsilon^\varphi. \quad 22$$

23  
 24 We use the Skorokhod theorem, to have a  $L_2$ -convergence in a larger probability 24  
 25 space 25

$$26 \quad X_\varepsilon^{\varepsilon_k} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{L_2, \text{ a.s.}} X_\varepsilon^{\prime\varphi}, \quad 26$$

27  
 28 with  $X_\varepsilon^{\prime\varepsilon_k} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} X_\varepsilon^{\varepsilon_k}$  and  $X_\varepsilon^{\prime\varphi} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} X_\varepsilon^\varphi$ . We use convergence of solutions of SDEs with 28  
 29 initial conditions converging in  $L_2$  (see Stroock and Varadhan [23]), to get 29  
 30

$$31 \quad \text{BM}(\varepsilon, X_\varepsilon^{\prime\varepsilon_k}) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{L}} \text{BM}(\varepsilon, X_\varepsilon^{\prime\varphi}), \quad 31$$

$$32 \quad \text{BM}(\varepsilon, X_\varepsilon^{\prime\varepsilon_k}) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} X_{[\varepsilon, T_c]}^{\varepsilon_k}, \quad 32$$

$$33 \quad \text{BM}(X_\varepsilon^{\prime\varphi}) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \text{BM}(\varepsilon, X_\varepsilon^\varphi). \quad 33$$

34  
 35 We use that 35  
 36

$$37 \quad X^{\varepsilon_k} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{L}} X^\varphi \quad 37$$

38  
 39 to conclude, after identification of the limit, 39  
 40

$$41 \quad X = \text{BM}(\varepsilon, X_\varepsilon^\varphi) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} X_{[\varepsilon, T_c]}^\varphi. \quad 41$$

42  
 43

1 Hence the process  $X^\varphi$  is a  $\frac{1}{2}g(T_c - u)_{u \in ]0, T_c]}$ -BM in the above sense, we call  
2 “without birth.”  $\square$

3  
4 We now show that in the sphere case the  $\frac{1}{2}g(T_c - u)_{u \in ]0, T_c]}$ -BM is, after a  
5 change of time, nothing else than a  $\text{BM}(g(0))_{]-\infty, 0]}$ . This will give uniqueness in  
6 law of the process.

7  
8 **PROPOSITION 2.8.** *Let  $g(t)$  be a family of metrics which arises from a mean*  
9 *curvature flow on the sphere. Then the  $\tilde{g}(u) = \frac{1}{2}g(T_c - u)_{u \in ]0, T_c]}$ -BM is unique in*  
10 *law.*

11  
12 **PROOF.** Let  $R_0$  be the radius of the  $g(0)$ -sphere. Then  $T_c = \frac{R_0^2}{2n}$ , and by direct  
13 computation we obtain

$$14 \quad F(t, x) = \frac{\sqrt{R_0^2 - 2nt}}{R_0} x. \quad 15$$

16  
17 Let  $X$  be a  $\frac{1}{2}g(T_c - u)_{u \in ]0, T_c]}$ -BM. By Proposition 1.5 we know that the diffusion  
18  $Z_t := F(T_c - t, X_t)$  is a local martingale in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . By construction we know that  
19  $Z_t$  belongs to the sphere  $M_{T_c - t}$ , and  $X_t = \frac{R_0}{\sqrt{2nt}} Z_t$ . By invariance under the or-  
20 thogonal group  $O(n+1)$ , the generator of  $X$  must have the form  $k(t)\Delta_{g(0)}$ , where  
21  $\Delta_{g(0)}$  is the generator of the spherical Brownian motion; consequently for some  
22 deterministic time-change  $\varphi$ ,  $X_{\varphi(\cdot)}$  is a spherical Brownian motion. To identify  $\varphi$   
23 it suffices to compute the quadratic variation of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Proposition 1.6 gives  
24  $\langle dZ_t, dZ_t \rangle = 2n dt$ , wherefrom  
25

$$26 \quad \langle dX_t, dX_t \rangle = \left( \frac{R_0}{\sqrt{2nt}} \right)^2 \langle dZ_t, dZ_t \rangle = \frac{R_0^2}{t} dt \quad 27$$

28 and  
29

$$30 \quad \langle dX_{\varphi(t)}, dX_{\varphi(t)} \rangle = \frac{R_0^2 \varphi'(t)}{\varphi(t)} dt; \quad 31$$

32 identifying this with the quadratic variation  $n dt$  of spherical Brownian motion  
33 gives the time-change  $\varphi$  with the initial condition  $\varphi(0) = T_c$ , that is, the function  
34

$$35 \quad \varphi(t) = T_c \exp\left(\frac{t}{2T_c}\right). \quad 36$$

37  
38 We get that  $X_{\varphi(t)} = (\text{BM}_{g(0)})_t$ , according to the usual characterization of a  
39 Brownian motion. Hence by this deterministic change of time, and by the unique-  
40 ness in law of a  $(\text{BM}_{g(0)})_{]-\infty, 0]}$  on the sphere, we get uniqueness in law of a  
41  $\frac{1}{2}g(T_c - u)_{u \in ]0, T_c]}$ -BM on a sphere.  $\square$   
42  
43

1     REMARK 2.9. By invariance of  $Z_t$  under the orthogonal group  $O(n+1)$  and 1  
 2 using the fact that the norm of  $Z_t$  is deterministic [i.e.,  $\|Z_t\| = f(t)$ ] we deduce 2  
 3 that the generator of  $Z$  at a point  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$  must have the form  $c(t)\Delta_{z^\perp}$  [where 3  
 4  $c(t)$  depends on  $f(t)$ , i.e.,  $2nc(t) = (f^2(t))'$ , and  $\Delta_{z^\perp}$  denotes the Laplacian in the 4  
 5 hyperplanar direction  $z^\perp$ ], just by computing the generator in good coordinates. 5  
 6

7     In the above proof we essentially made use of conformality of the family of 7  
 8 metrics. In the general case of a strictly convex initial manifold the family of met- 8  
 9 rics may be not conform. But we shall see in the sequel that for any strictly convex 9  
 10 initial manifold we can prove the uniqueness in law of the  $\frac{1}{2}g(T_c - u)_{u \in ]0, T_c[}$ -BM, 10  
 11 without the assumption of conformality and by using different strategies. 11  
 12

13     **3. Kendall–Cranston coupling.** In this section the manifold  $M$  is compact 13  
 14 and strictly convex. The goal is to prove uniqueness in law of the  $g(T_c - t)$ -BM. 14  
 15 This section will be cut into two parts: in the first one we will give a geometric 15  
 16 result inspired by the work of Huisken; the second one will be an adaptation of 16  
 17 the Kendall–Cranston coupling. We will, by a deterministic change of time, trans- 17  
 18 form a  $g(T_c - t)$ -BM (the existence of which comes from Proposition 2.7) into a 18  
 19  $\tilde{g}(t)_{t \in ]-\infty, 0]}$ -BM which has good geometric properties. 19  
 20

21     REMARK 3.1. In the two last sections in [15], Huisken considers, like Hamil- 21  
 22 ton for the Ricci flow, the normalized mean curvature flow. It consists of dilating 22  
 23 the manifolds  $M_t$  by a coefficient to obtain manifolds of constant volume. He ob- 23  
 24 tains a positive coefficient of dilation  $\psi(t)$  that satisfies the following property: 24  
 25

26     THEOREM 3.2 (Huisken [15]). For all  $t \in [0, T_c[$ , define  $\tilde{F}(t, \cdot) = \psi(t)F(t, \cdot)$  27  
 28 such that  $\int_{\tilde{M}_t} d\tilde{\mu}_t = |M_0|$  and  $\tilde{t}(t) = \int_0^t \psi^2(\tau) d\tau$ , then there exist positive con- 28  
 29 stants  $\delta$  and  $C$  such that: 29  
 30

- 31     (i)  $\tilde{T}_c = \infty$ ;
- 32     (ii)  $\tilde{H}_{\max}(\tilde{t}) - \tilde{H}_{\min}(\tilde{t}) \leq Ce^{-\delta\tilde{t}}$ ;
- 33     (iii)  $|\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}} \tilde{g}_{ij}(\tilde{t})| \leq Ce^{-\delta\tilde{t}}$ ;
- 34     (iv)  $\tilde{g}_{ij}(\tilde{t}) \rightarrow \tilde{g}_{ij}(\infty)$  when  $\tilde{t} \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly, for the  $C^\infty$ -topology, and the con- 34  
 35 vergence is exponentially fast;
- 36     (v)  $\tilde{g}(\infty)$  is a metric such that  $(M, \tilde{g}(\infty))$  is a sphere. 36  
 37

38     We will now give the change of time propositions. 38  
 39

40     PROPOSITION 3.3. Let  $\psi : [0, T_c[ \rightarrow ]0, \infty[$  be as above,  $\tilde{t}$  defined by 40  
 41

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{t} : [0, T_c[ \longrightarrow [0, \infty[, \quad t \longmapsto \int_0^t \psi^2(\tau) d\tau,$$

43

43

1 for all  $t \in [0, \infty[$ , define

$$2 \quad \tilde{g}(t) = \psi^2(\tilde{t}^{-1}(t))g(\tilde{t}^{-1}(t)),$$

3 where  $g(t)$  is the family of metrics coming from a mean curvature flow, and  $X_t$  is  
4 a  $g(t)$ -BM. Then

$$5 \quad t \mapsto X_{\tilde{t}^{-1}(t)} \text{ is a } \tilde{g}(t)\text{-BM defined on } [0, \infty[.$$

6 PROOF. Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$

$$7 \quad f(X_{\tilde{t}^{-1}(t)}) \stackrel{\mathcal{M}}{\equiv} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\tilde{t}^{-1}(t)} \Delta_{g(s)} f(X_s) ds$$

$$8 \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{M}}{\equiv} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Delta_{g(\tilde{t}^{-1}(s))} f(X_{\tilde{t}^{-1}(s)}) (\tilde{t}^{-1})'(s) ds$$

$$9 \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{M}}{\equiv} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Delta_{1/((\tilde{t}^{-1})'(s))g(\tilde{t}^{-1}(s))} f(X_{\tilde{t}^{-1}(s)}) ds.$$

10 Using

$$11 \quad \psi^2(\tilde{t}^{-1}(s))(\tilde{t}^{-1})'(s) = 1,$$

12 we obtain

$$13 \quad \frac{1}{(\tilde{t}^{-1})'(s)} g(\tilde{t}^{-1}(s)) = \tilde{g}(s). \quad \square$$

14 PROPOSITION 3.4. Let  $X_t^{T_c}$ , with  $t \in ]0, T_c]$ , be a  $g(T_c - t)$ -BM. Let  $\tau$  be  
15 defined by

$$16 \quad \tau : ]0, T_c] \longrightarrow ]-\infty, 0],$$

$$17 \quad t \longmapsto -\tilde{t}(T - t).$$

18 Let  $\tilde{g}(t)$  be defined by

$$19 \quad \tilde{g}(t) = \psi^2(T_c - \tau^{-1}(t))g(T_c - \tau^{-1}(t)) \quad \forall t \in ]-\infty, 0].$$

20 Then

$$21 \quad t \mapsto X_{\tau^{-1}(t)}^{T_c} \text{ is a } \tilde{g}(t)\text{-BM.}$$

22 PROOF. Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$  and  $s < t$ ,

$$23 \quad f(X_{\tau^{-1}(t)}^{T_c}) - f(X_{\tau^{-1}(s)}^{T_c}) \stackrel{\mathcal{M}}{\equiv} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau^{-1}(s)}^{\tau^{-1}(t)} \Delta_{g(T_c - u)} f(X_u^{T_c}) du$$

$$24 \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{M}}{\equiv} \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \Delta_{g(T_c - \tau^{-1}(u))} f(X_{\tau^{-1}(u)}^{T_c}) (\tau^{-1}(u))'(s) du$$

$$25 \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{M}}{\equiv} \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \Delta_{1/(\tau^{-1})'(u)g(T_c - \tau^{-1}(u))} f(X_{\tau^{-1}(u)}^{T_c}) du.$$

1 We have  $-\tilde{t}(T_c - \tau^{-1}(u)) = u$ , and

$$2 \quad (\tau^{-1})'(u)\psi^2(T_c - \tau^{-1}(u)) = 1. \quad 2$$

3 We obtain

$$4 \quad f(X_{\tau^{-1}(t)}^{T_c}) - f(X_{\tau^{-1}(s)}^{T_c}) \stackrel{M}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \Delta_{\psi^2(T_c - \tau^{-1}(u))g(T_c - \tau^{-1}(u))} f(X_{\tau^{-1}(u)}^{T_c}) du, \quad 4$$

5 that is,

$$6 \quad f(X_{\tau^{-1}(t)}^{T_c}) - f(X_{\tau^{-1}(s)}^{T_c}) \stackrel{M}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \Delta_{\tilde{g}(u)} f(X_{\tau^{-1}(u)}^{T_c}) du. \quad 6$$

□

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12 **REMARK 3.5.** By Theorem 3.2, we know that  $\tilde{g}(t)$  tends to a sphere metric as  
13  $t$  goes to  $-\infty$ . The above proposition transforms “two”  $g(T_c - t)$ -BM into “two”  
14  $\tilde{g}$ -BM. Thus we shall use the regularization of a metric into the sphere metric as  
15 well as the large time interval to perform the coupling.

16 Let  $\tau_x$  be a plane in  $T_x M$  and  $g(t)$  be a metric on  $M$ . We write  $K(t, \tau_x)$  for the  
17 sectional curvature of the plane  $\tau_x$  according to the metric  $g(t)$ . We will now give  
18 a few geometric lemmas that will be used later. For simplicity we will take positive  
19 times.

20  
21  
22 **LEMMA 3.6.** *Let  $g(t)$  be a family of metrics on a manifold  $M$ , and  $g(\infty)$  a*  
23 *metric that makes  $M$  into a sphere. Suppose that:*

- 24 (i)  $g(t) \rightarrow g(\infty)$  uniformly, when  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for the  $C^\infty$ -topology exponen-  
25 tially fast, that is,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall$  multi-indices  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  such that  $\sum i_k = n$ ,  
26  $\exists C_n, \delta_n > 0$ , such that

$$27 \quad \left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial X_{i_1} \dots \partial X_{i_k}} g_{ij}(t) - \frac{\partial^n}{\partial X_{i_1} \dots \partial X_{i_k}} g_{ij}(\infty) \right| \leq C_n e^{-\delta_n t}; \quad 27$$

- 28 (ii)  $\exists \delta, C^1 > 0$  such that  $|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij}(t)| \leq C^1 e^{-\delta t}$ ;  
29 (iii)  $\text{vol}_{g(t)}(M) = \text{vol}_{g(0)}(M)$ .

30  
31  
32 Then, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $T \in [0, \infty[$ ,  $\exists C, \text{cst}, \text{cst}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $c_n(\text{cst}, V) > 0$   
33 such that,  $\forall t \in [T, \infty[$  the following conditions are satisfied:

- 34 (i) for all  $x$  in  $M$  and for all planes  $\tau_x \subset T_x M$ ,  $|K(t, \tau_x) - \text{cst}| \leq \varepsilon$ ;  
35 (ii)  $|\rho_t - \rho_\infty|_{M \times M} \leq \text{cst}_1 e^{-\delta t}$ ;  
36 (iii)  $\rho_t'(x, y) := \frac{d}{dt} \rho_t(x, y) \leq C$  in a compact CC of  $M \times M$ ,

37 where the constant  $\text{cst}$  comes from the radius of  $M$  with respect to  $g(\infty)$ ,  $\rho_t(x, y)$   
38 is the distance between  $x$  and  $y$  for the metric  $g(t)$ , and

$$39 \quad CC = \left\{ (x, y) \in M \times M : \rho_t(x, y) \leq \min \left( \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\text{cst} + \varepsilon}}, \frac{c_n(\text{cst}, V)}{2} \right), \forall t > T \right\}. \quad 39$$

40

41

42

43

1 PROOF. Let us prove (i). 1

2 Curvatures are functions of second-order derivatives of the metric tensor. We 2  
 3 give the definitions of curvatures tensors, to make this point clear. Conventions 3  
 4 are as in [18, 19, 21], and in particular, we use Einstein's summation convention. 4  
 5 For a metric connection without torsion (Levi-Civita connection), we recall the 5  
 6 following standard definitions: 6

7 - the Christoffel symbols, 7

$$8 \quad \Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} g_{jl} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} g_{il} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} g_{ij} \right);$$

11 - the (3, 1) Riemann tensor, 11

$$12 \quad R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z; 12$$

14 - the (4, 0) curvature tensor, 14

$$15 \quad R_m(X, Y, Z, W) = \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle; 15$$

17 - the sectional curvature, 17

$$18 \quad K(X, Y) = \frac{R_m(X, Y, Y, X)}{|X|^2 |Y|^2 - \langle X, Y \rangle^2}. 18$$

19 We see that the sectional curvature depends on the metric and its derivatives up to 19  
 20 order two, so that  $\forall x \in M$ , and for all planes  $\tau_x \subset T_x M$ , 20

$$21 \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} K(t, \tau_x) = \text{cst}. 21$$

22 Also, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $T$  such that for all  $t > T$ , for all  $x$  in  $M$  and for all 22  
 23 planes  $\tau_x \subset T_x M$ , 23

$$24 \quad |K(t, \tau_x) - \text{cst}| \leq \varepsilon. 24$$

25 For the third point (iii): for  $(x, y) \in CC$ , where  $CC$  is defined above, we will 25  
 26 show that we have uniqueness of minimal  $g(t)$ -geodesic from  $x$  to  $y$ , for all time 26  
 27  $t > T$ , because we have the well-known Klingenberg's result (e.g., [13], page 158) 27  
 28 about the injectivity radius of a compact manifold whose sectional curvature is 28  
 29 bounded above. To use Klingenberg's lemma, we have to bound the shortest length 29  
 30 of a closed geodesic. We will use Cheeger's theorem ([3], page 96). By the con- 30  
 31 vergence of the metric, we have the convergence of the Ricci curvature, and thus 31  
 32 we obtain that they are bounded by the same constant. We obtain, using Myers's 32  
 33 theorem that all diameters are bounded from above. The volumes are constant 33  
 34 so bounded from below, all sectional curvatures of  $M$  are bounded in absolute 34  
 35 value from above. By Cheeger's theorem there exists a constant  $c_n(\text{cst}, V) > 0$  35  
 36 that bounds the length of smooth closed geodesics. Hence, for large time, using 36  
 37 Klingenberg's lemma, we get a bound from below, uniform in time for large time, 37  
 38 of the injectivity radius  $\min\left(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\text{cst}+\varepsilon}}, \frac{c_n(\text{cst}, V)}{2}\right)$ . 38  
 39 39  
 40 40  
 41 41  
 42 42  
 43 43

Hence for all  $t > T$ , there exists only one  $g(t)$ -geodesic between  $x$  and  $y$ , and we denote it by  $\gamma^t$ . Let  $E(\gamma^t) = \int_0^1 \langle \dot{\gamma}^t(s), \dot{\gamma}^t(s) \rangle_{g(t)} ds$  be the energy of the geodesic where  $\dot{\gamma}^t(s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \gamma^t(s)$ ,  $\rho_t^2(x, y) = E(\gamma^t)$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=t_0} \rho_t(x, y) \right) (\rho_t(x, y)) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=t_0} E(\gamma^t) \\ &= \int_0^1 \langle \dot{\gamma}^{t_0}(s), \dot{\gamma}^{t_0}(s) \rangle_{\partial/\partial t|_{t=t_0} g(t)} ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^1 \left\langle D_t \Big|_{t=t_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \gamma^t(s), \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \gamma^{t_0}(s) \right\rangle_{g(t_0)} ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \langle \dot{\gamma}^{t_0}(s), \dot{\gamma}^{t_0}(s) \rangle_{\partial/\partial t|_{t=t_0} g(t)} ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^1 \left\langle D_s \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=t_0} \gamma^t(s), \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \gamma^{t_0}(s) \right\rangle_{g(t_0)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=t_0} \gamma^t(s)$  be a vector field such that  $X(x) = 0_{T_x M}$ ,  $X(y) = 0_{T_y M}$  because we do not change the starting and terminal point. The covariant derivative is computed with the Levi-Civita connection associated to  $g(t_0)$ . Hence we obtain

$$\int_0^1 \left\langle D_s \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=t_0} \gamma^t(s), \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \gamma^{t_0}(s) \right\rangle_{g(t_0)} ds = \int_0^1 \left\langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}^{t_0}(s)} X, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \gamma^{t_0}(s) \right\rangle_{g(t_0)} ds,$$

and also

$$\left\langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}^{t_0}(s)} X, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \gamma^{t_0}(s) \right\rangle_{g(t_0)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left\langle X, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \gamma^{t_0}(s) \right\rangle_{g(t_0)},$$

because the connection is metric, and  $\gamma^{t_0}$  is a  $g(t_0)$ -geodesic. Hence

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left\langle X, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \gamma^{t_0}(s) \right\rangle_{g(t_0)} ds = \left[ \left\langle X, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \gamma^{t_0}(s) \right\rangle_{g(t_0)} \right]_0^1 = 0.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=t_0} \rho_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\rho_{t_0}(x, y)} \int_0^1 \langle \dot{\gamma}^{t_0}(s), \dot{\gamma}^{t_0}(s) \rangle_{\partial/\partial t|_{t=t_0} g(t)} ds.$$

We will now control the second term in the previous equation. By the exponential convergence of the metric we can assume that the time is in the compact interval  $[0, 1]$ . The manifold is compact, so we have a finite family of charts (indeed, we may assume that we have two charts because the manifold has a metric which turns it into a sphere). The support of this chart could be taken to be relatively compact,

and in this chart we can take the Euclidean metric, that is,  $\langle \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle_E = \delta_i^j$ . In general this is not a metric on  $M$ . For the sake of simplicity, after taking the minimum over all charts, we may assume that we just have one chart. Let  $S_1$  be a sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the Euclidean metric. The functional

$$[0, 1] \times S_1 \times M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (t, v, x) \longmapsto g_{ij}(t, x)v_i v_j,$$

reaches its minimum  $C > 0$ . Hence

$$\|T\|_E \leq C^{-1} \|T\|_{g(t)} \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall T \in TM.$$

Hence for (3.2) we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_{t=t_0} \rho_t(x, y) &\leq \frac{1}{2\rho_{t_0}(x, y)} C^1 e^{-\delta t_0} \int_0^1 |\langle \dot{\gamma}^{t_0}(s), \dot{\gamma}^{t_0}(s) \rangle_E| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\rho_{t_0}(x, y)} C^1 (C)^{-1} e^{-\delta t_0} \int_0^1 |\langle \dot{\gamma}^{t_0}(s), \dot{\gamma}^{t_0}(s) \rangle_{g(t_0)}| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} C^1 (C)^{-1} e^{-\delta t_0}. \end{aligned}$$

This expression is clearly bounded.

For the second point (ii), let  $x, y \in M$  take  $\gamma_\infty$  be a  $g(\infty)$ -geodesic that joins  $x$  to  $y$ . Then we have, on the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_t^2(x, y) - \rho_\infty^2(x, y) &\leq \int_0^1 \langle \dot{\gamma}_\infty(s), \dot{\gamma}_\infty(s) \rangle_{g(t)-g(\infty)} ds \\ &\leq \text{Cst} e^{-\delta t} \int_0^1 \|\dot{\gamma}_\infty(s)\|_{g(\infty)}^2 ds \\ &\leq \text{Cst} e^{-\delta t} \text{diam}_{g(\infty)}^2(M), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant changes and depends on the previous constant.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\infty^2(x, y) - \rho_t^2(x, y) &\leq \int_0^1 \langle \dot{\gamma}^t(s), \dot{\gamma}^t(s) \rangle_{g(\infty)-g(t)} ds \\ &\leq \text{Cst} e^{-\delta t} \int_0^1 \|\dot{\gamma}^t(s)\|_{g(t)}^2 ds \\ &\leq \text{Cst} e^{-\delta t} \text{diam}_{g(t)}^2(M) \\ &\leq \text{cst}_1 e^{-\delta t}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $\text{cst}_1$ . We use Myers's theorem for the last inequality to get a uniform upper bound of the diameter (since all Ricci curvatures are uniformly bounded). We get exponential convergence of the length.  $\square$



1 We will now show uniqueness in law of a  $g(T_c - t)$ -BM. By Proposition 3.4, 1  
 2 this uniqueness is equivalent to uniqueness in law of a  $\tilde{g}(t)_{] - \infty, 0]}$ -BM. This family 2  
 3 of metrics,  $\tilde{g}(t)$ , satisfies 3

$$4 \quad \tilde{g}(t) \longrightarrow \tilde{g}(-\infty) \quad \text{for the } C^\infty\text{-topology.} \quad 4$$

5 Let  $Z^1, Z^2$  be two  $\tilde{g}$ -BM $_{] - \infty, 0]}$  and  $N \ll T$  where  $T$  is the time of the Lem- 5  
 6 ma 3.6, that is, the time up to which all bounds of the lemma are under control. 6  
 7 The geometry before this time is similar to the geometry of the sphere. So the 7  
 8 result of uniqueness in law for Brownian motion defined in a product probability 8  
 9 space, indexed by  $\mathbb{R}$  in a compact manifold (e.g., [1, 6]) could give the heuristics 9  
 10 to our results. As we can see in [4] the  $g(t)$ -stochastic development and the  $g(t)$ - 10  
 11 horizontal lift of a  $g(t)$ -BM is well defined. 11  
 12

13 We shall consider a new process  $Z_{N,t}^3$  equal in law to  $Z^2$  after  $N$  and equal to 13  
 14  $Z^2$  before. In the sequel we denote  $Z_t^3$  for  $Z_{N,t}^3$ . The construction, after time  $N$ , 14  
 15 will be given by localization in a stochastic interval. 15

16 Let  $T_0^N = N$ , and for all  $t \leq N$ ,  $Z_{N,t}^3 = Z_t^2$ . 16

17 (1) Let  $Z_t^3$  evolve independently of  $Z_t^1$ , that is,  $Z_t^3$  is a  $g(T_0^N + \cdot)$ -BM which 17  
 18 starts at  $Z_{T_0^N}^3$  and the  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued Brownian motion that drives  $Z_t^3$  will be indepen- 18  
 19 dent of the one that drives  $Z_t^1$ . 19  
 20

21 Let  $T_1^N = (N + \frac{1}{2}) \wedge \inf\{t > T_0^N, \rho_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) \leq \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\text{cst} + \varepsilon}} \wedge c_n(\text{cst}, V))\} \wedge T$ . 21  
 22 The constant  $\varepsilon$  is just taken to be small enough. 22

23 Let  $C_N = \inf\{t > N, Z_t^1 = Z_t^3\}$ . 23

24 (2) At time  $T_1^N$  24  
 25

26 • if  $\rho_{T_1^N}(Z_{T_1^N}^1, Z_{T_1^N}^3) \leq \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\text{cst} + \varepsilon}} \wedge c_n(\text{cst}, V))$ , these two points ( $Z_{T_1^N}^3$  and  $Z_{T_1^N}^1$ ) 26  
 27 are close enough to make mirror coupling possible. The distance between these 27  
 28 two points is strictly less than the injectivity radius  $i_{g(t)}(M)$ , and hence we have 28  
 29 uniqueness of the geodesic that joins these two points. After  $T_1^N$  and before  $C_N$ , 29  
 30 we build  $Z_t^3$  as the  $g(T_1^N + \cdot)$ -BM that starts at  $Z_{T_1^N}^3$ , and solves 30  
 31

$$32 \quad *dZ_t^3 = U_t^3 * d((U_t^3)^{-1} m_{Z_t^1, Z_t^3}^t U_t^1 e_i dW_t^i) \quad 32$$

33 and after  $C_N$ , 33  
 34  
 35

$$36 \quad Z_t^3 = Z_t^1, \quad C_N \leq t, \quad 36$$

37 where  $U_t^3$  is the horizontal lift of  $Z_t^3$ . To be correct we have to write down 37  
 38 a system of stochastic differential equations as in Kendall [20]: let  $U_t^1$  be the 38  
 39 horizontal lift of  $Z_t^1$  and  $dW_t^i$  be the Brownian motions that drive  $Z_t^1$ . Then 39  
 40 the mirror map  $m_{x,y}^t$  consists of transporting a vector along the unique minimal 40  
 41  $g(t)$ -geodesic that joins  $x$  to  $y$  and then reflecting it about the hyperplane of 41  
 42  $(T_y M, g(t))$  which is perpendicular to the incoming geodesic. 42  
 43

By the isometry property of the horizontal lift of the  $g(t)$ -BM (see [4]), we have that

$$(U_t^3)^{-1} m_{Z_t^1, Z_t^3}^t U_t^1 dW_t^i$$

is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued Brownian motion. Let

$$T_2^N = \left( T_1^N + \frac{1}{2} \right) \wedge \inf \left\{ t > T_1^N, \rho_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) > \frac{\pi/\sqrt{\text{cst} + \varepsilon} \wedge c_n(\text{cst}, V)}{2} \right\} \\ \wedge T \wedge C_N.$$

- If  $\rho_{T_1^N}(Z_{T_1^N}^1, Z_{T_1^N}^3) > \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\text{cst} + \varepsilon}} \wedge c_n(\text{cst}, V))$ , then  $T_2^N = T_1^N$ .

Iterate step 1 and 2 successively (changing  $T_0^N$  by  $T_2^N$  and  $T_1^N$  by  $T_3^N$  in step 1, changing  $T_1^N$  by  $T_3^N$  and  $T_2^N$  by  $T_4^N$  in step 2, ..., after time  $T$  if we have no coupling, we let  $Z^3$  evolve independently of  $Z^1$  until the end), we build by induction the process  $Z_t^3$  and a sequence of stopping times. We sketch it as:

- if  $C_N < T$ ,

$$T_0^N \xrightarrow{\text{independent}} T_1^N \xrightarrow{\text{coupling}} T_2^N \xrightarrow{\text{independent}} T_3^N \xrightarrow{\text{coupling}} T_4^N \dots C_N \xrightarrow{Z_t^3 = Z_t^1} 0;$$

- if  $C_N > T$ ,

$$T_0^N \xrightarrow{\text{independent}} T_1^N \xrightarrow{\text{coupling}} T_2^N \xrightarrow{\text{independent}} T_3^N \xrightarrow{\text{coupling}} T_4^N \dots T \xrightarrow{\text{independent}} 0.$$

PROPOSITION 3.7. *The two processes  $Z^3$  and  $Z^2$  are equal in law.*

PROOF. It is clear that before  $N$  the two processes are equal, so they are equal in law. After  $N$  we argue as following:

$$Z_N^3 = Z_N^2.$$

$$\begin{cases} *dZ_t^3 = \sum_i U_t^3 e_i * dB^i, & \text{when } t \in [T_{2k}^N, T_{2k+1}^N \wedge C_N], \\ *dZ_t^3 = \sum_i U_t^3 * d((U_t^3)^{-1} m_{Z_t^1, Z_t^3}^t U_t^1) e_i dW_t^i, & \\ Z_t^3 = Z_t^1, & \text{when } t \in [T_{2k+1}^N, T_{2k+2}^N \wedge C_N], \\ & C_N \leq t. \end{cases}$$

We write

$$*dZ_t^3 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{[T_k^N, T_{k+1}^N]}(t) *dZ_t^3 = \sum_{k:\text{even}} \dots + \sum_{k:\text{odd}} \dots.$$

Let  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  then we have:

1 - for even  $k$ :

$$2 \quad df(\mathbb{1}_{[T_k^N, T_{k+1}^N]}(t) * dZ_t^3) \stackrel{d\mathcal{M}}{\equiv} \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{[T_k^N, T_{k+1}^N]}(t) \Delta_{\tilde{g}(t)} f(Z_t^3) dt;$$

4 - for odd  $k$ :

$$5 \quad df(\mathbb{1}_{[T_k^N, T_{k+1}^N]}(t) * dZ_t^3) \stackrel{d\mathcal{M}}{\equiv} \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{[T_k^N, T_{k+1}^N]}(t) \Delta_{\tilde{g}(t)} f(Z_t^3) dt.$$

8 Hence  $Z^3$  and  $Z^2$  are two diffusions with the same starting distribution and the  
9 same generator; hence they are equal in law. For the gluing with  $Z^1$  after  $C_N$  this  
10 is just the strong Markov property for  $(t, Z)$ .  $\square$

11 PROPOSITION 3.8. *There exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that*

$$12 \quad \mathbb{P}(T_1^N - N < \frac{1}{2}) > \alpha.$$

15 PROOF. By the  $C^\infty$ -convergence of the metric we get

$$16 \quad \forall t < T \quad |\Delta_{\tilde{g}(t)} f - \Delta_{\tilde{g}(-\infty)} f| \leq \tilde{C} e^{\delta t},$$

18 where the constant comes from Theorem 3.2, and the derivative of  $f$  up to order  
19 two. We also obtain, by Lemma 3.6, for a constant  $\varepsilon_2$  that will be fixed below:

$$20 \quad |\rho_t - \rho_{-\infty}| \leq \varepsilon_2.$$

22 Over the sphere  $(M, \tilde{g}(-\infty))$ , we have by the usual comparison theorem

$$23 \quad \Delta_{\tilde{g}(-\infty)} \rho_{-\infty}(x) \leq n \cot(\rho_{-\infty}(x)).$$

25 We can suppose after normalization that the radius of the sphere  $(M, \tilde{g}(-\infty))$  is  
26 one,  $\text{Radius}_{-\infty}(M) = 1$  (i.e.,  $\text{cst} = 1$ ) in Lemma 3.6. We deduce from above that

$$27 \quad \Delta_{\tilde{g}(t)} \rho_{-\infty}(x) \leq n \cot(\rho_{-\infty}(x)) + \tilde{C} e^{\delta t}.$$

29 In  $[N, T_1^N[$ , we have  $\rho_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) > \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon}} \wedge c_n(\text{cst}, V))$ , so

$$30 \quad \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon}} \wedge c_n(\text{cst}, V) \right) - \varepsilon_2 \leq \rho_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) - \varepsilon_2 \leq \rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) \leq \pi.$$

33 We can choose  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2$  such that  $\frac{1}{4}(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon}} \wedge c_n(\text{cst}, V)) - \varepsilon_2 \geq \beta > 0$ . We obtain

$$34 \quad \cot(\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, Z_t^3)) \leq \cot(\beta)$$

37 and

$$38 \quad \Delta_{\tilde{g}(t)} \rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, \cdot)(Z_t^3) \leq n \cot(\beta) + \tilde{C} e^{\delta T},$$

40 (recall that  $T \ll 0$ ). The increments of  $Z^3$  and  $Z^1$  are independent on  $[N, T_1^N]$ .

41 Hence

$$42 \quad (Z_t^1, Z_t^3) \text{ is a diffusion with generator } \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{\tilde{g}(t),1} + \Delta_{\tilde{g}(t),2}),$$

43

1 that is,

$$2 \quad d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) = dM_t + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{\tilde{g}(t)}\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, \cdot)(Z_t^3) + \Delta_{\tilde{g}(t)}\rho_{-\infty}(\cdot, Z_t^3)(Z_t^1)) dt, \quad 2$$

3 where  $M_t$  is a local martingale, so

$$4 \quad d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) \leq dM_t + \left( \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) + \tilde{C}e^{\delta T} \right) dt. \quad 4$$

5 Let us compute the quadratic variation of this local martingale,

$$6 \quad d\langle M, M \rangle_t = d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) \quad 6$$

7 with

$$8 \quad (3.3) \quad d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) = d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, \cdot) * dZ_t^3 + d\rho_{-\infty}(\cdot, Z_t^3) * dZ_t^1. \quad 8$$

9 Let  $\gamma_{-\infty}(Z_t^3, Z_t^1)(s)$  be the minimal  $\tilde{g}(-\infty)$ -geodesic between  $Z_t^3$  and  $Z_t^1$  that  
10 exists and is unique almost everywhere because  $\text{Cut}_{-\infty}(M)$  is a null measure sub-  
11 space. We write

$$12 \quad v_t^1 = \frac{\dot{\gamma}_{-\infty}(Z_t^3, Z_t^1)(0)}{\|\dot{\gamma}_{-\infty}(Z_t^3, Z_t^1)(0)\|_{\tilde{g}(-\infty)}}. \quad 12$$

13 We complete  $v_t^1$  with  $v_t^j$  to get a  $\tilde{g}(-\infty)$ -orthonormal basis. We rewrite  $*dZ_t^3$  as

$$14 \quad *dZ_t^3 = \sum U_t^3 e_i * dB^i = \sum_{i,j} \langle U_t^3 e_i, v_t^j \rangle_{\tilde{g}(-\infty)} v_t^j * dB^i. \quad 14$$

15 Hence by Gauss lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 16 \quad d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, \cdot) * dZ_t^3 &= \sum d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, \cdot) U_t^3 e_i * dB^i & 16 \\ 17 &= \sum_{i,j} d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, \cdot) \langle U_t^3 e_i, v_t^j \rangle_{\tilde{g}(-\infty)} v_t^j * dB^i & 17 \\ 18 &= \sum_i d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, \cdot) \langle U_t^3 e_i, v_t^1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}(-\infty)} v_t^1 * dB^i & 18 \\ 19 &= \sum_i \langle U_t^3 e_i, v_t^1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}(-\infty)} * dB^i. & 19 \end{aligned}$$

20 It follows that

$$21 \quad (d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, \cdot) * dZ_t^3)(d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, \cdot) * dZ_t^3) = \sum_i \langle U_t^3 e_i, v_t^1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}(-\infty)}^2 dt. \quad 21$$

22 By the exponential convergence of the metric,

$$23 \quad \langle U_t^3 e_i, v_t^1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}(-\infty)} \geq \langle U_t^3 e_i, v_t^1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}(t)} - \tilde{C}e^{\delta T}, \quad 23$$

1 hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_i \langle U_t e_i, v_t^1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}(-\infty)}^2 \\
& \geq \sum_i \langle U_t e_i, v_t^1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}(t)}^2 - 2\tilde{C}e^{\delta T} \sum_i \langle U_t e_i, v_t^1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}(t)} + n(\tilde{C}e^{\delta T})^2 \\
& = \|v_t^1\|_{\tilde{g}(t)}^2 - 2\tilde{C}e^{\delta T} \sum_i \langle U_t e_i, v_t^1 \rangle_{\tilde{g}(t)} + n(\tilde{C}e^{\delta T})^2 \\
& \geq \|v_t^1\|_{\tilde{g}(t)}^2 - 2\tilde{C}e^{\delta T} n \|v_t^1\|_{\tilde{g}(t)} + n(\tilde{C}e^{\delta T})^2 \quad \text{Schwarz} \\
& \geq (\|v_t^1\|_{\tilde{g}(-\infty)} - \tilde{C}e^{\delta T})^2 - 2\tilde{C}e^{\delta T} n (\|v_t^1\|_{\tilde{g}(-\infty)} + \tilde{C}e^{\delta T}) \\
& \quad + n(\tilde{C}e^{\delta T})^2 \\
& \geq 1 - \tilde{C}e^{\delta T} (2 - \tilde{C}e^{\delta T} + 2(n + n\tilde{C}e^{\delta T}) - n\tilde{C}e^{\delta T}) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for a small enough } T.
\end{aligned}$$

19 The independence of  $Z_t^1$  and  $Z_t^3$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
d\langle M_t, M_t \rangle &= (d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, \cdot) * dZ_t^3)(d\rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, \cdot) * dZ_t^3) \\
&\quad + (d\rho_{-\infty}(\cdot, Z_t^3) * dZ_t^1)(d\rho_{-\infty}(\cdot, Z_t^3) * dZ_t^1),
\end{aligned}$$

24 and hence

$$d\langle M_t, M_t \rangle \geq 1 dt.$$

27 For simplicity we write  $\theta = \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon}} \wedge c_n(\text{cst}, V))$ . It follows from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(T_1^N - N < 1/2) \\
& = \mathbb{P}(\exists t \in [N, N + 1/2] \text{ s.t. } \rho_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) \leq \theta) \\
& \geq \mathbb{P}(\exists t \in [N, N + 1/2] \text{ s.t. } \rho_{-\infty}(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) \leq \theta - \varepsilon_2) \\
& \geq \mathbb{P}(\exists t \in [N, N + 1/2] \text{ s.t. } \pi + M_t \\
& \quad + (\cot(\beta) + \tilde{C}e^{\delta T})(t - N) \leq \theta - \varepsilon_2) \\
& \geq \alpha > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

38 For the last step, we use the usual comparison theorem for stochastic processes  
39 (e.g., Ikeda and Watanabe [16]).  $\square$

41 We will now show that the coupling can occur between  $[T_1^N, T_2^N]$  in a time  
42 smaller than  $1/2$ .

PROPOSITION 3.9. *There exists  $\tilde{\alpha} > 0$  such that*

$$\mathbb{P}(C_N < (T_1^N + \frac{1}{2}) \wedge T_2^N) > \tilde{\alpha}.$$

PROOF. Between the two times  $T_1^N$  and  $T_2^N$ , we have mirror coupling between  $Z_t^1$  and  $Z_t^3$ . As in [5, 20] we have

$$d\rho_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) = \rho'_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) dt + 2d\beta_t + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^n I^t(J_i^t, J_i^t) dt,$$

$$dZ_t^3 = U_t^3 * d((U_t^3)^{-1} m_{Z_t^1, Z_t^3}^t U_t^1 e_i dW_t^i),$$

where:

- $\beta_t$  is a standard real Brownian motion;
- $\gamma_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3)(s)$  the minimal  $\tilde{g}(t)$  geodesic between  $Z_t^1$  and  $Z_t^3$ ;
- $(\dot{\gamma}(Z_t^1, Z_t^3)(0), e_i(t))$  a  $\tilde{g}(t)$ -orthonormal basis of  $T_{Z_t^1} M$ ;
- $J_i^t(s)$  the Jacobi field along  $\gamma_t$  for the metric  $\tilde{g}(t)$ , with initial condition  $J_i^t(0) = e_i(t)$  and  $J_i^t(\rho_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3)) = //_{\rho_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3)}^{t, \gamma_t} e_i(t)$ , that is, the parallel transport for the metric  $\tilde{g}(t)$  along  $\gamma_t$ , which is an orthogonal Jacobi field;
- $I^t$  is the index bilinear form for the metric  $\tilde{g}(t)$ .

Between the times  $T_1^N$  and  $T_2^N$ , we have

$$\rho_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) \leq \frac{\pi/\sqrt{\text{cst} + \varepsilon} \wedge c_n(\text{cst}, V)}{2}.$$

Hence by Lemma 3.6, there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\rho'_t(x, y) \leq C.$$

We have to show that between the times  $T_1^N$  and  $T_2^N$ ,

$$\sum_{i=2}^n I^t(J_i^t, J_i^t)$$

is bounded from above. We denote  $r = \rho_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3)$ , and  $\gamma$  for  $\gamma^t$ . Let  $G(s)$  be a real-valued function and  $K_i^t$  be the orthogonal vector field over  $\gamma$  defined by

$$K_i^t(s) = G(s) (//_i^{\gamma_t} e_i(t))(s),$$

where  $G(0) = G(r) = 1$ . We have

$$\|\nabla_{\partial/\partial s}^t K_i^t(s)\|_{\tilde{g}(t)}^2 = (\dot{G})^2.$$

By the index lemma (e.g., [21]), we deduce

$$I^t(J_i^t, J_i^t) \leq I^t(K_i^t, K_i^t)$$

1 and

$$2 \quad I^t(K_i^t, K_i^t) = \int_0^r \langle D_s K_i^t, D_s K_i^t \rangle_{\tilde{g}(t)} - R_{m, \tilde{g}(t)}(K_i^t, \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}, K_i^t) dt, \quad 2$$

3 where  $R_{m, \tilde{g}(t)}$  is the  $(4, 0)$  curvature tensor associated to the metric  $\tilde{g}(t)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \quad \sum_{i=2}^n I^t(K_i^t, K_i^t) &= \sum_{i=2}^n \int_0^r \langle D_s K_i^t, D_s K_i^t \rangle_{\tilde{g}(t)} - R_{m, \tilde{g}(t)}(K_i^t, \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}, K_i^t) ds \quad 4 \\ 5 &= \sum_{i=2}^n \int_0^r \|\nabla_{\partial/\partial s}^t K_i(s)\|_{\tilde{g}(t)}^2 - R_{m, \tilde{g}(t)}(K_i^t, \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}, K_i^t) ds \quad 5 \\ 6 &= \int_0^r (n-1)(\dot{G})^2 - (G)^2 \text{Ric}_{\tilde{g}(t)}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) ds \quad 6 \\ 7 &\leq (n-1) \int_0^r \left( (\dot{G})^2 - (G)^2 \left( \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-1} \right) \right) ds. \quad 7 \end{aligned}$$

8 For performing the computation, we impose on  $G$  to satisfy the ODE

$$\begin{cases} 9 \quad G(0) = G(r) = 1, \\ 10 \quad \ddot{G} + \left( \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-1} \right) G = 0. \end{cases} \quad 9$$

11 We notice that

$$12 \quad (\dot{G})^2 - (G)^2 \left( \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-1} \right) = (G\dot{G})', \quad 12$$

13 and the solution of this ODE is given by the function

$$14 \quad G(s) = \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-1}}s\right) + \frac{1 - \cos(\sqrt{(1-\varepsilon)/(n-1)}r)}{\sin(\sqrt{(1-\varepsilon)/(n-1)}r)} \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-1}}s\right). \quad 14$$

15 This function does not explode for  $r$  in  $[0, \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{(1-\varepsilon)/(n-1)}}]$ , and

$$16 \quad (\dot{G})(r) - (\dot{G})(0) = -2\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-1}} \tan\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-1}}r/2\right). \quad 16$$

17 Hence

$$18 \quad \sum_{i=2}^n I^t(J_i^t, J_i^t) \leq -2(n-1)\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-1}} \tan\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-1}}r/2\right) \leq 0. \quad 18$$

19 We get

$$20 \quad d\rho_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) \leq C dt + 2d\beta_t. \quad 20$$

21

After conditioning by  $\mathcal{F}_{T_1^N}$  we get the following computation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(C_N < \left(T_1^N + \frac{1}{2}\right) \wedge T_2^N\right) \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left(\exists t \in \left[T_1^N, \left(T_1^N + \frac{1}{2}\right) \wedge T_2^N\right] \text{ such that } \rho_t(Z_t^1, Z_t^3) = 0\right) \\
&\geq \mathbb{P}\left(\exists t \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \text{ such that } Ct + 2\beta_t + \frac{\pi/\sqrt{1+\varepsilon} \wedge c_n(\text{cst}, V)}{4} = 0\right. \\
&\quad \text{and } \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(Cs + 2\beta_s + \frac{\pi/\sqrt{1+\varepsilon} \wedge c_n(\text{cst}, V)}{4}\right) \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. < \frac{\pi/\sqrt{1+\varepsilon} \wedge c_n(\text{cst}, V)}{2}\right) \\
&\geq \tilde{\alpha} > 0. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

REMARK 3.10. A better  $\tilde{\alpha}$  could be found with a martingale of the type  $e^{a\beta_t - a^2t/2}$ .

THEOREM 3.11. *Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact, strictly convex hypersurface isometrically embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $(M, g(t))$  the family of metrics constructed by the mean curvature flow (as in Proposition 1.5). There exists a unique  $g(T_c - t)$ -BM in law.*

PROOF. Let  $X_t^1$  and  $X_t^2$  be two  $g(T_c - t)$ -BM, and by a deterministic change of time we get two  $\tilde{g}(t)$ -BM which we denote  $Z_t^1$  and  $Z_t^2$ . Let  $N \leq T \ll 0$ . As above we build  $Z_{N,t}^3$  and obtain  $Z_{N,t}^3 = Z_t^2$  in law. Let  $\tilde{k} = E(T - N)$  where  $E(t)$  is the integer part of  $t$ . We have by construction

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists t \in [N, T], \text{ s.t. } Z_{N,t}^3 = Z_t^1) \geq \mathbb{P}(\exists t \in [T_0^N, T_{2\tilde{k}}^N], \text{ s.t. } Z_{N,t}^3 = Z_t^1).$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the natural filtration generated by the two processes. By Propositions 3.8 and 3.9, along with the strong Markov property, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(\exists t \in [N, T_2^N] \text{ such that } Z_{N,t}^3 = Z_t^1) \\
&\geq \mathbb{P}(T_1^N < \frac{1}{2} + N; C_N < (T_1^N + \frac{1}{2}) \wedge T_2^N) \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(C_N \leq (T_1^N + \frac{1}{2}) \wedge T_2^N | \mathcal{F}_{T_1^N}) \mathbb{1}_{T_1^N \leq 1/2 + N}] \\
&\geq \tilde{\alpha} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{T_1^N \leq 1/2 + N}] \\
&\geq \alpha \tilde{\alpha} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By successive conditioning (by  $\mathcal{F}_{T_{2\tilde{k}-2}^N}, \dots$ ) we get

$$\mathbb{P}(\nexists t \in [T_0^N, T_{2\tilde{k}}^N] \text{ such that } Z_{N,t}^3 = Z_t^1) \leq (1 - \alpha \tilde{\alpha})^{\tilde{k}}.$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Let } f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{B}_b(M) \text{ (bounded Borel functions) and } t < t_1 < \dots < t_m \leq 0, \\
& |\mathbb{E}[f_1(Z_{t_1}^1) \cdots f_m(Z_{t_m}^1) - f_1(Z_{t_1}^2) \cdots f_m(Z_{t_m}^2)]| \\
& = |\mathbb{E}[f_1(Z_{t_1}^1) \cdots f_m(Z_{t_m}^1) - f_1(Z_{N,t_1}^3) \cdots f_m(Z_{N,t_m}^3)]| \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}[|f_1(Z_{t_1}^1) \cdots f_m(Z_{t_m}^1) - f_1(Z_{N,t_1}^3) \cdots f_m(Z_{N,t_m}^3)| \mathbb{1}_{Z_t^1 \neq Z_{N,t}^3}] \\
& \leq 2 \|f_1\|_\infty \cdots \|f_m\|_\infty \mathbb{P}(Z_t^1 \neq Z_{N,t}^3) \\
& = 2 \|f_1\|_\infty \cdots \|f_m\|_\infty \mathbb{P}(\nexists u \in [N, t] \text{ such that } Z_u^1 = Z_{N,u}^3) \\
& \leq 2 \|f\|_\infty \cdots \|f_m\|_\infty (1 - \alpha \tilde{\alpha})^{E(t-N)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We get the result by sending  $N$  to  $-\infty$ .  $\square$

REMARK 3.12. We could use Hamilton's results in [14] as well as the same strategies developed before to show the uniqueness in law of a  $g(T_c - t)$  Brownian motion, when the family of metrics  $g(t)$  comes from a three-dimensional Ricci flow and under the assumption of positive Ricci curvature for the starting manifold.

As application we give uniqueness of a solution of a differential equation without initial condition.

COROLLARY 3.13. *Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact, strictly convex hypersurface isometrically embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $(M, g(t))$  the family of metrics constructed by the mean curvature flow (as in Proposition 1.5). Then the following equation has a unique solution in  $]0, T_c]$ , where  $T_c$  is the explosion time of the mean curvature flow:*

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(t, y) + H^2(T_c - t, y) h(t, y) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{g(T_c - t)} h(t, y), \\ \int_M h(T_c, y) d\mu_0 = 1. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Existence: let  $X_{]0, T_c]}^{T_c}$  be a  $g(T_c - t)$ -BM with law  $h(t, y) d\mu_{T_c - t}$  at time  $t$ . Then the law satisfies (3.4); this is a consequence of a Green formula (compare with the similar computation for the Ricci flow in [4], Section 2).

Uniqueness: let  $\tilde{h}$  be a solution of (3.4) and  $\nu_k$  be a nonincreasing sequence in  $]0, T_c]$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nu_k = 0$ . Take an  $M$ -valued random variable  $\tilde{X}^{\nu_k} \sim \tilde{h}_{\nu_k} d\mu_{T_c - \nu_k}$ , and define the process

$$\bar{X}_t^{\nu_k} = \begin{cases} \tilde{X}^{\nu_k}, & \text{for } t \in ]0, \nu_k], \\ g(T_c - t)\text{-BM}(\tilde{X}^{\nu_k}), & \text{for } t \in [\nu_k, T_c]. \end{cases}$$

By a similar argument as in Section 2, we deduce the tightness of the sequence  $\bar{X}^{\nu_k}$ ; let  $\bar{X}$  be a limit of an extracted sequence (also denoted by  $\nu_k$ ). It is easy to

1 see (by the uniqueness of solutions of SDE, resp., PDE with initial function) that 1  
 2  $\bar{X}_{(\cdot)}^{v_{k'}} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \bar{X}_{(\cdot)}^{v_k}$  for times greater than  $v_k$  and  $k' \geq k$ . Sending  $k'$  to infinity we obtain 2  
 3  $\bar{X}_{(\cdot)} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \bar{X}_{(\cdot)}^{v_k}$  for times greater than  $v_k$ . Note also that for  $t \geq v_k$ , 3  
 4

$$5 \quad \bar{X}_{(\cdot)}^{v_k} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} g(T_c - \cdot)\text{-BM}(\bar{X}_t^{v_k}) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} g(T_c - \cdot)\text{-BM}(\bar{X}_t). 5$$

6 Hence  $\bar{X}$  is a  $g(T_c - t)_{]0, T_c]}$  Brownian motion. For  $t \geq v_k$  we have 6  
 7

$$8 \quad \bar{X}_t \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \bar{X}_t^{v_k} \sim \tilde{h}_t d\mu_{T_c-t}. 8$$

9 By uniqueness in law of such processes we get uniqueness of the solution, hence 9  
 10  $h = \tilde{h}$ .  $\square$  10

11 **Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank the referees and Pr. Marc 11  
 12 Arnaudon for useful comments. 12

### 13 REFERENCES 13

- 14 [1] ARNAUDON, M. (1999). Appendix to the preceding paper: “A remark on Tsirelson’s stochastic 14  
 15 differential equation” [in *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXIII*, 291–303, Lecture Notes 15  
 16 in Math., 1709, Springer, Berlin, 1999; MR1768002 (2001e:60111)] by M. Émery and 16  
 17 W. Schachermayer. Natural filtration of Brownian motion indexed by  $\mathbf{R}$  in a compact 17  
 18 manifold. In *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXIII. Lecture Notes in Math.* **1709** 304–314. 18  
 19 Springer, Berlin. MR1768003 19  
 20 [2] ARNAUDON, M., COULIBALY, K. A. and THALMAIER, A. (2008). Brownian motion with 20  
 21 respect to a metric depending on time: Definition, existence and applications to Ricci 21  
 22 flow. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **346** 773–778. MR2427080 22 <mr>  
 23 [3] CHEEGER, J. and EBIN, D. G. (1975). *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry.* 23  
 24 *North-Holland Mathematical Library* **9**. North-Holland, Amsterdam. MR0458335 24  
 25 [4] COULIBALY-PASQUIER, K. A. (2010). Brownian motion with respect to time-changing Rie- 25  
 26 mannian metrics, applications to Ricci flow. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré (B)*. To appear. 26 <vtex>  
 27 [5] CRANSTON, M. (1991). Gradient estimates on manifolds using coupling. *J. Funct. Anal.* **99** 27  
 28 110–124. MR1120916 28 <vtex>  
 29 [6] ÉMERY, M. and SCHACHERMAYER, W. (1999). Brownian filtrations are not stable under 29  
 30 equivalent time-changes. In *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXIII. Lecture Notes in Math.* 30  
 31 **1709** 267–276. Springer, Berlin. MR1768000 31  
 32 [7] ÉMERY, M. (1989). *Stochastic Calculus in Manifolds*. Springer, Berlin. MR1030543 32 <mr>  
 33 [8] EVANS, L. C., SONER, H. M. and SOUGANIDIS, P. E. (1992). Phase transitions and general- 33 <vtex>  
 34 ized motion by mean curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **45** 1097–1123. MR1177477 34  
 35 [9] EVANS, L. C. and SPRUCK, J. (1992). Motion of level sets by mean curvature. II. *Trans. Amer.* 35 <mr>  
 36 *Math. Soc.* **330** 321–332. MR1068927 36  
 37 [10] EVANS, L. C. and SPRUCK, J. (1992). Motion of level sets by mean curvature. III. *J. Geom.* 37 <mr>  
 38 *Anal.* **2** 121–150. MR1151756 38  
 39 [11] EVANS, L. C. and SPRUCK, J. (1999). Motion of level sets by mean curvature. I [MR1100206 39  
 40 (92h:35097)]. In *Fundamental Contributions to the Continuum Theory of Evolving Phase* 40  
 41 *Interfaces in Solids* 328–374. Springer, Berlin. MR1770903 41 <mr>  
 42 [12] EVANS, L. C. and SPRUCK, J. (1995). Motion of level sets by mean curvature. IV. *J. Geom.* 42  
 43 *Anal.* **5** 77–114. MR1315658 43 <mr>

- 1 [13] GALLOT, S., HULIN, D. and LAFONTAINE, J. (2004). *Riemannian Geometry*, 3rd ed. Springer, 1  
 2 Berlin. [MR2088027](#) 2 <vtex>
- 3 [14] HAMILTON, R. S. (1982). Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. *J. Differential Geom.* 3  
 4 **17** 255–306. [MR664497](#) 4 <mr>
- 5 [15] HUISKEN, G. (1984). Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres. *J. Differential* 5  
 6 *Geom.* **20** 237–266. [MR772132](#) 6 <mr>
- 7 [16] IKEDA, N. and WATANABE, S. (1989). *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion* 7  
 8 *Processes*, 2nd ed. *North-Holland Mathematical Library* **24**. North-Holland, Amsterdam. 8 <mr>
- 9 [17] JACOD, J. and SHIRYAEV, A. N. (2003). *Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes*, 2nd ed. 9  
 10 *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathemat-* 10  
 11 *ical Sciences]* **288**. Springer, Berlin. [MR1943877](#) 11 <mr>
- 12 [18] JOST, J. (1984). *Harmonic Mappings Between Riemannian Manifolds. Proceedings of the* 12  
 13 *Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University* **4**. Australian National 13  
 14 Univ. Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Canberra. [MR756629](#) 14 <mr>
- 15 [19] JOST, J. (2005). *Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis*, 4th ed. Springer, Berlin. 15  
 16 [MR2165400](#) 16 <vtex>
- 17 [20] KENDALL, W. S. (1986). Nonnegative Ricci curvature and the Brownian coupling property. 17  
 18 *Stochastics* **19** 111–129. [MR864339](#) 18 <mr>
- 19 [21] LEE, J. M. (1997). *Riemannian Manifolds: An Introduction to Curvature. Graduate Texts in* 19  
 20 *Mathematics* **176**. Springer, New York. [MR1468735](#) 20 <vtex>
- 21 [22] SONER, H. M. and TOUZI, N. (2003). A stochastic representation for mean curvature type 21  
 22 geometric flows. *Ann. Probab.* **31** 1145–1165. [MR1988466](#) 22 <mr>
- 23 [23] STROOCK, D. W. and VARADHAN, S. R. S. (2006). *Multidimensional Diffusion Processes.* 23  
 24 Springer, Berlin. [MR2190038](#) 24 <vtex>

25 UNITÉ DE RECHERCHE EN MATHÉMATIQUES, FSTC 25  
 26 UNIVERSITÉ DU LUXEMBOURG 26  
 27 6, RUE RICHARD COUDENHOVE-KALERGI 27  
 28 L-1359 LUXEMBOURG 28  
 29 GRAND-DUCHY OF LUXEMBOURG 29  
 30 E-MAIL: [abdoulaye.coulibaly@uni.lu](mailto:abdoulaye.coulibaly@uni.lu) 30  
 31 [kolehe.coulibaly@iecn.u-nancy.fr](mailto:kolehe.coulibaly@iecn.u-nancy.fr) 31  
 32 32  
 33 33  
 34 34  
 35 35  
 36 36  
 37 37  
 38 38  
 39 39  
 40 40  
 41 41  
 42 42  
 43 43

## THE ORIGINAL REFERENCE LIST

The list of entries below corresponds to the original Reference section of your article. The bibliography section on previous page was retrieved from MathSciNet applying an automated procedure.

Please check both lists and indicate those entries which lead to mistaken sources in automatically generated Reference list.

- [1] Marc Arnaudon. Appendix to the preceding paper: “A remark on Tsirelson’s stochastic differential equation” [in *séminaire de probabilités*, xxxiii, 291–303, Lecture Notes in Math., 1709, Springer, Berlin, 1999; MR1768002 (2001e:60111)] by M. Émery and W. Schachermayer. Natural filtration of Brownian motion indexed by  $\mathbb{R}$  in a compact manifold. In *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXIII*, volume 1709 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 304–314. Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [2] Marc Arnaudon, Koléhè A. Coulibaly-Pasquier, and Anton Thalmaier. Brownian motion with respect to a metric depending on time: definition, existence and applications to Ricci flow. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 346(13-14):773–778, 2008.
- [3] Jeff Cheeger and David G. Ebin. *Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1975. North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 9.
- [4] Koléhè A. Coulibaly-Pasquier. Brownian motion with respect to time-changing Riemannian metrics, applications to ricci flow. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré (B)*.
- [5] M. Cranston. Gradient estimates on manifolds using coupling. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 99(1):110–124, 1991.
- [6] Michel Émery and W. Schachermayer. Brownian filtrations are not stable under equivalent time-changes. In *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXIII*, volume 1709 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 267–276. Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [7] Michel Émery. *Stochastic calculus in manifolds*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. With an appendix by P.-A. Meyer.
- [8] L. C. Evans, H. M. Soner, and P. E. Souganidis. Phase transitions and generalized motion by mean curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 45(9):1097–1123, 1992.
- [9] L. C. Evans and J. Spruck. Motion of level sets by mean curvature. II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 330(1):321–332, 1992.
- [10] L. C. Evans and J. Spruck. Motion of level sets by mean curvature. III. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 2(2):121–150, 1992.
- [11] L. C. Evans and J. Spruck. Motion of level sets by mean curvature. I [ MR1100206 (92h:35097)]. In *Fundamental contributions to the continuum theory of evolving phase interfaces in solids*, pages 328–374. Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [12] L. C. Evans and J. Spruck. Motion of level sets by mean curvature. IV. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 5(1):77–114, 1995.
- [13] Sylvestre Gallot, Dominique Hulin, and Jacques Lafontaine. *Riemannian geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 2004.
- [14] Hamilton, Richard. *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*. Journal of Differential Geometry ,255–306, 1982 . edition.
- [15] Gerhard Huisken. Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres. *J. Differential Geom.*, 20(1):237–266, 1984.
- [16] Nobuyuki Ikeda and Shinzo Watanabe. *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes*, volume 24 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, second edition, 1989.

- 1 [17] Jean Jacod and Albert N. Shiryaev. *Limit theorems for stochastic processes*, volume 288 of 1  
2 *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathemat-* 2  
3 *ical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2003. 3
- 4 [18] Jürgen Jost. *Harmonic mappings between Riemannian manifolds*, volume 4 of *Proceedings* 4  
5 *of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University*. Australian Na- 5  
6 tional University Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Canberra, 1984. 6
- 7 [19] Jürgen Jost. *Riemannian geometry and geometric analysis*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, 7  
8 Berlin, fourth edition, 2005. 8
- 9 [20] Wilfrid S. Kendall. Nonnegative Ricci curvature and the Brownian coupling property. *Stochas-* 9  
10 *tics*, 19(1-2):111–129, 1986. 10
- 11 [21] John M. Lee. *Riemannian manifolds*, volume 176 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer- 11  
12 Verlag, New York, 1997. An introduction to curvature. 12
- 13 [22] H. Mete Soner and Nizar Touzi. A stochastic representation for mean curvature type geometric 13  
14 flows. *Ann. Probab.*, 31(3):1145–1165, 2003. 14
- 15 [23] Daniel W. Stroock and S. R. Srinivasa Varadhan. *Multidimensional diffusion processes*. Clas- 15  
16 sics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. Reprint of the 1997 edition. 16  
17 17  
18 18  
19 19  
20 20  
21 21  
22 22  
23 23  
24 24  
25 25  
26 26  
27 27  
28 28  
29 29  
30 30  
31 31  
32 32  
33 33  
34 34  
35 35  
36 36  
37 37  
38 38  
39 39  
40 40  
41 41  
42 42  
43 43

1 META DATA IN THE PDF FILE 1

2 Following information will be included as pdf file Document Properties: 2

3  
4 **Title** : Some stochastic process without birth, linked to the mean 4  
curvature flow 5

5 **Author** : Kol\351h\350 A. Coulibaly-Pasquier 5

6 **Subject** : The Annals of Probability, 0, Vol.0, No.00, 1-30 6

7 **Keywords**: 60B12, 58J65, 53C44, 60G46, 60J65, Brownian motion, limit 7  
of stochastic processes, mean curvature flow 8

9  
10 THE LIST OF URI ADDRESSES 10

11  
12  
13 Listed below are all uri addresses found in your paper. The non-active uri addresses, if any, are 13  
indicated as ERROR. Please check and update the list where necessary. The e-mail addresses 14  
are not checked – they are listed just for your information. More information can be found in 15  
the support page: 16

16 <http://www.e-publications.org/ims/support/urihelp.html>. 16

17  
18 200 <http://www.imstat.org/aop/> [2:pp.1,1] OK 18

19 200 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/10-AOP580> [2:pp.1,1] OK 19

20 200 <http://www.imstat.org> [2:pp.1,1] OK 20

21 302 <http://www.ams.org/msc/> [2:pp.1,1] Found 21

22 --- <mailto:abdoulaye.coulibaly@uni.lu> [2:pp.30,30] Check skip 22

23 --- <mailto:kolehe.coulibaly@iecn.u-nancy.fr> 23

[2:pp.30,30] Check skip 23

24 200 <http://www.e-publications.org/ims/support/urihelp.html> 24

[2:pp.10000,10000] OK 25

26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43