

Supplement to “Integration with respect to the non-commutative fractional Brownian motion”

AURÉLIEN DEYA^{1,*} and RENÉ SCHOTT^{1,**}

¹*Institut Elie Cartan, University of Lorraine B.P. 239, 54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, Cedex France*

E-mail: * aurelien.deya@univ-lorraine.fr; ** rene.schott@univ-lorraine.fr

This supplemental article is devoted to the presentation of the proof of [3, Proposition 2.8]. We assume in the sequel that the reader is familiar with the notations of [3].

For the sake of clarity, let us briefly recall the statement of the result under consideration:

Proposition 0.1. *Assume that $H \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$. Then, for all $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$ and $U \in \mathcal{A}_s$, the sequence $\mathbb{X}_{st}^{2,(N)}[U]$ converges in \mathcal{A} as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and the limit, that we denote by $\mathbb{X}_{st}^2[U]$, satisfies the following properties:*

(i) *For all $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$, $\mathbb{X}_{st}^2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_s, \mathcal{A})$.*

(ii) *For all $0 \leq s \leq u \leq t \leq 1$ and $U \in \mathcal{A}_s$,*

$$\mathbb{X}_{st}^2[U] - \mathbb{X}_{su}^2[U] - \mathbb{X}_{ut}^2[U] = \delta X_{su} U \delta X_{ut} . \quad (1)$$

(iii) *For all $\varepsilon \in (0, 2H - \frac{1}{2})$, $\varepsilon' \in [0, H)$, there exist constants $c_{H,\varepsilon}, c_{H,\varepsilon,\varepsilon'} > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$, $N \geq 0$, $m \geq 0$, $N \leq N_1, \dots, N_m \leq \infty$, $1 \leq \iota \leq m$ and $0 \leq u_j \leq v_j \leq s$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$),*

$$\| \{ \mathbb{X}_{st}^2 - \mathbb{X}_{st}^{2,(N)} \} [\delta X_{u_1 v_1} \cdots \delta X_{u_m v_m}] \| \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon})^{m+1} \frac{|t-s|^{2H-\varepsilon}}{2^{N\varepsilon}} \prod_{j=1, \dots, m} |u_j - v_j|^H , \quad (2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \mathbb{X}_{st}^{2,(N)} [\delta X_{u_1 v_1}^{(N_1)} \cdots \delta (X^{(N_\iota)} - X)_{u_\iota v_\iota} \cdots \delta X_{u_m v_m}^{(N_m)}] \| \\ & \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon,\varepsilon'})^{m+1} |t-s|^{2H-\varepsilon} \frac{|v_\iota - u_\iota|^{H-\varepsilon'}}{2^{N_\iota \varepsilon'}} \prod_{\substack{j=1, \dots, m \\ j \neq \iota}} |u_j - v_j|^H , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where we have used the convention $X^{(\infty)} := X$.

Proof. Throughout the proof, we will denote by c_H , resp. $c_{H,\varepsilon}, c_{H,\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}, c_{H,\varepsilon,\varepsilon',m}$, any positive quantity that depends only on H , resp. $(H, \varepsilon), (H, \varepsilon, \varepsilon'), (H, \varepsilon, \varepsilon', m)$.

Our main task will be to find a suitable estimate of the difference

$$\mathbb{X}_{st}^{2,(n+1)}[U] - \mathbb{X}_{st}^{2,(n)}[U] ,$$

for $0 \leq n \leq N-1$, $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$ and $U \in \mathcal{A}_s$.

If $|t-s| \leq 2^{-n+1}$, then we can check explicitly (see the beginning of the proof of [2, Theorem 3.1] for details) that

$$\|\mathbb{X}_{st}^{2,(n+1)}[U] - \mathbb{X}_{st}^{2,(n)}[U]\| \leq c_{H,\varepsilon} \frac{|t-s|^{(2H-\varepsilon)}}{2^{n\varepsilon}} \|U\| . \quad (4)$$

Let us assume from now on that

$$t_{k-1}^n \leq s < t_k^n < t_\ell^n \leq t < t_{\ell+1}^n \quad \text{with} \quad \ell - k \geq 1 . \quad (5)$$

Using first-order controls only, and more precisely the two bounds (valid for all $\varepsilon \in (0, H)$, $0 \leq u \leq v \leq 1$)

$$\|X_v^{(n)} - X_u^{(n)}\| \leq c_H |v-u|^H \quad \text{and} \quad \|(X^{(n)} - X)_v - (X^{(n)} - X)_u\| \leq c_{H,\varepsilon} |v-u|^{H-\varepsilon} 2^{-n\varepsilon} , \quad (6)$$

it can be shown that (see again the beginning of the proof of [2, Theorem 3.1] for details)

$$\|\{\mathbb{X}_{st}^{2,(n+1)}[U] - \mathbb{X}_{st}^{2,(n)}[U]\} - \{\mathbb{X}_{t_k^n t_\ell^n}^{2,(n+1)}[U] - \mathbb{X}_{t_k^n t_\ell^n}^{2,(n)}[U]\}\| \leq c_{H,\varepsilon} \frac{|t-s|^{(2H-\varepsilon)}}{2^{n\varepsilon}} \|U\| , \quad (7)$$

and we are thus left with the estimation of $\mathbb{X}_{t_k^n t_\ell^n}^{2,(n+1)}[U] - \mathbb{X}_{t_k^n t_\ell^n}^{2,(n)}[U]$. Setting $Y_i = Y_i^{(n)} := \delta X_{t_i^{n+1} t_{i+1}^{n+1}}$, this difference actually reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}_{t_k^n t_\ell^n}^{2,(n+1)}[U] - \mathbb{X}_{t_k^n t_\ell^n}^{2,(n)}[U] &= \int_{t_k^n}^{t_\ell^n} \delta X_{t_k^n u}^{(n+1)} U dX_u^{(n+1)} - \int_{t_k^n}^{t_\ell^n} \delta X_{t_k^n u}^{(n)} U dX_u^{(n)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} [Y_{2i} U Y_{2i+1} - Y_{2i+1} U Y_{2i}] . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Keeping in mind the desired estimates (2)-(3), we henceforth consider U of the two following possible forms:

Situation A: $U := U_1 \cdots U_m$, $m \geq 1$, $U_j := \delta X_{u_j v_j}$, $0 \leq u_j \leq v_j \leq s$;

Situation B: $U := U_1 \cdots U_\ell \cdots U_m$, $1 \leq \ell \leq m$,

$$U_j := \delta X_{u_j v_j}^{(N_j)} \quad \text{for } j \neq \ell, \quad U_\ell := \delta(X^{(N_\ell)} - X)_{u_\ell v_\ell}, \quad 0 \leq u_j \leq v_j \leq s .$$

For each of these two situations, our aim is thus to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} [Y_{2i}UY_{2i+1} - Y_{2i+1}UY_{2i}] \right\| \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi \left(\left(\left(\sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} [Y_{2i}UY_{2i+1} - Y_{2i+1}UY_{2i}] \right) \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} [Y_{2i}UY_{2i+1} - Y_{2i+1}UY_{2i}] \right)^* \right)^r \right)^{1/(2r)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of clarity, let us introduce the additional notations: for all $V, V_1, \dots, V_m \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\mathbf{Y}_{1,i}[V] := Y_{2i}VY_{2i+1} \quad , \quad \mathbf{Y}_{-1,i}[V] := Y_{2i+1}VY_{2i}$$

and

$$\mathbb{Y}_{1,i}[V_1, \dots, V_m] := (Y_{2i}, V_1, \dots, V_m, Y_{2i+1}) \quad , \quad \mathbb{Y}_{-1,i}[V_1, \dots, V_m] := (Y_{2i+1}, V_1, \dots, V_m, Y_{2i}).$$

The above r -th moment can then be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^r \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2r}} \varphi(\{[\mathbf{Y}_{1,i_1}(U) - \mathbf{Y}_{-1,i_1}(U)][\mathbf{Y}_{1,i_2}(U^*) - \mathbf{Y}_{-1,i_2}(U^*)]\} \cdots \\ & \quad \{[\mathbf{Y}_{1,i_{2r-1}}(U) - \mathbf{Y}_{-1,i_{2r-1}}(U)][\mathbf{Y}_{1,i_{2r}}(U^*) - \mathbf{Y}_{-1,i_{2r}}(U^*)]\}) \\ &= (-1)^r \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^{2r}} (-1)^{N(\sigma)} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2r}} \varphi((\mathbf{Y}_{\sigma_1, i_1}[U] \mathbf{Y}_{\sigma_2, i_2}[U^*]) \cdots (\mathbf{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[U] \mathbf{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[U^*])), \end{aligned}$$

where $N(\sigma)$ denotes the number of (-1) in σ . At this point, recall that (Y_i, U_j) is a semicircular family, and so, by the “non-commutative Wick formula” (see [3, Definition 1.3]), we can go ahead with our expansion and write the previous quantity as

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^r \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^{2r}} (-1)^{N(\sigma)} \\ & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2r}} \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(2r(m+2))} \kappa_\pi((\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_1, i_1}[U], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_2, i_2}[U^*]), \dots, (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[U], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[U^*])), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where we have set $\mathbb{U} := (U_1, \dots, U_m)$ and $\mathbb{U}^* := (U_m, \dots, U_1)$.

Let us now consider the subset of $NC_2(2r(m+2))$ given by

$$\mathcal{E}_1 := \{\pi \in NC_2(2r(m+2)) : (1, m+2) \in \pi\}.$$

With expression (9) in mind, \mathcal{E}_1 thus corresponds to the set of pairings π for which the variables Y_{2i_1} and Y_{2i_1+1} are “connected” within $\kappa_\pi(\dots)$. Observe in particular that, for

fixed σ and i_1, \dots, i_{2r} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{E}_1} \kappa_\pi \left((\mathbb{Y}_{1, i_1}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_2, i_2}[\mathbb{U}^*]), \dots, (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[\mathbb{U}^*]) \right) \\
&= \varphi(Y_{2i_1} Y_{2i_1+1}) \varphi(U) \\
& \quad \sum_{\pi \in NC_2((2r-1)(m+2))} \kappa_\pi \left(\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_2, i_2}[\mathbb{U}^*], (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_3, i_3}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_4, i_4}[\mathbb{U}^*]), \dots, (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[\mathbb{U}^*]) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{E}_1} \kappa_\pi \left((\mathbb{Y}_{-1, i_1}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_2, i_2}[\mathbb{U}^*]), \dots, (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[\mathbb{U}^*]) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and as result

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^{2r}} (-1)^{N(\sigma)} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2r}} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{E}_1} \kappa_\pi \left((\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_1, i_1}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_2, i_2}[\mathbb{U}^*]), \dots, (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[\mathbb{U}^*]) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^{2r} \\ \sigma_1 = 1}} \dots + \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^{2r} \\ \sigma_1 = -1}} \dots = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Along the same idea, consider the subset

$$\mathcal{E}_2 := \{ \pi \in NC_2(2r(m+2)) : (1, m+2) \notin \pi, (m+3, 2(m+2)) \in \pi \},$$

so that, just as above,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{E}_2} \kappa_\pi \left((\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_1, i_1}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{1, i_2}[\mathbb{U}^*]), \dots, (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[\mathbb{U}^*]) \right) \\
&= \varphi(Y_{2i_2} Y_{2i_2+1}) \varphi(U^*) \\
& \quad \sum_{\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2} \kappa_\pi \left(\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_1, i_1}[\mathbb{U}], (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_3, i_3}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_4, i_4}[\mathbb{U}^*]), \dots, (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[\mathbb{U}^*]) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{E}_2} \kappa_\pi \left((\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_1, i_1}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{-1, i_2}[\mathbb{U}^*]), \dots, (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[\mathbb{U}^*]) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2 := \{ \pi \in NC_2((2r-1)(m+2)) : (1, m+2) \notin \pi \}$, and accordingly

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^{2r}} (-1)^{N(\sigma)} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2r}} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{E}_2} \kappa_\pi \left((\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_1, i_1}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_2, i_2}[\mathbb{U}^*]), \dots, (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[\mathbb{U}^*]) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^{2r} \\ \sigma_2 = 1}} \dots + \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^{2r} \\ \sigma_2 = -1}} \dots = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Iterating the procedure, we see that the quantity (9) reduces in fact to

$$(-1)^r \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^{2r}} (-1)^{N(\sigma)} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2r}} \kappa_\pi \left((\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_1, i_1}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_2, i_2}[\mathbb{U}^*]), \dots, (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[\mathbb{U}^*]) \right), \quad (10)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E} := \{ \pi \in NC_2(2r(m+2)) : \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, 2r, ((j-1)(m+2) + 1, j(m+2)) \notin \pi \}.$$

As a next step, observe that for each fixed $\pi \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^{2r}$, the sum

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2r}} \kappa_\pi \left((\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_1, i_1}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_2, i_2}[\mathbb{U}^*]), \dots, (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[\mathbb{U}^*]) \right)$$

can always be written as a product of three terms P_i of the form

$$P_1 = \prod_{j=1}^{q_1} \left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{p_j}} \varphi(Z_{(j,1), i_1} Z'_{(j,2), i_2}) \varphi(Z_{(j,2), i_2} Z'_{(j,3), i_3}) \cdots \varphi(Z_{(j, p_j), i_{p_j}} Z'_{(j,1), i_1}) \right),$$

$$P_2 = \prod_{j=1}^{q_2} \left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{r_j}} \varphi(U_{\alpha_j} W'_{(j,1), i_1}) \varphi(W_{(j,1), i_1} W'_{(j,2), i_2}) \varphi(W_{(j,2), i_2} W'_{(j,3), i_3}) \cdots \varphi(W_{(j, r_j), i_{r_j}} U_{\beta_j}) \right),$$

$$P_3 = \prod_{j=1}^{q_3} \varphi(U_{\eta_j} U_{\lambda_j}),$$

where:

(a) the integers q_1, q_2, q_3, p_j, r_j are such that $(p_1 + \dots + p_{q_1}) + (r_1 + \dots + r_{q_2}) = 2r$ and $2q_2 + 2q_3 = 2mr$;

(b) the variables (Z, Z') (resp. (W, W')) are such that for all $j = 1, \dots, q_1, p = 1, \dots, p_j$ (resp. $j = 1, \dots, q_2, p = 1, \dots, r_j$) and $i = k, \dots, \ell - 1$, $\{Z_{(j,p), i}, Z'_{(j,p), i}\} = \{Y_{2i}, Y_{2i+1}\}$ (resp. $\{W_{(j,p), i}, W'_{(j,p), i}\} = \{Y_{2i}, Y_{2i+1}\}$).

(c) the coefficients $1 \leq \alpha_j, \beta_j, \eta_j, \lambda_j \leq m$ are such that each variable U_α ($1 \leq \alpha \leq m$) appears exactly $2r$ -times in the product $P_2 P_3$.

Let us now bound the product $P_1 P_2 P_3$ in each of the two above-described situations for U .

Situation A.

As far as P_1 is concerned, we can first use the subsequent elementary Lemma 0.2 to assert that for each $j = 1, \dots, q_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{p_j}} \varphi(Z_{(j,1),i_1} Z'_{(j,2),i_2}) \varphi(Z_{(j,2),i_2} Z'_{(j,3),i_3}) \cdots \varphi(Z_{(j,p_j),i_{p_j}} Z'_{(j,1),i_1}) \\ & \leq \left[\prod_{q=1}^{p_j-1} \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2} \varphi(Z_{(j,q),i_1} Z'_{(j,q+1),i_2})^2 \right) \right]^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2} \varphi(Z_{(j,p_j),i_1} Z'_{(j,1),i_2})^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We are here in a position to apply Lemma 0.3 below and deduce that for each $j = 1, \dots, q_1$,

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{p_j}} \varphi(Z_{(j,1),i_1} Z'_{(j,2),i_2}) \varphi(Z_{(j,2),i_2} Z'_{(j,3),i_3}) \cdots \varphi(Z_{(j,p_j),i_{p_j}} Z'_{(j,1),i_1}) \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon})^{p_j} \frac{|t-s|^{(2H-\varepsilon)p_j}}{2^{n\varepsilon p_j}},$$

and therefore

$$P_1 \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon})^{p_1+\dots+p_{q_1}} \frac{|t-s|^{(2H-\varepsilon)(p_1+\dots+p_{q_1})}}{2^{n\varepsilon(p_1+\dots+p_{q_1})}}. \quad (11)$$

In order to estimate P_2 , let us first write, with the help of Lemma 0.2, and for each $j = 1, \dots, q_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{r_j}} \varphi(U_{\alpha_j} W'_{(j,1),i_1}) \varphi(W_{(j,1),i_1} W'_{(j,2),i_2}) \varphi(W_{(j,2),i_2} W'_{(j,3),i_3}) \cdots \varphi(W_{(j,r_j),i_{r_j}} U_{\beta_j}) \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{i'_1, i'_{r_j}} \varphi(U_{\alpha_j} W'_{(j,1),i'_1})^2 \varphi(W_{(j,r_j),i'_{r_j}} U_{\beta_j})^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \left(\sum_{i_1, i_{r_j}} \left[\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_{r_j-1}} \varphi(W_{(j,1),i_1} W'_{(j,2),i_2}) \varphi(W_{(j,2),i_2} W'_{(j,3),i_3}) \cdots \varphi(W_{(j,r_j-1),i_{r_j-1}} W'_{(j,r_j),i_{r_j}}) \right]^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{i'_1} \varphi(U_{\alpha_j} W'_{(j,1),i'_1})^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i'_{r_j}} \varphi(W_{(j,r_j),i'_{r_j}} U_{\beta_j})^2 \right)^{1/2} \left[\prod_{q=1}^{r_j-1} \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2} \varphi(W_{(j,q),i_1} W'_{(j,q+1),i_2})^2 \right) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We can now combine the results of the subsequent Lemmas 0.3 and 0.4 to deduce that for each $j = 1, \dots, q_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{r_j}} \varphi(U_{\alpha_j} W'_{(j,1),i_1}) \varphi(W_{(j,1),i_1} W'_{(j,2),i_2}) \varphi(W_{(j,2),i_2} W'_{(j,3),i_3}) \cdots \varphi(W_{(j,r_j),i_{r_j}} U_{\beta_j}) \\ & \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon})^{r_j} |v_{\alpha_j} - u_{\alpha_j}|^H |v_{\beta_j} - u_{\beta_j}|^H \frac{|t-s|^{(2H-\varepsilon)r_j}}{2^{n\varepsilon r_j}}, \end{aligned}$$

and as a result

$$P_2 \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon})^{r_1+\dots+r_{q_2}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{q_2} |v_{\alpha_j} - u_{\alpha_j}|^H |v_{\beta_j} - u_{\beta_j}|^H \right) \frac{|t-s|^{(2H-\varepsilon)(r_1+\dots+r_{q_2})}}{2^{n\varepsilon(r_1+\dots+r_{q_2})}}. \quad (13)$$

Finally, the estimation of P_3 is an immediate consequence of the H -Hölder regularity of X :

$$P_3 \leq \prod_{j=1}^{q_3} \|U_{\eta_j}\| \|U_{\lambda_j}\| \leq (c_H)^{q_3} \prod_{j=1}^{q_3} |v_{\eta_j} - u_{\eta_j}|^H |v_{\lambda_j} - u_{\lambda_j}|^H. \quad (14)$$

Combining (11)-(13)-(14) with the above constraints (a)-(b)-(c), we obtain that for each fixed $\pi \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^{2r}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2r}} \kappa_\pi \left((\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_1, i_1}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_2, i_2}[\mathbb{U}^*]), \dots, (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[\mathbb{U}^*]) \right) \\ & \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon})^{r(m+1)} \frac{|t-s|^{2r(2H-\varepsilon)}}{2^{2rn\varepsilon}} \prod_{j=1}^m |v_j - u_j|^{2rH}. \end{aligned}$$

Going back to (10), we have thus shown that for every $r \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi \left(\left(\left(\sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} [Y_{2i} U Y_{2i+1} - Y_{2i+1} U Y_{2i}] \right) \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} [Y_{2i} U Y_{2i+1} - Y_{2i+1} U Y_{2i}] \right)^* \right)^r \right)^{1/(2r)} \\ & \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon})^{m+1} (|NC_2(2r(m+2))|^{1/(2r(m+2))})^{m+2} \frac{|t-s|^{(2H-\varepsilon)m}}{2^{n\varepsilon}} \prod_{j=1}^m |v_j - u_j|^H. \end{aligned}$$

By letting r tend to infinity, we get the desired estimate, namely

$$\| \{ \mathbb{X}_{t_k^n t_\ell^n}^{2,(n+1)} - \mathbb{X}_{t_k^n t_\ell^n}^{2,(n)} \} [\delta X_{u_1 v_1} \dots \delta X_{u_m v_m}] \| \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon})^{m+1} \frac{|t-s|^{(2H-\varepsilon)m}}{2^{n\varepsilon}} \prod_{j=1}^m |v_j - u_j|^H. \quad (15)$$

It is readily checked that the above procedure can also be applied in the case $m = 0$, yielding

$$\| \{ \mathbb{X}_{t_k^n t_\ell^n}^{2,(n+1)} - \mathbb{X}_{t_k^n t_\ell^n}^{2,(n)} \} [1] \| \leq c_{H,\varepsilon} \frac{|t-s|^{(2H-\varepsilon)}}{2^{n\varepsilon}}. \quad (16)$$

Situation B.

The expression of P_1 is of course the same as in Situation A, and thus, just as above, we have

$$P_1 \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon})^{p_1+\dots+p_{q_1}} \frac{|t-s|^{(2H-\varepsilon)(p_1+\dots+p_{q_1})}}{2^{n\varepsilon(p_1+\dots+p_{q_1})}}. \quad (17)$$

In order to estimate P_2 , let us first write, just as in (12), and for each $j = 1, \dots, q_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{r_j}} \varphi(U_{\alpha_j} W'_{(j,1),i_1}) \varphi(W_{(j,1),i_1} W'_{(j,2),i_2}) \varphi(W_{(j,2),i_2} W'_{(j,3),i_3}) \cdots \varphi(W_{(j,r_j),i_{r_j}} U_{\beta_j}) \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{i'_1} \varphi(U_{\alpha_j} W'_{(j,1),i'_1})^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i'_{r_j}} \varphi(W_{(j,r_j),i'_{r_j}} U_{\beta_j})^2 \right)^{1/2} \left[\prod_{q=1}^{r_j-1} \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2} \varphi(W_{(j,q),i_1} W'_{(j,q+1),i_2})^2 \right) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We can now combine Lemma 0.3 and Corollary 0.5 below to obtain that for each $j = 1, \dots, q_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{r_j}} \varphi(U_{\alpha_j} W'_{(j,1),i_1}) \varphi(W_{(j,1),i_1} W'_{(j,2),i_2}) \varphi(W_{(j,2),i_2} W'_{(j,3),i_3}) \cdots \varphi(W_{(j,r_j),i_{r_j}} U_{\beta_j}) \\ & \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon,\varepsilon'})^{r_j} \frac{|t-s|^{(2H-\varepsilon)r_j}}{2^{n\varepsilon r_j}} Q_{\alpha_j, \beta_j}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha_j, \beta_j} := & \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha_j \neq \iota, \beta_j \neq \iota\}} |v_{\alpha_j} - u_{\alpha_j}|^H |v_{\beta_j} - u_{\beta_j}|^H + \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha_j = \iota, \beta_j = \iota\}} \frac{|v_\iota - u_\iota|^{2H-2\varepsilon'}}{2^{2n_\iota \varepsilon'}} \\ & + \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha_j = \iota, \beta_j \neq \iota\}} \frac{|v_\iota - u_\iota|^{H-\varepsilon'}}{2^{n_\iota \varepsilon'}} |v_{\beta_j} - u_{\beta_j}|^H + \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha_j \neq \iota, \beta_j = \iota\}} |v_{\alpha_j} - u_{\alpha_j}|^H \frac{|v_\iota - u_\iota|^{H-\varepsilon'}}{2^{n_\iota \varepsilon'}}, \end{aligned}$$

and accordingly

$$P_2 \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon,\varepsilon'})^{r_1 + \dots + r_{q_2}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{q_2} Q_{\alpha_j, \beta_j} \right) \frac{|t-s|^{(2H-\varepsilon)(r_1 + \dots + r_{q_2})}}{2^{n\varepsilon(r_1 + \dots + r_{q_2})}}. \quad (18)$$

Finally, the estimation of P_3 in this situation follows from the two controls in (6):

$$P_3 \leq \prod_{j=1}^{q_3} \|U_{\eta_j}\| \|U_{\lambda_j}\| \leq (c_H)^{q_3} \prod_{j=1}^{q_3} Q_{\eta_j, \lambda_j}. \quad (19)$$

Combining (17)-(18)-(19) with the above constraints (a)-(b)-(c), we deduce, for each fixed $\pi \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^{2r}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{2r}} \kappa_\pi \left((\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_1, i_1}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_2, i_2}[\mathbb{U}^*]), \dots, (\mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r-1}, i_{2r-1}}[\mathbb{U}], \mathbb{Y}_{\sigma_{2r}, i_{2r}}[\mathbb{U}^*]) \right) \\ & \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon,\varepsilon'})^{r(m+1)} \frac{|t-s|^{2r(2H-\varepsilon)}}{2^{2rn\varepsilon}} \frac{|v_\iota - u_\iota|^{2r(H-\varepsilon')}}{2^{2rN_\iota \varepsilon'}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq \iota}}^m |v_j - u_j|^{2rH}, \end{aligned}$$

and we can then use the same arguments as in Situation A to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\{ \mathbb{X}_{t_k^n t_\ell^n}^{2, (n+1)} - \mathbb{X}_{t_k^n t_\ell^n}^{2, (n)} \right\} \left[\delta X_{u_1 v_1}^{(N_1)} \cdots \delta(X^{(N_\iota)} - X)_{u_\iota v_\iota} \cdots \delta X_{u_m v_m}^{(N_m)} \right] \right\| \\ & \leq (c_{H,\varepsilon,\varepsilon'})^{m+1} \frac{|t-s|^{2H-\varepsilon}}{2^{n\varepsilon}} \frac{|v_\iota - u_\iota|^{H-\varepsilon'}}{2^{N_\iota \varepsilon'}} \prod_{\substack{j=1, \dots, m \\ j \neq \iota}} |u_j - v_j|^H. \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion.

First, based on (4), (7), (15) and (16), we can assert that for all fixed $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$ and $U \in \mathcal{A}_s$, $(\mathbb{X}_{st}^{2,(n)}[U])_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$, and therefore it converges to an element $\mathbb{X}_{st}^2[U]$, as desired.

The fact that \mathbb{X}_{st}^2 is linear (as a function of U) follows immediately from the linearity of $\mathbb{X}_{st}^{2,(n)}$, and in the same way, identity (1) is a straightforward consequence of the (readily-checked) relation

$$\mathbb{X}_{st}^{2,(n)}[U] - \mathbb{X}_{su}^{2,(n)}[U] - \mathbb{X}_{ut}^{2,(n)}[U] = \delta X_{su}^{(n)} U \delta X_{ut}^{(n)} .$$

Finally, estimate (2), resp. estimate (3), follows at once from (4), (7) and (15), resp. (4), (7) and (20). \square

We are now left with the proof of the few technical results related to the control of the covariances.

Lemma 0.2. *Given a finite set I , an integer $p \geq 1$ and real quantities $A_{i_1 i_2}^{(q)}$ ($1 \leq q \leq p$, $i_1, i_2 \in I$), it holds that*

$$\sum_{i_1, i_p \in I} \left[\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_{p-1} \in I} A_{i_1 i_2}^{(1)} A_{i_2 i_3}^{(2)} \cdots A_{i_{p-1} i_p}^{(p-1)} \right]^2 \leq \prod_{q=1}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2 \in I} (A_{i_1 i_2}^{(q)})^2 \right),$$

and as a particular consequence

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p \in I} A_{i_1 i_2}^{(1)} A_{i_2 i_3}^{(2)} \cdots A_{i_{p-1} i_p}^{(p-1)} A_{i_p i_1}^{(p)} \leq \prod_{q=1}^p \left(\sum_{i_1, i_2 \in I} (A_{i_1 i_2}^{(q)})^2 \right)^{1/2} .$$

Proof. These results can actually be shown through an easy iteration of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (we have labeled them for the sake of clarity only). \square

Lemma 0.3. *With the notations of the above proof, one has, for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 2H - \frac{1}{2})$,*

$$\max \left(\sum_{i,j=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(Y_{2i} Y_{2j})^2, \sum_{i,j=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(Y_{2i} Y_{2j+1})^2, \sum_{i,j=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(Y_{2i+1} Y_{2j+1})^2 \right) \leq c_{H,\varepsilon} \frac{|t-s|^{4H-2\varepsilon}}{2^{2n\varepsilon}} .$$

Proof. Let us naturally write

$$\sum_{i,j=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(Y_{2i} Y_{2j+1})^2 = \sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(Y_{2i} Y_{2i+1})^2 + \sum_{\substack{i,j=k \\ i < j}}^{\ell-1} \varphi(Y_{2i} Y_{2j+1})^2 + \sum_{\substack{i,j=k \\ j < i}}^{\ell-1} \varphi(Y_{2i} Y_{2j+1})^2 .$$

First, one has obviously

$$\sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(Y_{2i}Y_{2i+1})^2 = \sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \frac{c_H}{2^{4Hn}} = c_H \frac{\ell-k}{2^{4Hn}} \leq c_H \frac{|t-s|}{2^{n(4H-1)}} \leq c_H \frac{|t-s|^{4H-2\varepsilon}}{2^{2n\varepsilon}},$$

where we have used the fact that $2\varepsilon < 4H - 1$ and $2^{-n} \leq |t-s|$ (by (5)) to get the last inequality.

Then write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{i,j=k \\ i < j}}^{\ell-1} \varphi(Y_{2i}Y_{2j+1})^2 &= c_H \sum_{j=k}^{\ell-1} \sum_{i=k}^{j-1} \left(\int_{t_{2j+1}^{n+1}}^{t_{2j+2}^{n+1}} d\tau_1 \int_{t_{2i}^{n+1}}^{t_{2i+1}^{n+1}} \frac{d\tau_2}{(\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{2-2H}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq c_H \sum_{j=k}^{\ell-1} \sum_{i=k}^{j-1} \left(\int_{t_j^n}^{t_{j+1}^n} d\tau_1 \int_{t_i^n}^{t_{i+1}^n} \frac{d\tau_2}{(\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{2-2H}} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using elementary changes of variables, we can easily rewrite the latter quantity as

$$\sum_{j=k}^{\ell-1} \sum_{i=k}^{j-1} \left(\int_{t_j^n}^{t_{j+1}^n} d\tau_1 \int_{t_i^n}^{t_{i+1}^n} \frac{d\tau_2}{(\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{2-2H}} \right)^2 = 2^{-4Hn} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-k} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \left(\int_0^1 d\tau_1 \int_{i-1}^i \frac{d\tau_2}{(\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{2-2H}} \right)^2,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{i,j=k \\ i < j}}^{\ell-1} \varphi(Y_{2i}Y_{2j+1})^2 &\leq \frac{c_H}{2^{4Hn}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-k} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{d\tau_1}{\tau_1^{1-\varepsilon}} \int_{i-1}^i \frac{d\tau_2}{\tau_2^{1-2H+\varepsilon}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{c_{H,\varepsilon}}{2^{4Hn}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-k} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \int_{i-1}^i \frac{d\tau_2}{\tau_2^{2-4H+2\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{c_{H,\varepsilon}}{2^{4Hn}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-k} \int_0^j \frac{d\tau_2}{\tau_2^{2-4H+2\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq \frac{c_{H,\varepsilon}}{2^{4Hn}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-k} j^{4H-2\varepsilon-1} \leq c_{H,\varepsilon} \frac{|\ell-k|^{4H-2\varepsilon}}{2^{4Hn}} \leq c_{H,\varepsilon} \frac{|t-s|^{4H-2\varepsilon}}{2^{2n\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

The same arguments can of course be used to bound $\sum_{i,j=k}^{\ell-1} 1_{\{j < i\}} \varphi(Y_{2i}Y_{2j+1})^2$, so that

$$\sum_{i,j=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(Y_{2i}Y_{2j+1})^2 \leq c_{H,\varepsilon} \frac{|t-s|^{4H-2\varepsilon}}{2^{2n\varepsilon}}.$$

We can then estimate $\sum_{i,j=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(Y_{2i}Y_{2j})^2$ and $\sum_{i,j=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(Y_{2i+1}Y_{2j+1})^2$ along the same procedure. \square

Lemma 0.4. *With the notations of the above proof, one has, for all $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, H)$,*

$$\max \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(\delta X_{u_j v_j} Y_{2i})^2, \sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(\delta X_{u_j v_j} Y_{2i+1})^2 \right) \leq c_{H,\varepsilon} |v_j - u_j|^{2H} \frac{|t-s|^{2H-\varepsilon}}{2^{n\varepsilon}}. \quad (21)$$

Proof. Recall that for all $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $i = k, \dots, \ell - 1$, one has

$$0 \leq u_j \leq v_j \leq s \leq t_{2i}^{n+1} \leq t_{2i+1}^{n+1},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(\delta X_{u_j v_j} Y_{2i})^2 &= c_H \sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \left(\int_{t_{2i}^{n+1}}^{t_{2i+1}^{n+1}} d\tau_1 \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \frac{d\tau_2}{(\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{2-2H}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq c_H \sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \left(\int_{t_{2i}^{n+1}}^{t_{2i+1}^{n+1}} \frac{d\tau_1}{(\tau_1 - s)^{1-H}} \int_{u_j}^{v_j} \frac{d\tau_2}{(v_j - \tau_2)^{1-H}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq c_H |v_j - u_j|^{2H} \sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \left(\int_{t_{2i}^{n+1}}^{t_{2i+1}^{n+1}} \frac{d\tau_1}{(\tau_1 - s)^{1-H}} \right) \left(\int_{t_{2i}^{n+1}}^{t_{2i+1}^{n+1}} \frac{d\tau_1}{(\tau_1 - t_{2i}^{n+1})^{1-H}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{H}} \left(\int_s^t \frac{d\tau_1}{(\tau_1 - s)^{1-H}} \right)^{1-\frac{\varepsilon}{H}} \\ &\leq c_{H,\varepsilon} |v_j - u_j|^{2H} \frac{|t-s|^{H-\varepsilon}}{2^{n\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \int_{t_{2i}^{n+1}}^{t_{2i+1}^{n+1}} \frac{d\tau_1}{(\tau_1 - s)^{1-H}} \leq c_{H,\varepsilon} |v_j - u_j|^{2H} \frac{|t-s|^{2H-\varepsilon}}{2^{n\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

The same arguments apply of course to $\sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(\delta X_{u_j v_j} Y_{2i+1})^2$. \square

Corollary 0.5. *With the notations of the above proof, one has, for all $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in [0, H)$,*

$$\max \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(\delta X_{u_j v_j}^{(N_j)} Y_{2i})^2, \sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(\delta X_{u_j v_j}^{(N_j)} Y_{2i+1})^2 \right) \leq c_{H,\varepsilon} |v_j - u_j|^{2H} \frac{|t-s|^{2H-\varepsilon}}{2^{n\varepsilon}} \quad (22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(\delta \{X^{(N_j)} - X\}_{u_j v_j} Y_{2i})^2, \sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(\delta \{X^{(N_j)} - X\}_{u_j v_j} Y_{2i+1})^2 \right) \\ \leq c_{H,\varepsilon,\varepsilon'} \frac{|v_j - u_j|^{2H-\varepsilon'}}{2^{N_j \varepsilon'}} \frac{|t-s|^{2H-\varepsilon}}{2^{n\varepsilon}}. \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For more clarity, set $t_r := t_r^{N_j}$ ($r = 0, \dots, 2^{N_j}$) for the whole proof. Assume that

$$t_p \leq u_j < t_{p+1} < \dots < t_q \leq v_j < t_{q+1} \quad \text{with } |v_j - u_j| \geq 2^{-N_j}, \quad (24)$$

and write

$$\begin{aligned}\delta X_{u_j v_j}^{(N_j)} &= \delta X_{u_j t_{p+1}}^{(N_j)} + \delta X_{t_{p+1} t_q} + \delta X_{t_q v_j}^{(N_j)} \\ &= \delta X_{t_p t_{p+1}} (1 - 2^{-N_j} (u_j - t_p)) + \delta X_{t_{p+1} t_q} + \delta X_{t_q t_{q+1}} 2^{-N_j} (v_j - t_q) .\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

If $t_{q+1} \leq s$, we can apply (21) to each of three above terms, which immediately gives (22). If $t_{q+1} > s$, we can still apply (21) to the first two summands in (25), but not to the third one. In this case, let us first write

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=k}^{\ell-1} \varphi(\delta X_{t_q t_{q+1}}^{(N_j)} Y_{2i})^2 &= \varphi(\delta X_{t_q t_{q+1}}^{(N_j)} Y_{2k})^2 + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\ell-1} \varphi(\delta X_{t_q t_{q+1}}^{(N_j)} Y_{2i})^2 \\ &\leq \|\delta X_{t_q t_{q+1}}^{(N_j)}\|^2 \|Y_{2k}\|^2 + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\ell-1} \varphi(\delta X_{t_q t_{q+1}}^{(N_j)} Y_{2i})^2 \\ &\leq c_{H,\varepsilon} |v_j - u_j|^{2H} \frac{|t-s|^{2H-\varepsilon}}{2^{n\varepsilon}} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\ell-1} \varphi(\delta X_{t_q t_{q+1}}^{(N_j)} Y_{2i})^2 .\end{aligned}$$

Due to $N_j \geq N \geq n$, we know that $t_{q+1} < t_{2k+2}^{n+1}$, and we can therefore apply the result of (21) to the remaining sum, which entails, as desired,

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{\ell-1} \varphi(\delta X_{t_q t_{q+1}}^{(N_j)} Y_{2i})^2 \leq c_{H,\varepsilon} \frac{|t - t_{2k+2}^{n+1}|^{2H-\varepsilon}}{2^{n\varepsilon}} 2^{-2HN_j} \leq c_{H,\varepsilon} \frac{|t-s|^{2H-\varepsilon}}{2^{n\varepsilon}} |v_j - u_j|^{2H} .$$

The case where $|v_j - u_j| \leq 2^{-N_j}$ can then be handled with elementary arguments, and this achieves the proof of (22).

The proof of (23) follows from similar considerations. Observe indeed that, in the situation (24),

$$\delta\{X^{(N_j)} - X\}_{u_j v_j} = \delta X_{t_p u_j} - \delta X_{t_q v_j} - 2^{-N_j} (u_j - t_p) \delta X_{t_p t_{p+1}} + 2^{-N_j} (v_j - t_q) \delta X_{t_q t_{q+1}} ,$$

and from here we can apply the above reasoning to each of the summands. \square

References

- [1] A. Deya and R. Schott: On the rough paths approach to non-commutative stochastic calculus. *J. Funct. Anal.* **265** (2013), no. 4, 594-628.
- [2] A. Deya and R. Schott: On stochastic calculus with respect to q -Brownian motion. *J. Funct. Anal.* **274** (2018), no. 4, 1047-1075.
- [3] A. Deya and R. Schott: Integration with respect to the NC-fBm. *Bernoulli* (2018).