# ON LINEAR ELASTICITY SYSTEMS WITH VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS

#### Aissa GUESMIA

(Received 25 March 1997 and revised 14 October 1997)

#### 1. Introduction and statement of the results

Let  $\Omega$  be a non-empty bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n (n = 1, 2, ...)$  having a boundary  $\Gamma$  of class  $C^2$  and let  $a_{ijkl}, i, j, k, l = 1, ..., n$ , be functions in  $W^{2,\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$a_{ijkl} = a_{klij} = a_{jikl}$$
 and  $a_{ijkl}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kl} \ge \alpha\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij}$  in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$  (1.1)

for some  $\alpha > 0$  and for every symmetric tensor  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ . (Here and in the sequel we shall use the summation convention for repeated indices.)

For a given function  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , we shall use the notation

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}), \quad \sigma_{ij} = a_{ijkl}\varepsilon_{kl}, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

where  $u_{i,j} = \partial u_i/\partial x_j$  and  $u_{j,i} = \partial u_j/\partial x_i$ . If it is necessary to be more precise, we shall write  $\varepsilon_{ij}(u)$  and  $\sigma_{ij}(u)$  instead of  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ ,  $\sigma_{ij}$ .

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_i'' - \sigma_{ij,j} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u_i = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u_i(0) = u_i^0 & \text{and } u_i'(0) = u_i^1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ i = 1, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.2)$$

where ' denotes  $\partial/\partial t$ ,  $\sigma_{ij,j} = \partial \sigma_{ij}/\partial x_j$  and  $u_i(0)$ ,  $u'_i(0)$  denote, respectively, the functions  $x \mapsto u_i(x,0)$ ,  $x \mapsto u'_i(x,0)$ .

In order to formulate the definition of a solution to (1.2) we introduce three real Hilbert spaces H, V and W by setting

$$H = (L^{2}(\Omega))^{n}, \quad \|v\|_{H}^{2} = \int_{\Omega} v_{i} v_{i} dx,$$
$$V = (H_{0}^{1}(\Omega))^{n}, \quad \|v\|_{V}^{2} = \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_{ij}(v) \varepsilon_{ij}(v) dx,$$

where  $H_0^1(\Omega) = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\}$  (by the Korn inequality, it is clear that this expression defines a norm on V), and

$$W = (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))^n, \quad \|v\|_W^2 = \int_{\Omega} (\Delta v_i \Delta v_i + \varepsilon_{ij}(v) \varepsilon_{ij}(v)) dx.$$

Identifying H with its dual H' we have

$$W \subset V \subset H = H' \subset V' \subset W'$$

with dense and compact imbedings.

Setting

$$z := u', \quad U := (u, z), \quad A(t)U := (z, Au),$$
  
 $A = [A_i]_{i=1,...,n} \quad \text{and} \quad A_i u_i = \sigma_{ij,i}(u),$ 

we may write problem (1.2) in the following form:

$$U'(t) - \mathcal{A}(t)U(t) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R},$$
  
$$U(0) = (u^0, u^1).$$

It is natural to introduce the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} := V \times H$  and to consider  $\mathcal{A}(t)$  as an operator acting in  $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$D(\mathcal{A}(t)) := W \times V$$

is independent of t.

For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the bounded linear operator  $\mathcal{A}(t)$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions and its domain is clearly densely and continuously imbeding in  $\mathcal{H}$  (cf. Lagnese [9]). On the other hand, from the assumption  $a_{ijkl} \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$  we have for any  $U \in W \times V$  that the mapping  $t \to \mathcal{A}(t)U$  is continuously differentiable in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then we recall (cf. Pazy [12; ch. 5] and note that (1.2) is a time-reversible problem) that problem (1.2) is well-posed in the following sense.

1. For every  $(u^0, u^1) \in V \times H$ , system (1.2) has a unique solution (defined in a suitable weak sense) satisfying

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}; V) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}; H).$$

2. If  $(u^0, u^1) \in W \times V$  then the solution (called a strong solution) is more regular:

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}; W) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}; V) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}; H).$$

3. The energy of the (weak) solution, defined by the formula

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u_i' u_i' + \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij}) \, dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.3}$$

is a non-negative function and satisfies the identity

$$E'(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a'_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{ij} \, dx, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (1.4)

Fix a point  $x^0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $m(x) = x - x^0$ ,  $R = ||m||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$  and fix a measurable partition  $\Gamma_0$ ,  $\Gamma_1$  of  $\Gamma$  such that

$$\Gamma_0 = \{ x \in \Gamma : (x - x^0) \cdot \nu(x) \le 0 \} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_1 = \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0,$$
 (1.5)

where  $\nu$  denotes the outward unit normal vector to  $\Gamma$ . (For example, we may always choose  $\Gamma_0 = \emptyset$  and  $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma$ .) Let  $\gamma \in ]-\infty$ , 2[ and  $\lambda \geq 0$  be two constants satisfying

$$(x_p - x_p^0)(\partial_p a_{ijkl})\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kl} \le \gamma a_{ijkl}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kl} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$|a'_{ijkl}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kl}| \le \lambda a_{ijkl}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kl} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$$
 (1.7)

for every symmetric tensor  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ , where  $\partial_p a_{ijkl} = \partial a_{ijkl}/\partial x_p$ . Assume that

$$\gamma \ge 2(1-n)$$
 and  $\frac{4\lambda\sqrt{(2/\alpha)}R}{2-\gamma} < 1.$  (1.8)

Let T be a real number such that

$$T > -\frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left( 1 - \frac{4\lambda \sqrt{(2/\alpha)R}}{2 - \nu} \right). \tag{1.9}$$

If  $\lambda = 0$ , then we take  $T > 4\sqrt{(2/\alpha)}R/(2-\gamma)$ .

Then we have the following results.

THEOREM 1.1. Assume (1.1), (1.5)–(1.9). Then there exist two positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that every strong solution of (1.2) satisfies the inequalities

$$c_1 E(0) \le \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} \, d\Gamma \, dt \le c_2 E(0). \tag{1.10}$$

Remark 1.1. The first inequality in (1.10) cannot hold for arbitrarily small T. The condition  $T > 2\sqrt{(2/\alpha)}R$  is the best possible if the system is isotropic; i.e. when

$$a_{ijkl} = \lambda \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \mu (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}),$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are the positive Lamé constants (see Komornik [7] and note that, in this case,  $\gamma = \lambda = 0$ ).

Remark 1.2. By a simple density argument, the second estimate in (1.10) allows us to define the trace of  $\sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij}$  on  $\Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{R}$  as an element of  $L^2_{loc}(\Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{R})$ , for every weak solution of (1.2).

Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.1 means that in some sense the observation of the solution in a neighbourhood of the boundary during a sufficiently large time allows one to determine the initial data. Indeed, for sufficiently large time T, if two solutions of (1.2) coincide in  $\Gamma_1$ , then the boundary integral in (1.10), for their difference, vanishes and therefore the energy initial of their difference is equal to zero by the first inequality in (1.10). From the unicity of solution, this implies that the two solutions are identical.

Applying the Hilbert uniqueness method (HUM) introduced by Lions [11] we shall deduce from Theorem 1.1 an exact controllability result for the non-homogeneous system

$$\begin{cases} y_i'' - \sigma_{ij,j}(y) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \\ y_i = \vartheta_i & \text{on } \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}, \\ y_i(0) = y_i^0 & \text{and} \quad y_i'(0) = y_i^1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ i = 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

$$(1.11)$$

THEOREM 1.2. Assume (1.1), (1.5)–(1.9). Then for any given  $y^0$ ,  $\tilde{y}^0 \in (L^2(\Omega))^n$  and  $y^1$ ,  $\tilde{y}^1 \in (H^{-1}(\Omega))^n$  there exists  $\vartheta \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; (L^2(\Gamma))^n)$  such that the solution of (1.11) satisfies

$$y(T) = \tilde{y}^0$$
 and  $y'(T) = \tilde{y}^1$  in  $\Omega$ .

Moreover, we may assume that  $\vartheta$  vanishes outside of  $\Gamma_1 \times (0, T)$ .

Concerning the observability and the controllability for system (1.2), we note that the case  $a_{ijkl} = \text{constant}$  was studied by Alabau and Komornik [1]. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 extend the results of [1] to the case where  $a_{ijkl}$  are functions of class  $W^{2,\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ .

In the second half of this paper we shall study the uniform stabilizability of elasticity systems by applying suitable dissipative boundary feedbacks. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{i}'' - \sigma_{ij,j} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \\ u_{i} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \\ \sigma_{ij} \nu_{j} + a u_{i} + b u_{i}' = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \\ u_{i}(0) = u_{i}^{0} & \text{and } u_{i}'(0) = u_{i}^{1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ i = 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$
(1.12)

where a and b are given non-negative numbers. (It is easy to generalize our results to the case where a and b are non-negative functions of class  $C^1(\bar{\Gamma}_1)$ .) Indeed, define the energy of the solutions of (1.12) by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u_i' u_i' + \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij}) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_i} a u_i u_i d\Gamma, \qquad (1.13)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . The energy E is non-negative and we have

$$E'(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a'_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{ij} \, dx - \int_{\Gamma_1} b u'_i u'_i \, d\Gamma \le 0, \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

We assume that

$$a'_{ijkl}\varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{ij} \le 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$$
 (1.14)

for every symmetric tensor  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ . Then the energy E is non-increasing for  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . We shall consider system (1.12) under conditions (1.1), (1.5) and

$$|x - x_0| = R \quad \text{for all } x \in \Gamma_1. \tag{1.15}$$

Condition (1.15) is satisfied for all domain  $\Omega$  having a part  $\Gamma_1$  of its boundary which is a sphere; for example

$$\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : r < |x - x_0| < R\},\$$

where 0 < r < R and  $\Gamma_0 = \{x \in \Gamma : |x - x_0| = r\}$  or r = 0 and  $\Gamma_0$  is empty.

THEOREM 1.3. Assume (1.1), (1.5), (1.6), (1.14), (1.15) and  $a < (2 - \gamma)\alpha/4R$ . Then there exists a positive number  $\omega$  such that all (weak) solutions of (1.12) satisfy the energy estimate

$$E(t) < E(0)e^{1-\omega t}$$
, for all  $t > 0$ . (1.16)

If  $\Gamma_0$  has a positive measure, then the result also holds for a=0.

Remark 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.3 will be obtained by applying a Liapunov-type method based on an integral inequality applied earlier in Komornik [7, 8].

Remark 1.5. If  $a_{ijkl} = \text{constant}$  (i.e.  $\lambda = \gamma = 0$ ) then the condition on a reduces to  $a < \alpha/2R$ . In this case we obtain a better condition on a than the one given by Alabau and Komornik [2].

#### 2. Observability: proof of Theorem 1.1

First we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. Assume (1.7). Then we have

$$-\lambda E(t) \le E'(t) \le \lambda E(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{2.1}$$

$$e^{-\lambda t}E(0) \le E(t) \le e^{\lambda t}E(0) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$
 (2.2)

*Proof.* From (1.7) we have

$$-\lambda a_{ijkl}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kl} \leq -|a'_{ijkl}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kl}| \leq a'_{ijkl}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kl} \leq \lambda a_{ijkl}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kl}.$$

Then by (1.3) and (1.4) we obtain (2.1). By Gronwall's inequality we deduce (2.2) from (2.1). The proof of Lemma 2.1 follows.

Now, fix a number T which satisfies (1.9) and an arbitrary function  $h \in (W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))^n$ . We deduce from (1.2) that

$$0 = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} (h_{m}u_{i,m})(u_{i}'' - \sigma_{ij,j}) dx dt$$

$$= \left[ \int_{\Omega} h_{m}u_{i,m}u_{i}' dx \right]_{0}^{T} - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} h_{m}u_{i,m}\sigma_{ij}v_{j} d\Gamma dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} (h_{m,j}\sigma_{ij}u_{i,m} + h_{m}\sigma_{ij}u_{i,jm} - \frac{1}{2}h_{m}(u_{i}'u_{i}')_{m}) dx dt.$$

Since

$$\sigma_{ij}u_{i,jm} = \sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij,m} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij})_m - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_m a_{ijkl})\varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{ij}, \qquad (2.3)$$

integrating by parts the last two terms in the last integral and then multiplying by 2, we obtain the following identity:

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} (2h_m u_{i,m} \sigma_{ij} \nu_j + (h \cdot \nu) (u_i' u_i' - \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij})) d\Gamma dt$$

$$= \left[ \int_{\Omega} 2h_{m}u_{i,m}u'_{i} dx \right]_{0}^{T} - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h_{m}(\partial_{m}a_{ijkl})\varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{ij} dx dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} (2h_{m,j}\sigma_{ij}u_{i,m} + (\operatorname{div}h)(u'_{i}u'_{i} - \sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij})) dx dt.$$
 (2.4)

Note that in the proof of identity (2.4) we did not use the boundary conditions in (1.2).

Using the assumption  $h_m \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ ,  $a_{ijkl} \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ , estimate (2.2) and the Korn inequality, the right-hand side of (2.4) can be easily majorized by cE(0), where c is a positive constant. Furthermore, we deduce from the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition in (1.2) that

$$u_i' = 0$$
 and  $u_{i,m}v_j = u_{i,v}v_mv_j = u_{i,j}v_m$  on  $\Gamma$ ,

and hence

$$h_m u_{i,m} \sigma_{ij} v_j = (h \cdot v) \sigma_{ij} u_{i,j} = (h \cdot v) \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij}.$$

Therefore, the left-hand side of (2.4) reduces to

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} (h \cdot \nu) \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} \, d\Gamma \, dt.$$

Choosing h such that  $h = \nu$  on  $\Gamma$  (cf. [6, Lemma 2.1, p. 18]) the second inequality in (1.10) follows with  $c_2 = c$ .

Now choosing  $h(x) = x - x_0$ , the identity (2.4) reduces to

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} (h \cdot v) \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} d\Gamma dt$$

$$= \left[ \int_{\Omega} 2h_{m} u_{i,m} u'_{i} dx \right]_{0}^{T} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} ((2 - n) \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} + n u'_{i} u'_{i}) dx dt - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h_{m} (\partial_{m} a_{ijkl}) \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{ij} dx dt.$$

Furthermore, we also deduce from (1.2) that

$$0 = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u_i (u_i'' - \sigma_{ij,j}) \, dx \, dt$$

$$= \left[ \int_{\Omega} u_i u_i' \, dx \right]_0^T - \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} u_i \sigma_{ij} v_j \, d\Gamma \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} - u_i' u_i') \, dx \, dt$$

$$= \left[ \int_{\Omega} u_i u_i' \, dx \right]_0^T + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} - u_i' u_i') \, dx \, dt.$$

Multiplying this equality by  $n - 1 + \gamma/2$  and combining with the preceding identity we obtain that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} (h \cdot \nu) \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} d\Gamma dt 
= \left[ \int_{\Omega} \left( 2h_{m} u_{i,m} + \left( n - 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) u_{i} \right) u'_{i} dx \right]_{0}^{T} - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h_{m} (\partial_{m} a_{ijkl}) \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{ij} dx dt 
+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \left( \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} + \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) u'_{i} u'_{i} \right) dx dt$$

since, by (1.6), we have

$$-\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} h_m(\partial_m a_{ijkl}) \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{ij} \, dx \, dt \ge -\gamma \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} \, dx \, dt,$$

whence

$$R \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} d\Gamma dt$$

$$\geq (2 - \gamma) \int_{0}^{T} E(t) dt - \left| \left[ \int_{\Omega} \left( 2h_{m} u_{i,m} + \left( n - 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) u_{i} \right) u'_{i} dx dt \right]_{0}^{T} \right|.$$

$$(2.5)$$

Let us majorize the last integral. For each fixed i we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| 2h_{m}u_{i,m} + \left(n - 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)u_{i} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} &- \|2h_{m}u_{i,m}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \left(n - 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2}u_{i}^{2} + 4\left(n - 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)h_{m}u_{i,m}u_{i} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \left(n - 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{2}u_{i}^{2} - 2\left(n - 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)nu_{i}^{2} \right) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} 2\left(n - 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)h_{m}v_{m}u_{i}^{2} d\Gamma \\ &= (1 - n^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\gamma(\gamma - 4))\int_{\Omega} u_{i}^{2} dx \leq 0 \end{split}$$

(cf. (1.8)). Therefore, for any fixed  $\delta > 0$  we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \left( 2h_m u_{i,m} + \left( n - 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) u_i \right) u_i' \, dx \right|$$

$$\leq 2R \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{i}|^{2} dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Omega} (u_{i}')^{2} dx \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq R\delta \int_{\Omega} u_{i,m} u_{i,m} dx + R\delta^{-1} \int_{\Omega} u_{i}' u_{i}' dx. \tag{2.6}$$

Furthermore, applying the Green formula and using the boundary condition in (1.2) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{i,m} u_{i,m} dx = \int_{\Omega} 2\varepsilon_{im} u_{i,m} dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{m,i} u_{i,m} dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} (2\varepsilon_{im} \varepsilon_{im} - u_{m,m} u_{i,i}) dx,$$

i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\varepsilon_{im}\varepsilon_{im} dx = \int_{\Omega} u_{i,m}u_{i,m} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{div} u|^2 dx.$$
 (2.7)

It follows from (1.1) and (2.7) that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{i,m} u_{i,m} dx \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{im} \varepsilon_{im} dx.$$

Substituting into (2.6), choosing  $\delta = \sqrt{\alpha/2}$  and using definition (1.3) of the energy we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \left( 2h_m u_{i,m} + \left( n - 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) u_i \right) u_i' \, dx \right| \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} RE(t).$$

Therefore, we deduce from (2.5) the inequality

$$R\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} \, d\Gamma \, dt \ge (2-\gamma) \int_0^T E(t) \, dt - 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} R(E(0) + E(T)).$$

Suppose  $E(T) \ge E(0)$ . Now from (2.1) we have

$$E(t) \ge \frac{1}{\lambda} ((1 - e^{-\lambda t}) E(t))'.$$

Then we obtain

$$R \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} d\Gamma dt \geq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} (2 - \gamma)(1 - e^{-\lambda T}) - 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} R\right) E(T)$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} (2 - \gamma)(1 - e^{-\lambda T}) - 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} R\right) E(0)$$

and the first estimate of (1.10) follows with

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{R\lambda}(2 - \gamma)(1 - e^{-\lambda T}) - 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}}.$$

(From assumption (1.9) we have  $c_1 > 0$ .) With the same reasoning we can argue the case  $E(T) \le E(0)$ , and the proof is thus complete.

In the next section we give an equivalent form of the integral in (1.10).

LEMMA 2.2. Assume (1.1) and put

$$\beta = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \|a_{ijkl}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma \times \mathbb{R})}^{2}.$$

Then every strong solution of (1.2) satisfies on  $\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}$  the inequalities

$$\frac{\alpha}{2}\sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\sigma_{ij}\nu_{j}|^{2} \le \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij}. \tag{2.8}$$

Applying the density argument, estimate (2.8) also remains valid for weak solution.

*Proof.* The proof of the second inequality of (2.8) does not use the boundary conditions:

$$\sum_{i} |\sigma_{ij} v_{j}|^{2} \leq \sum_{i,j} |\sigma_{ij}|^{2} = \sum_{i,j} |a_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl}|^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i,j} \left( \sum_{k,l} ||a_{ijkl}||_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma \times \mathbb{R})}^{2} \sum_{k,l} |\varepsilon_{ij}|^{2} \right) = \beta \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{kl} \leq \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij}.$$

For the proof of the first inequality of (2.8), without the boundary conditions in (1.2) we have

$$u_{i,j}u_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})^2 - u_{i,j}u_{j,i}$$
  
=  $2\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} - u_{i,\nu}\nu_j u_{j,\nu}\nu_i = 2\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} - |\operatorname{div} u|^2$ ,

i.e.

$$2\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} = u_{i,j}u_{i,j} + |\operatorname{div} u|^2 \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$
 (2.9)

(Compare with (2.7).) Therefore,

$$\sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} = \sigma_{ij}u_{i,j} = \sigma_{ij}v_{j}u_{i,\nu} \le \left(\sum_{i} |\sigma_{ij}v_{j}|^{2}\right)^{1/2} (u_{i,\nu}u_{i,\nu})^{1/2}$$

$$\le \left(\sum_{i} |\sigma_{ij}v_{j}|^{2}\right)^{1/2} (2\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij})^{1/2} \le \left(\sum_{i} |\sigma_{ij}v_{j}|^{2}\right)^{1/2} (2\alpha^{-1}\sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij})^{1/2}$$

and the first inequality in (2.8) follows.

## 3. Controllability: proof of Theorem 1.2

Let us first study the well-posedness of the non-homogeneous problem (1.11). Let us multiply the equation in (1.11) by an arbitrary solution of problem (1.2) and integrate by parts formally. Then we obtain that

$$0 = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u_i(y_i'' - \sigma_{ij,j}(y)) dx dt$$

$$= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (u_i'' - \sigma_{ij,j}(u)) y_i dx dt + \left[ \int_{\Omega} u_i y_i' - u_i' y_i dx \right]_0^T$$

$$+ \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} (-u_i \sigma_{ij}(y) v_j + \sigma_{ij}(u) v_j y_i) d\Gamma dt$$

$$= \left[ \int_{\Omega} (u_i y_i' - u_i' y_i) dx \right]_0^T + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} \sigma_{ij}(u) v_j \vartheta_i d\Gamma dt.$$

Hence, putting

$$H = (H^{-1}(\Omega))^n \times (L^2(\Omega))^n$$
 and  $H' = (H_0^1(\Omega))^n \times (L^2(\Omega))^n$ 

for simplicity, we have

$$\langle (y'(T), -y(T)), (u(T), u'(T)) \rangle_{H,H'}$$

$$= \langle (y_{i1}, -y_{i0}), (u_{i0}, u_{i1}) \rangle_{H,H'} - \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} \sigma_{ij}(u) \nu_j \vartheta_i d\Gamma dt.$$
(3.1)

This leads to the following.

*Definition.* A solution y of (1.11) is a continuous function such that  $(y', -y) : \mathbb{R} \to H$  satisfies identity (3.1) for all  $T \in \mathbb{R}$  and for all (weak) solutions of problem (1.2).

This definition is justified by the following.

THEOREM 3.1. Assume (1.1). Then for any given

$$y^0 \in (L^2(\Omega))^n$$
,  $y^1 \in (H^{-1}(\Omega))^n$  and  $\vartheta \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; (L^2(\Gamma))^n)$ 

the problem (1.11) has a unique solution satisfying

$$y \in C(\mathbb{R}; (L^2(\Omega))^n) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}; (H^{-1}(\Omega))^n).$$

Furthermore, the linear map  $(y^0, y^1, \vartheta) \mapsto y$  is continuous with respect to these topologies.

*Proof.* We apply Theorem 1.1. Thanks to the second estimate in (1.10) the right-hand side of equality (3.1) defines a bounded linear form of  $(y^0, y^1) \in H'$  for each T. Since the linear map  $(u^0, u^1) \mapsto (u(T), u'(T))$  is an automorphism of H' (because problem (1.2) is reversible), the right-hand side of equality (3.1) can also be considered as a bounded linear form of  $(y(T), y'(T)) \in H' (= H'')$ , and we conclude the existence of a unique element  $(y'(T), -y(T)) \in H$  satisfying (3.1).

Since the bounded linear form used in this proof depends continuously on  $T \in \mathbb{R}$ , the solution y has the regularity required in the theorem. Finally, the bounded linear form clearly depends continuously on  $(y^0, y^1, \vartheta)$ , hence y also has this property.  $\square$ 

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

The main idea is to seek a control in the form  $\vartheta_i = \sigma_{ij}(u)v_j$ , where u is the solution of (1.2) for some suitable initial data. (Thanks to Theorem 1.1 and to Lemma 2.2 these controls have the required regularity for the well-posedness of (1.11).)

Case 1:  $\tilde{y}^0 = \tilde{y}^1 = 0$ . Let  $(u^0, u^1) \in H'$  arbitrarily, first solve problem (1.2), then solve the problem

$$\begin{cases} y_i'' - \sigma_{ij,j}(y) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \\ y_i = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times \mathbb{R}, \\ y_i = \sigma_{ij}(u)\nu_j & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{R}, \\ y_i(T) = y_i'(T) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ i = 1, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

and set

$$\Lambda(u^0, u^1) = (y'(0), -y(0)).$$

(Problem (3.2) is well-posed in an analogous sense as (1.11) in Theorem 3.1:  $\exists ! y \in C(\mathbb{R}; (L^2(\Omega))^n) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}; (H^{-1}(\Omega))^n)$  satisfies (3.2); because it is a time-reversible problem.) Obviously,  $\Lambda : H' \to H$  is a bounded linear map. Applying the HUM, it is sufficient to show that  $\Lambda$  is onto. Indeed, then for any given  $(y^0, y^1) \in (L^2(\Omega))^n \times (H^{-1}(\Omega))^n$  it will suffice to choose the control  $\vartheta$  defined by  $\vartheta_i = 0$  on  $\Gamma_0 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\vartheta_i = \sigma_{ij}(u)\nu_j$  on  $\Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , where u is the solution of (1.2) corresponding to  $(u^0, u^1) = \Lambda^{-1}(y^1, -y^0)$ .

We shall prove that  $\Lambda$  is in fact an isomorphism. We have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u_{i}(y_{i}'' - \sigma_{ij,j}(y)) \, dx \, dt$$

$$= \left[ \int_{\Omega} (u_{i}y_{i}' - u_{i}'y_{i}) \, dx \right]_{0}^{T} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} (u_{i}'' - \sigma_{ij,j}(u)) y_{i} \, dx \, dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} (-u_{i}\sigma_{ij}(y)v_{j} + \sigma_{ij}(u)v_{j}y_{i}) \, d\Gamma \, dt,$$

using the definition of  $\Lambda$ , the system (1.2) and (3.2). We obtain

$$\langle \Lambda(u^0, u^1), (u^0, u^1) \rangle_{H,H'} = \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \sum_i |\sigma_{ij}(u)\nu_j|^2 d\Gamma dt.$$
 (3.3)

Applying the first estimate of (1.10) in Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.2, we conclude from the identity (3.3) that  $\Lambda$  is coercive. Applying the Lax–Milgram theorem we conclude that  $\Lambda$  is an isomorphism.

Case 2:  $\tilde{y}^0$  or  $\tilde{y}^1 \neq 0$ . We take y = z + w, where z is the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} z_i'' - \sigma_{ij,j}(z) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \\ z_i = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}, \\ z_i(T) = \tilde{y}_i^0 & \text{and } z'(T) = \tilde{y}_i^1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ i = 1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

and w is the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} w_i'' - \sigma_{ij,j}(w) = 0 & \text{on } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \\ w_i = \vartheta_i & \text{in } \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}, \\ w_i(0) = y_i^0 - z_i(0) & \text{and } w_i'(0) = y_i^1 - z_i'(0) & \text{on } \Omega, \\ w_i(T) = w_i'(T) = 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \\ i = 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is now complete.

### 4. Stabilizability: proof of Theorem 1.3

The well-posedness of problem (1.12) can be established by standard methods of evolution systems (cf. Pazy [12, ch. 5]) as in the study of system (1.2). We have the following.

THEOREM 4.1. Assume (1.1). Then for every given  $(u^0, u^1) \in (H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega))^n \times H$ , problem (1.12) has a unique (weak) solution satisfying

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; (H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega))^n) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^+; H),$$

where  $H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega) = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0\}.$ 

If  $(u^0, u^1) \in W \times V$ , then the corresponding strong solution is more regular:

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; W) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^+; V) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H).$$

Let us turn to the proof of Theorem 1.3. All the computations which follow will be justified for a strong solution. Since the constant  $\omega$  in (1.16) will not depend on E(0), once estimates (1.16) are established for regular solutions, they will also be satisfied for all weak solutions by an easy density argument. For this, we shall prove that  $\int_0^\infty E(t) dt \le (1/\omega)E(0)$ , with  $\omega$  the positive constant not depending on E(0), and by [5, Theorem 8.1] we deduce estimate (1.16).

First we show the dissipativity of problem (1.12).

LEMMA 4.1. The function  $E: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is non-increasing and

$$E(0) - E(T) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} a'_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{ij} \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} b u'_i u'_i \, d\Gamma \, dt, \quad 0 \le T < \infty.$$
 (4.1)

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} E' &= \int_{\Omega} (u_i' u_i'' + \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij}' + \frac{1}{2} a_{ijkl}' \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{ij}) \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_1} a u_i u_i' \, d\Gamma \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (u_i' \sigma_{ij,j} + \sigma_{ij} u_{i,j}') \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a_{ijkl}' \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{ij} \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_1} a u_i u_i' \, d\Gamma \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_1} u_i' \sigma_{ij} v_j \, d\Gamma + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a_{ijkl}' \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{ij} \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_1} a u_i u_i' \, d\Gamma \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a_{ijkl}' \varepsilon_{kl} \varepsilon_{ij} \, dx - \int_{\Gamma_1} b u_i' u_i' \, d\Gamma \leq 0; \end{split}$$

integrating between 0 and T we obtain (4.1).

Let  $0 \le T \le \infty$  arbitrarily. We have

$$0 = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u_i (u_i'' - \sigma_{ij,j}) \, dx \, dt$$
  
= 
$$\left[ \int_{\Omega} u_i u_i' \, dx \right]_0^T - \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} u_i \sigma_{ij} v_j \, d\Gamma \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} - u_i' u_i') \, dx \, dt,$$

whence

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} u_i \sigma_{ij} \nu_j \, d\Gamma \, dt = \left[ \int_{\Omega} u_i u_i' \, dx \right]_0^T + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} - u_i' u_i') \, dx \, dt. \tag{4.2}$$

Multiply (4.2) by  $n-1+\gamma/2+2aR/\alpha$  and combine with (2.4) such that  $h(x)=x-x_0$ . Writing

$$M_i = 2(x_m - x_m^0)u_{i,m} + \left(n - 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{2aR}{\alpha}\right)u_i$$

for simplicity, we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{2aR}{\alpha}\right) \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} + u'_{i}u'_{i}) \, dx \, dt + \left[\int_{\Omega} M_{i}u'_{i} \, dx\right]_{0}^{T} \\
= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} (M_{i}\sigma_{ij}\nu_{j} + (h \cdot \nu)(u'_{i}u'_{i} - \sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij})) \, d\Gamma \, dt - \frac{4aR}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} \, dx \, dt \\
+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} (x_{m} - x_{m}^{0})(\partial_{m}a_{ijkl})\varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{ij} \, dx \, dt - \gamma \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} \, dx \, dt,$$

by (1.6). The last part of this equality is negative; taking into account definition (1.13) of the energy, we can rewrite it in the following form:

$$\left(2 - \gamma - \frac{4aR}{\alpha}\right) \int_{0}^{T} E(t) dt + \left[\int_{\Omega} M_{i} u'_{i} dx\right]_{0}^{T} \\
\leq \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{2aR}{\alpha}\right) \int_{0} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} au_{i} u_{i} d\Gamma dx - \frac{4aR}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} dx dt \\
+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} (M_{i} \sigma_{ij} \nu_{j} + (h \cdot \nu)(u'_{i} u'_{i} - \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij})) d\Gamma dt.$$

Now using the boundary conditions in (1.12) we obtain

$$\left(2-\gamma-\frac{4aR}{\alpha}\right)\int_0^T E(t)\,dt + \left[\int_\Omega M_i u_i'\,dx\right]_0^T$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{0}} (h \cdot \nu) \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} d\Gamma dt - \frac{4aR}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} dx dt 
+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \left( \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{2aR}{\alpha} \right) a u_{i} u_{i} - M_{i} (a u_{i} + b u'_{i}) \right) 
+ (h \cdot \nu) (u'_{i} u'_{i} - \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij}) d\Gamma dt.$$
(4.3)

(The term on  $\Gamma_0$  is obtained in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.1.)

Next we transform the integral over  $\Gamma_1$ . Applying the Green formula twice and using the boundary condition on  $\Gamma_0$  we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{m,i} u_{i,m} dx = \int_{\Gamma} (u_{m,i} u_{i} v_{m} - u_{m,m} u_{i} v_{i}) d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} u_{m,m} u_{i,i} dx 
= \int_{\Gamma_{1}} (2\varepsilon_{mi} u_{i} v_{m} - u_{i,m} u_{i} v_{m} - \varepsilon_{mm} u_{i} v_{i}) d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_{mm} \varepsilon_{ii} dx.$$

On the other hand

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{m,i} u_{i,m} dx = \int_{\Omega} (2\varepsilon_{mi} u_{i,m} - u_{i,m} u_{i,m}) dx = \int_{\Omega} (2\varepsilon_{mi} \varepsilon_{mi} - u_{i,m} u_{i,m}) dx$$

and therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} (2\varepsilon_{mi}\varepsilon_{mi} - u_{i,m}u_{i,m} - \varepsilon_{mm}\varepsilon_{ii}) dx = \int_{\Gamma_1} (2\varepsilon_{mi}u_iv_m - u_{i,m}u_iv_m - \varepsilon_{mm}v_iu_i) d\Gamma.$$
 (4.4)

Multiplying (4.4) by 2aR and using the relation  $h = R\nu$  on  $\Gamma_1$  we deduce the following equality:

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} (-2ah_{m}u_{i,m}u_{i}) d\Gamma dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} (4aR\varepsilon_{mi}\varepsilon_{mi} - 2aRu_{i,m}u_{i,m} - 2aR|\operatorname{div}u|^{2}) dx dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} (2aR(\operatorname{div}u)(v \cdot u) - 4aR\varepsilon_{mi}u_{i}v_{m}) d\Gamma dt. \tag{4.5}$$

Next we obtain by a similar computation that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u_{m,i} u'_{i,m} \, dx \, dt$$

$$= \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} (u_{m,i}u_i'v_m - u_{m,m}v_iu_i') d\Gamma dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u_{m,m}u_{i,i}' dx dt$$

$$= \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} (2\varepsilon_{mi}u_i'v_m - u_{i,m}u_i'v_m - \varepsilon_{mm}v_iu_i') d\Gamma dt + \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{div} u|^2 dx\right]_0^T.$$

Furthermore.

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u_{m,i} u'_{i,m} dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (2\varepsilon_{mi} \varepsilon'_{mi} - u_{i,m} u'_{i,m}) dx dt$$
$$= \left[ \int_{\Omega} (\varepsilon_{mi} \varepsilon_{mi} - \frac{1}{2} u_{i,m} u_{i,m}) dx \right]_0^T.$$

Again using the relation  $h = R\nu$  on  $\Gamma_1$ , it follows that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} (-2bh_{m}u_{i,m}u'_{i}) d\Gamma dt$$

$$= \left[ \int_{\Omega} (2bR\varepsilon_{mi}\varepsilon_{mi} - bRu_{i,m}u_{i,m} - bR|\operatorname{div}u|^{2}) dx \right]_{0}^{T}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} (2bR(\operatorname{div}u)(\nu \cdot u') - 4bR\varepsilon_{mi}u'_{i}\nu_{m}) d\Gamma dt. \tag{4.6}$$

Substituting equalities (4.5) and (4.6) into (4.3) and using the equality  $h \cdot \nu = R$  on  $\Gamma_1$  and  $h \cdot \nu \leq 0$  on  $\Gamma_0$ , we obtain

$$\left(2 - \gamma - \frac{4aR}{\alpha}\right) \int_{0}^{T} E(t) dt 
\leq \left[ \int_{\Omega} (-M_{i}u'_{i} + 2bR\varepsilon_{mi}\varepsilon_{mi} - bRu_{i,m}u_{i,m} - bR|\operatorname{div}u|^{2}) dx \right]_{0}^{T} 
+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \left( 4aR\varepsilon_{mi}\varepsilon_{mi} - 2aRu_{i,m}u_{i,m} - 2aR|\operatorname{div}u|^{2} - \frac{4aR}{\alpha}\sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} \right) dx dt 
+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \left( \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{2aR}{\alpha} \right) au_{i}u_{i} - \left( n - 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{2aR}{\alpha} \right) u_{i}(au_{i} + bu'_{i}) 
+ R(u'_{i}u'_{i} - \sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij}) + 2aR(\operatorname{div}u)(v \cdot u) - 4aR\varepsilon_{mi}u_{i}v_{m} 
+ 2bR(\operatorname{div}u)(v \cdot u') - 4bR\varepsilon_{mi}u'_{i}v_{m} \right) d\Gamma dt.$$
(4.7)

Let us majorize the right-hand side of this identity. Using the definition of the

energy and the Korn inequality,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (-M_i u_i' + 2bR\varepsilon_{mi}\varepsilon_{mi} - bRu_{i,m}u_{i,m} - bR|\operatorname{div} u|^2) \, dx \right| \le c_1 E(t)$$

and

$$\left| -b\left(n - 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{2aR}{\alpha}\right) \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} u_i u_i' d\Gamma dt \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{b}{4} \left( 2n - 2 + \gamma + \frac{4aR}{\alpha} \right) \left[ \int_{\Gamma_1} u_i u_i \right]_0^T \right| \le c_2 E(t)$$

with some constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  independent of E(0) and of T.

By condition (1.1) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( 4aR\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} - 2aRu_{i,m}u_{i,m} - 2aR|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} - \frac{4aR}{\alpha}\sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} \right) dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{4aR}{\alpha}\sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} - \frac{4aR}{\alpha}\sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} \right) dx = 0.$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 and using (1.14) we deduce that

$$R\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} u_i' u_i' \, d\Gamma \, dt \le \frac{R}{b} (E(0) - E(T)) \le \frac{R}{b} E(0).$$

Then we deduce from identity (4.7) (also using (1.1) on  $-R\sigma_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij}$ ) the following inequality:

$$\left(2 - \gamma - \frac{4aR}{\alpha}\right) \int_{0}^{T} E(t) dt 
\leq c_{3}E(0) + \left(2 - n - \gamma - \frac{4aR}{\alpha}\right) \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} au_{i}u_{i} dx 
+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} (-R\alpha\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} + 2aR(\operatorname{div}u)(u \cdot v) - 4aR\varepsilon_{mi}u_{i}v_{m} 
+ 2bR(\operatorname{div}u)(u' \cdot v) - 4bR\varepsilon_{mi}u'_{i}v_{m}) d\Gamma dt.$$
(4.8)

Here,  $c_3 = 2c_1 + 2c_2 + R/b$ . For any fixed  $\delta > 0$  we have

$$2aR(\operatorname{div} u)u \cdot v \leq \delta |\operatorname{div} u|^2 + a^2R^2\delta^{-1}|u|^2$$

On linear elasticity systems with variable coefficients

$$2bR(\operatorname{div} u)u' \cdot v \leq \delta |\operatorname{div} u|^{2} + b^{2}R^{2}\delta^{-1}|u'|^{2},$$

$$-4aR\varepsilon_{mi}u_{i}v_{m} \leq \delta\varepsilon_{mi}\varepsilon_{mi} + 4a^{2}R^{2}\delta^{-1}|u|^{2},$$

$$-4bR\varepsilon_{mi}u'_{i}v_{m} \leq \delta\varepsilon_{mi}\varepsilon_{mi} + 4b^{2}R^{2}\delta^{-1}|u'|^{2}.$$

Substituting them into (4.8) and using the inequality  $|\operatorname{div} u|^2 \le \varepsilon_{mi} \varepsilon_{mi}$ , we obtain

$$\left(2 - \gamma - \frac{4aR}{\alpha}\right) \int_0^T E(t) dt \le c_3 E(0)$$

$$+ \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \left( \left(2 - n - \gamma - \frac{4aR}{\alpha} + 5aR^2 \delta^{-1}\right) a|u|^2 + (4\delta - \alpha R)\varepsilon_{mi}\varepsilon_{mi} + 5bR^2 \delta^{-1}b|u'|^2 \right) d\Gamma dt.$$

Using (1.14) and (4.1) we have

$$5bR^{2}\delta^{-1}\int_{0}^{T}\int_{\Gamma_{1}}b|u'|^{2}d\Gamma dt \leq 5bR^{2}\delta^{-1}(E(0)-E(T))\leq 5bR^{2}\delta^{-1}E(0).$$

Substituting into the preceding inequality and choosing  $\delta = \alpha R/4$ , we conclude that

$$\left(2-\gamma-\frac{4aR}{\alpha}\right)\int_0^T E(t)\,dt \le c_4 E(0) + \left(2-n-\gamma+16\frac{aR}{\alpha}\right)\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} a|u|^2\,d\Gamma\,dt \tag{4.9}$$

with  $c_4 = c_3 + 20bR/\alpha$ .

Applying a method of Conrad and Rao [3] we shall prove the following lemma. LEMMA 4.2. For any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $c_5 > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^2 d\Gamma dt \le c_5 E(0) + \epsilon \int_0^T E(t) dt$$

for all  $T \geq 0$ .

Assuming this lemma, choosing  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $(2 - n - \gamma + 16aR/\alpha)a\epsilon < 2 - \gamma - 4aR/\alpha$  if  $n < 2 - \gamma + 16aR/\alpha$ , we deduce from (4.9) the inequality

$$\int_0^T E(t) dt \le cE(0), \quad \text{for all } T \ge 0,$$

where c is a constant independent of E(0) and of T. Then we conclude that

$$\int_0^\infty E(t) \, dt \le c E(0)$$

and obtain (1.16) with  $\omega = 1/c$ .

It remains to prove Lemma 4.2. For every  $t \ge 0$  let us denote by  $\eta(t)$  the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\sigma_{ij,j} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \eta = u & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\|\eta\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^n} \le c\|u\|_{(L^2(\Gamma))^n} \le c\sqrt{E(t)},\tag{4.10}$$

where c is a positive constant not depending on u. Applying (4.10) with u' instead of u we also obtain

$$\|\eta'\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^n} \le c\|u'\|_{(L^2(\Gamma))^n} \le c\sqrt{|E'|}.$$
(4.11)

Let us also observe that

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma_{ij}(\eta) \varepsilon_{ij}(u-\eta) dx = -\int_{\Omega} \sigma_{ij,j}(\eta) (u_i - \eta_i) dx + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma_{ij}(\eta) \nu_j(u_i - \eta_i) d\Gamma = 0;$$
(4.12)

hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma_{ij}(\eta) \varepsilon_{ij}(u) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{ij}(\eta) \varepsilon_{ij}(\eta) \, dx \ge 0. \tag{4.13}$$

Integrating by parts on  $\Omega \times [0, T]$  and using the boundary conditions on u and on  $\eta$  we deduce the following inequality:

$$0 = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \eta_i(u_i'' - \sigma_{ij,j}(u)) \, dx \, dt$$

$$= \left[ \int_{\Omega} \eta_i u_i' \, dx \right]_0^T + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (-\eta_i' u_i' + \sigma_{ij}(u) \varepsilon_{ij}(\eta)) \, dx \, dt$$

$$+ \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} u_i (au_i + bu_i') \, d\Gamma \, dt.$$

Using (4.13) we obtain

$$a \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} |u|^{2} d\Gamma dt \leq -\left[ \int_{\Omega} \eta_{i} u'_{i} dx + \frac{b}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |u|^{2} d\Gamma \right]_{0}^{T} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \eta'_{i} u'_{i} dx dt.$$
 (4.14)

By the definition of the energy and inequality (4.10) we have

$$\left| \left[ \int_{\Omega} \eta_i u_i' \, dx + \frac{b}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |u|^2 \, d\Gamma \right]_0^T \right| \le c E(0).$$

Furthermore, using (4.11) and applying the Poincaré inequality we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \eta'_{i} u'_{i} \, dx \, dt \leq \int_{0}^{T} \|\eta'\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{n}} \|u'\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{n}} \, dt 
\leq c \int_{0}^{T} \sqrt{|E'|} \sqrt{E} \, dt \leq \int_{0}^{T} \left( a\epsilon E + \frac{c^{2}}{4a\epsilon} (-E') \right) \, dt 
\leq a\epsilon \int_{0}^{T} E(t) \, dt + c' E(0).$$

Substituting these inequalities into (4.14), then the lemma follows.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude and hearty thanks to professor Vilmos Komornik for his helpful suggestions, useful advice and constant encouragement.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Alabau and V. Komornik. Boundary observability, controllability and stabilization of lineair elastodynamic systems. To appear.
- [2] F. Alabau and V. Komornik. Observabilité, contrôlabilité et stabilisation du système d'élasticité linéaire. C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér I Math. 324 (1997), 519-524.
- [3] F. Conrad and B. Rao. Decay of solutions of wave equations in a star-shaped domain with nonlinear boundary feedback. Asymptotic Anal. 7 (1993), 159–177.
- [4] G. Duvaut and J.-L. Lions. Les Inégalités en Mécanique et en Physique. Dunod, Paris, 1972.
- [5] A. Guesmia. Observability, controllability and boundary stabilization of a linear elasticity systems. Acta Sci. Math. Submitted.
- [6] V. Komornik. Exact controllability and stabilization. The Multiplier Method. Masson, Paris and John Wiley, 1994.
- [7] V. Komornik. Boundary stabilization of linear elasticity systems. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 174 (1995), 135–146.
- [8] V. Komornik. Exact controllability in short time for the wave equation. Analyse non lineaire 6 (1989), 153-164.
- [9] J. E. Lagnese. Boundary stabilization of linear elastodynamic systems. SIAM J. Control Opt. 21 (1983), 968–984.
- [10] J. E. Lagnese. Uniform asymptotic energy estimates for solution of the equation of dynamic plane elasticity with nonlinear dissipation at the boundary. Nonlinear Anal. TMA 16 (1991), 35–54.
- [11] J.-L. Lions. Exact controllability, stabilizability, and perturbation for distributed systems. SIAM Rev. 30 (1988), 1–68.
- [12] A. Pazy. Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations (Applied Mathematical Science, 44). Springer, New York, 1983.

Aissa Guesmia
Institut de recherche mathématique avancée
Université Louis Pasteur et CNRS
7, rue René Descartes
67084 Strasbourg Cédex
France
(E-mail: guesmia@math.u-strasbg.fr)