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#### Abstract

We look to gradations of Kac-Moody Lie algebras by Kac-Moody root systems with finite dimensional weight spaces. We extend, to general Kac-Moody Lie algebras, the notion of $C$-admissible pair as introduced by H. Rubenthaler and J. Nervi for semi-simple and affine Lie algebras. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Kac-Moody Lie algebra (with Dynkin diagram indexed by $I$ ) and $(I, J)$ is such a $C$-admissible pair, we construct a $C$-admissible subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$, which is a Kac-Moody Lie algebra of the same type as $\mathfrak{g}$, and whose root system $\Sigma$ grades finitely the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. For an admissible quotient $\rho: I \rightarrow \bar{I}$ we build also a Kac-Moody subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\rho}$ which grades finitely the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is affine or hyperbolic, we prove that the classification of the gradations of $\mathfrak{g}$ is equivalent to those of the $C$-admissible pairs and of the admissible quotients. For general Kac-Moody Lie algebras of indefinite type, the situation may be more complicated; it is (less precisely) described by the concept of generalized $C$-admissible pairs.


2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B67.
Key words and phrases. Kac-Moody algebra, $C$-admissible pair, gradation.

Introduction. The notion of gradation of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by a finite root system $\Sigma$ was introduced by S. Berman and R. Moody [8] and further studied by G. Benkart and E. Zelmanov [5], E. Neher [15], B. Allison, G. Benkart and Y. Gao [1] and J. Nervi [16]. This notion was extended by J. Nervi [17] to the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is an affine Kac-Moody algebra and $\Sigma$ the (infinite) root system of an affine KacMoody algebra; in her two articles she uses the notion of $C$-admissible subalgebra associated to a $C$-admissible pair for the Dynkin diagram, as introduced by H . Rubenthaler [21].

We consider here a general Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ (indecomposable and symmetrizable) and the root system $\Sigma$ of a Kac-Moody algebra. We say that $\mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Sigma$-graded if $\mathfrak{g}$ contains a Kac-Moody subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ (the grading subalgebra) whose root system relatively to a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ is $\Sigma$ and moreover the action of $a d(\mathfrak{a})$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is diagonalizable with weights in $\Sigma \cup\{0\}$ and finite dimensional weight spaces, see Definition 1.4. The finite dimensionality of weight spaces is a new condition, it was fulfilled by the non-trivial examples of J. Nervi [17] but it excludes the gradings of infinite dimensional Kac-Moody algebras by finite root systems as in [5]. Many examples of these gradations are provided by the almost split real forms of $\mathfrak{g}$, cf. 1.7. We are interested in describing the possible gradations
of a given Kac-Moody algebra (as in [16], [17]), not in determining all the Lie algebras graded by a given root system $\Sigma$ (as e.g. in [1] for $\Sigma$ finite). We carry out completely this project when $\mathfrak{g}$ is affine or hyperbolic.

Let $I$ be the index set of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$, we generalize the notion of $C$-admissible pair $(I, J)$ as introduced by H. Rubenthaler [21] and J. Nervi [16], [17], cf. Definition 2.1. For each Dynkin diagram $I$ the classification of the $C$-admissible pairs $(I, J)$ is easy to deduce from the list of irreducible $C$-admissible pairs due to these authors. We are able then to generalize in section 2 their construction of a $C$-admissible subalgebra (associated to a $C$-admissible pair) which grades finitely $\mathfrak{g}$ :

Theorem 1. (cf. 2.6, 2.11, 2.14) Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an indecomposable and symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra, associated to a generalized Cartan matrix $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$. Let $J \subset I$ be a subset of finite type such that the pair $(I, J)$ is $C$-admissible. There is a generalized Cartan matrix $A^{J}=\left(a_{k, l}^{\prime}\right)_{k, l \in I^{\prime}}$ with index set $I^{\prime}=I \backslash J$ and a Kac-Moody subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ associated to $A^{J}$, with root system $\Delta^{J}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Delta^{J}$-graded with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$.

For a general finite gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$ with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$, we prove (in section 3) that $\mathfrak{m}$ also is indecomposable, symmetrizable and the restriction to $\mathfrak{m}$ of the invariant bilinear form of $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-degenerate (3.11 and 3.17). The Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ have the same type: finite, affine or indefinite; the first two types correspond to the cases already studied e.g. by J. Nervi. Moreover if $\mathfrak{g}$ is indefinite Lorentzian or hyperbolic, then so is $\mathfrak{m}$ (Propositions 3.6 and 3.27). We get also the following precise structure result for this general situation :
Theorem 2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an indecomposable and symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra, finitely graded by a root system $\Sigma$ of Kac-Moody type with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$. 1) We may choose the Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{h}$. Then there is a surjective map $\rho_{a}: \Delta \cup\{0\} \rightarrow \Sigma \cup\{0\}$ between the corresponding root systems. We may choose the bases $\Pi_{a}=\left\{\gamma_{s} \mid s \in \bar{I}\right\} \subset \Sigma$ and $\Pi=\left\{\alpha_{i} \mid i \in I\right\} \subset \Delta$ of these root systems such that $\rho_{a}\left(\Delta^{+}\right) \subset \Sigma^{+} \cup\{0\}$ and $\left\{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \rho_{a}(\alpha)=0\right\}=$ $\Delta_{J}:=\Delta \cap\left(\sum_{j \in J} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_{j}\right)$ for some subset $J \subset I$ of finite type.
2) Let $I_{r e}^{\prime}=\left\{i \in I \mid \rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \in \Pi_{a}\right\}$, $I_{i m}^{\prime}=\left\{i \in I \mid \rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \notin \Pi_{a} \cup\{0\}\right\}$. Then $J=\left\{i \in I \mid \rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=0\right\}$. We note $I_{r e}$ (resp. $J^{\circ}$ ) the union of the connected components of $I \backslash I_{i m}^{\prime}=I_{r e}^{\prime} \cup J$ meeting $I_{r e}^{\prime}($ resp. contained in $J)$, and $J_{r e}=J \cap I_{r e}$. Then the pair $\left(I_{r e}, J_{r e}\right)$ is $C$-admissible (eventually decomposable).
3) There is a Kac-Moody subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, associated to $I_{r e}$, which contains $\mathfrak{m}$. This Lie algebra is finitely $\Delta\left(I_{r e}\right)^{J_{r e}}-$ graded, with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)^{J_{r e}}$. Both algebras $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)^{J_{r e}}$ are finitely $\Sigma$-graded with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$.

It may happen that $I_{i m}^{\prime}$ is non-empty, we then say that $(I, J)$ is a generalized $C$-admissible pair and the gradation is imaginary. We give and explain precisely an example in section 5 .

When $I_{i m}^{\prime}$ is empty (i.e. when the gradation is real : 3.16), $I_{r e}=I, J_{r e}=J$, $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)=\mathfrak{g},(I, J)=\left(I_{r e}, J_{r e}\right)$ is a $C$-admissible pair and the situation looks much like the one described by J. Nervi in the finite [16] or affine [17] cases. Actually we prove that this is always true when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of finite type, affine or hyperbolic (Proposition 3.26). In this real case we get the gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$ with two levels: $\mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Delta^{J}$-graded with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$ as in Theorem 1 and $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$ is
finitely $\Sigma$-graded with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$. But the gradation of $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$ by $\Sigma$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ is such that the corresponding set " $J$ " described as in Theorem 2 is empty; we say (following [16], [17]) that it is a maximal gradation, cf. Definition 3.16 and Proposition 3.21.

To get a complete description of the real gradations, it remains to describe the maximal gradations; this is done in section 4. We prove in Proposition 4.1 that a maximal gradation $(\mathfrak{g}, \Sigma, \mathfrak{m})$ is entirely described by a quotient map $\rho: I \rightarrow \bar{I}$ which is admissible i.e. satisfies two simple conditions (MG1) and (MG2) with respect to the generalized Cartan matrix $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$. Conversely for any admissible quotient map $\rho$, it is possible to build a maximal gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$ associated to this map, cf. Proposition 4.5 and Remark 4.7.

## 1. Preliminaries

We recall the basic results on the structure of Kac-Moody Lie algebras and we set the notations. More details can be found in the book of Kac [12]. We end by the definition of finitely graded Kac-Moody algebras.
1.1. Generalized Cartan matrices. Let $I$ be a finite index set. A matrix $A=$ $\left(a_{i, j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$ is called a generalized Cartan matrix if it satisfies :
(1) $a_{i, i}=2 \quad(i \in I)$
(2) $a_{i, j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{-} \quad(i \neq j)$
(3) $a_{i, j}=0$ implies $a_{j, i}=0$.

The matrix $A$ is called decomposable if for a suitable permutation of $I$ it takes the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}B & 0 \\ 0 & C\end{array}\right)$ where $B$ and $C$ are square matrices. If $A$ is not decomposable, it is called indecomposable.
The matrix $A$ is called symmetrizable if there exists an invertible diagonal matrix $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{i}, i \in I\right)$ such that $D A$ is symmetric. The entries $d_{i}, i \in I$, can be chosen to be positive rationals and if moreover the matrix $A$ is indecomposable, then these entries are unique up to a constant factor.
Any indecomposable generalized Cartan matrix is of one of three mutually exclusive types : finite, affine and indefinite ([12, Chap. 4]). A generalized Cartan matrix is said of finite type if each of its indecomposable factors is of finite type.
An indecomposable and symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix $A$ is called Lorentzian if it is non-singular and the corresponding symmetric matrix has signature $(++$ $\ldots+-)$; it is then of indefinite type.
An indecomposable generalized Cartan matrix $A$ is called strictly hyperbolic (resp. hyperbolic) if the deletion of any one vertex, and the edges connected to it, of the corresponding Dynkin diagram yields a disjoint union of Dynkin diagrams of finite (resp. finite or affine) type.
Note that a symmetrizable hyperbolic generalized Cartan matrix is non-singular and Lorentzian (cf. [14]).
1.2. Kac-Moody algebras and groups. (See [12] and [18]).

Let $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$ be a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix. Let $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}, \Pi=\right.$ $\left.\left\{\alpha_{i}, i \in I\right\}, \Pi=\left\{\alpha_{i}, i \in I\right\}\right)$ be a realization of $A$ over the real field $\mathbb{R}$ : thus $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real vector space such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}\right)=|I|+\operatorname{corank}(A), \Pi$ and $\Pi$ are linearly independent in $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^{*}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ respectively such that $\left\langle\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{\dot{i}}\right\rangle=a_{i, j}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, then $\left(\mathfrak{h}, \Pi, \Pi^{\prime}\right)$ is a realization of $A$ over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$.

It follows that, if $A$ is non-singular, then $\Pi^{\Upsilon}$ (resp. $\Pi$ ) is a basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ (resp. $\left.\mathfrak{h}^{*}\right)$; moreover $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}=\left\{h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \alpha_{i}(h) \in \mathbb{R}, \forall i \in I\right\}$ is well defined by the realization $\left(\mathfrak{h}, \Pi, \Pi^{\top}\right)$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}(A)$ be the complex Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated to $A$ : it is generated by $\left\{\mathfrak{h}, e_{i}, f_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ with the following relations

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]=0,} & {\left[e_{i}, f_{j}\right]=\delta_{i, j} \alpha_{i}} & (i, j \in I) ; \\
{\left[h, e_{i}\right]=\left\langle\alpha_{i}, h\right\rangle e_{i},} & {\left[h, f_{i}\right]=-\left\langle\alpha_{i}, h\right\rangle f_{i}} & (h \in \mathfrak{h}) ;  \tag{1.1}\\
\left(\operatorname{ad} e_{i}\right)^{1-a_{i, j}}\left(e_{j}\right)=0, & \left(\operatorname{ad} f_{i}\right)^{1-a_{i, j}}\left(f_{j}\right)=0 & (i \neq j) .
\end{array}
$$

The Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}(A)$ decomposes as a direct sum of factors $\mathfrak{g}\left(A_{i}\right)$, where $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{r}$ are the indecomposable factors of $A$. It is said indecomposable if the corresponding generalized Cartan matrix $A$ is indecomposable and of finite, affine or indefinite type if $A$ is.

The derived algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is generated by the Chevalley generators $e_{i}, f_{i}, i \in I$, and the center $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ lies in $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}=\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{C} \alpha_{\dot{i}}$. If the generalized Cartan matrix $A$ is indecomposable and non-singular, then $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ is a (finite or infinite)dimensional simple Lie algebra, and the center $\mathfrak{c}$ is trivial.

The subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ is a maximal $\operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$-diagonalizable subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, it is called the standard Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\Delta=\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ be the corresponding root system; then $\Pi$ is a root basis of $\Delta$ and $\Delta=\Delta^{+} \cup \Delta^{-}$, where $\Delta^{ \pm}=\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^{ \pm} \Pi$ is the set of positive (or negative) roots relative to the basis $\Pi$. For $\alpha \in \Delta$, let $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ be the root space of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the root $\alpha$; then $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus\left(\underset{\alpha \in \Delta}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}\right)$.

The Weyl group $W$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is generated by the fundamental reflections $r_{i}(i \in I)$ such that $r_{i}(h)=h-\left\langle\alpha_{i}, h\right\rangle \alpha_{i}^{\text {f }}$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, it is a Coxeter group on $\left\{r_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ with length function $w \mapsto l(w), w \in W$. The Weyl group $W$ acts on $\mathfrak{h}^{*}$ and $\Delta$, we set $\Delta^{r e}=W(\Pi)$ (the real roots) and $\Delta^{i m}=\Delta \backslash \Delta^{r e}$ (the imaginary roots). If the generalized Cartan matrix $A$ is indecomposable, then any root basis of $\Delta$ is $W$-conjugate to $\Pi$ or $-\Pi$.

A Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a maximal completely solvable subalgebra. A parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a (proper) subalgebra containing a Borel subalgebra. The standard positive (or negative) Borel subalgebra is $\mathfrak{b}^{ \pm}:=\mathfrak{h} \oplus\left(\oplus_{\alpha \in \Delta \pm} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}\right)$. A parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}^{+}$(resp. $\mathfrak{p}^{-}$) containing $\mathfrak{b}^{+}$(resp. $\mathfrak{b}^{-}$) is called positive (resp. negative) standard parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$; then there exists a subset $J$ of $I$ (called the type of $\left.\mathfrak{p}^{ \pm}\right)$such that $\mathfrak{p}^{ \pm}=\mathfrak{p}^{ \pm}(J):=\left(\underset{\alpha \in \Delta_{J}}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}\right)+\mathfrak{b}^{ \pm}$, where $\Delta_{J}=\Delta \cap\left(\oplus_{j \in J} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_{j}\right)$ (cf. [13]).

In [18], D.H. Peterson and V.G. Kac construct a group $G$, which is the connected and simply connected complex algebraic group associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of finite type, depending only on the derived Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ and acting on $\mathfrak{g}$ via the adjoint representation $\operatorname{Ad}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. It is generated by the one-parameter subgroups $U_{\alpha}=\exp \left(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}\right), \alpha \in \Delta^{r e}$, and $\left.\operatorname{Ad}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)=\exp \left(\operatorname{ad} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}\right)\right)$. In the definitions of J. Tits [22] $G$ is the group of complex points of $\mathfrak{G}_{D}$ where $D$ is the datum associated to $A$ and the $\mathbb{Z}$-dual $\Lambda$ of $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_{i}^{\vee}$.

The Cartan subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ are $G$-conjugate. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is indecomposable and not of finite type, there are exactly two conjugate classes (under the adjoint action of $G$ ) of Borel subalgebras : $G \cdot \mathfrak{b}^{+}$and $G \cdot \mathfrak{b}^{-}$. A Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ which is $G$-conjugate to $\mathfrak{b}^{+}$(resp. $\mathfrak{b}^{-}$) is called positive (resp. negative). It follows that
any parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $G$-conjugate to a standard positive (or negative) parabolic subalgebra, in which case, we say that $\mathfrak{p}$ is positive (or negative).
1.3. Standard Kac-Moody subalgebras and subgroups. Let $J$ be a nonempty subset of $I$. Consider the generalized Cartan matrix $A_{J}=\left(a_{i, j}\right)_{i, j \in J}$.
Definition 1.1. The subset $J$ is called of finite type if the corresponding generalized Cartan matrix $A_{J}$ is. We say also that $J$ is connected, if the Dynkin subdiagram, with vertices indexed by $J$, is connected or, equivalently, the corresponding generalized Cartan submatrix $A_{J}$ is indecomposable.

Proposition 1.2. Let $\Pi_{J}=\left\{\alpha_{j}, j \in J\right\}$ and $\Pi_{J}^{\check{ }}=\left\{\alpha_{j}\right.$, $\left.j \in J\right\}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{J}^{\prime}$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{h}$ generated by $\Pi_{J}^{\check{ }}$, and $\mathfrak{h}^{J}=\Pi_{J}^{\perp}=\left\{h \in \mathfrak{h},\left\langle\alpha_{j}, h\right\rangle=0, \forall j \in J\right\}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{J}^{\prime \prime}$ be a supplementary subspace of $\mathfrak{h}_{J}^{\prime}+\mathfrak{h}^{J}$ in $\mathfrak{h}$ and let

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{J}=\mathfrak{h}_{J}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{J}^{\prime \prime},
$$

then, we have :

1) $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{J}, \Pi_{J}, \Pi_{J}^{\check{v}}\right)$ is a realization of the generalized Cartan matrix $A_{J}$. Hence $\mathfrak{h}_{J}^{\prime \prime}=\{0\}, \mathfrak{h}_{J}=\mathfrak{h}_{J}^{\prime}$ when $A_{J}$ is regular (e.g. when $J$ is of finite type).
2) The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, generated by $\mathfrak{h}_{J}$ and the $e_{j}, f_{j}, j \in J$, is the KacMoody Lie algebra associated to the realization $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{J}, \Pi_{J}, \Pi_{J}\right)$ of $A_{J}$.
3) The corresponding root system $\Delta(J)=\Delta\left(\mathfrak{g}(J), \mathfrak{h}_{J}\right)$ can be identified with $\Delta_{J}:=$ $\Delta \cap\left(\oplus_{j \in J} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_{j}\right)$.
N.B. The derived algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}(J)$ of $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ is generated by the $e_{j}, f_{j}$ for $j \in J$; it does not depend of the choice of $\mathfrak{h}_{J}^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. We may assume $\mathfrak{g}$ indecomposable.

1) Note that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{J}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{J}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{J}\right)=\operatorname{corank}\left(A_{J}\right)$. In particular, $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{J}\right)-|J|=$ $\operatorname{corank}\left(A_{J}\right)$. If $\alpha \in \operatorname{Vect}\left(\alpha_{j}, j \in J\right)$, then $\alpha$ is entirely determined by its restriction to $\mathfrak{h}_{J}$ and hence $\Pi_{J}$ defines, by restriction, a linearly independent set in $\mathfrak{h}_{J}^{*}$. As $\Pi_{J}^{\check{c}}$ is linearly independent, assertion 1) holds.
Assertions 2) and 3) are straightforward.
In the same way, the subgroup $G_{J}$ of $G$ generated by $U_{ \pm \alpha_{j}}, j \in J$, is equal to the Kac-Moody group associated to the generalized Cartan matrix $A_{J}$ : it is clearly a quotient; the well known equality is proven explicitly in [20, 5.15.2], it may be deduced from [22, th. 1], see also [19, 8.4.2].
1.4. The invariant bilinear form. (See [12]).

We recall that the generalized Cartan matrix $A$ is supposed symmetrizable. There exists a non-degenerate $\operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$ - invariant symmetric $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear form (., .) on $\mathfrak{g}$, which is entirely determined by its restriction to $\mathfrak{h}$, such that

$$
\left(\alpha_{i}, h\right)=\frac{\left(\alpha_{\check{i}}, \alpha_{i}\right)}{2}\left\langle\alpha_{i}, h\right\rangle, \quad i \in I, h \in \mathfrak{h},
$$

and we may thus assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{\check{i}}\right) \text { is a positive rational for all } i . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The non-degenerate invariant bilinear form (., .) induces an isomorphism $\nu: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ such that $\alpha_{i}=\frac{2 \nu\left(\alpha_{\check{i}}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)}$ and $\alpha_{i}=\frac{2 \nu^{-1}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)}$ for all $i$.
There exists a totally isotropic subspace $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime \prime}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ (relative to the invariant bilinear
form (.,.)) which is in duality with the center $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime \prime}$ defines a supplementary subspace of $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ in $\mathfrak{h}$.
Note that any invariant symmetric bilinear form $b$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $b\left(\alpha_{\check{i}}, \alpha_{i}\right)>0$, $\forall i \in I$, is non-degenerate and $b\left(\alpha_{i}^{\check{c}}, h\right)=\frac{b\left(\alpha_{\grave{i}}, \alpha_{\tilde{\imath}}\right)}{2}\left\langle\alpha_{i}, h\right\rangle, \forall i \in I, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}$. It follows that, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is indecomposable, the restriction of $b$ to $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ is proportional to that of (., .). In particular, if moreover $A$ is non-singular, then the invariant bilinear form $(.,$.$) satisfying the condition 1.2$ is unique up to a positive rational factor.
1.5. The Tits cone. (See [12, Chap. 3 and 5]).

Let $C:=\left\{h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} ;\left\langle\alpha_{i}, h\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall i \in I\right\}$ be the fundamental chamber (relative to the root basis $\Pi$ ) and let $X:=\bigcup_{w \in W} w(C)$ be the Tits cone. We have the following description of the Tits cone:
(1) $X=\left\{h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} ;\langle\alpha, h\rangle<0\right.$ only for a finite number of $\left.\alpha \in \Delta^{+}\right\}$.
(2) $X=\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ if and only if the generalized Cartan matrix $A$ is of finite type.
(3) If $A$ is indecomposable of affine type, then $X=\left\{h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} ;\langle\delta, h\rangle>0\right\} \cup \mathbb{R} \nu^{-1}(\delta)$, where $\delta$ is the lowest imaginary positive root of $\Delta^{+}$.
(4) If $A$ is indecomposable of indefinite type, then the closure of the Tits cone, for the metric topology on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$, is $\bar{X}=\left\{h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} ;\langle\alpha, h\rangle \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta_{i m}^{+}\right\}$.
(5) If $h \in X$, then $h$ lies in the interior $\stackrel{\circ}{X}$ of $X$ if and only if the fixer $W_{h}$ of $h$, in the Weyl group $W$, is finite. Thus $\stackrel{\circ}{X}$ is the union of finite type facets of $X$.
(6) If $A$ is hyperbolic, then $\bar{X} \cup(-\bar{X})=\left\{h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} ;(h, h) \leq 0\right\}$ and the set of imaginary roots is $\Delta^{i m}=\{\alpha \in Q \backslash\{0\} ;(\alpha, \alpha) \leq 0\}$, where $Q=\mathbb{Z} \Pi$ is the root lattice.

Remark 1.3. Combining (3) and (4) one obtains that if $A$ is not of finite type then $\bar{X}=\left\{h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} ;\langle\alpha, h\rangle \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta_{\text {im }}^{+}\right\}$.

### 1.6. Graded Kac-Moody Lie algebras.

Definition 1.4. Let $\Sigma$ be a root system of Kac-Moody type. The Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be finitely $\Sigma$-graded if :
(i) $\mathfrak{g}$ contains, as a subalgebra, a Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{m}$ whose root system relative to a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ is equal to $\Sigma$.
(ii) $\mathfrak{g}=\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma \cup\{0\}} V_{\alpha}$, with $V_{\alpha}=\{x \in \mathfrak{g} ;[a, x]=\langle\alpha, a\rangle x, \forall a \in \mathfrak{a}\}$.
(iii) $V_{\alpha}$ is finite dimensional for all $\alpha \in \Sigma \cup\{0\}$.

We say that $\mathfrak{m}$ (as in (i) above) is a grading subalgebra, and ( $\mathfrak{g}, \Sigma, \mathfrak{m}$ ) a gradation with finite multiplicities (or, to be short, a finite gradation).

Note that from (ii) the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ is $\operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$-diagonalizable, and we may assume that $\mathfrak{a}$ is contained in the standard Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 1.5. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Kac-Moody algebra finitely $\Sigma$-graded, with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$. If $\mathfrak{m}$ itself is finitely $\Sigma^{\prime}$-graded (for some root system $\Sigma^{\prime}$ of Kac-Moody type), then $\mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Sigma^{\prime}$-graded.
Proof. If $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ is the grading subalgebra of $\mathfrak{m}$, we may suppose the Cartan subalgebras such that $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime} \subset \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{h}$, with obvious notations. Conditions (i) and (ii) are clearly satisfied for $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}$. Condition (iii) for $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ tells that, for all $\alpha^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime}$, the set $\left\{\alpha \in \Sigma \mid \alpha_{\mid \mathfrak{a}^{\prime}}=\alpha^{\prime}\right\}$ is finite. But $V_{\alpha^{\prime}}=\oplus_{\alpha_{\mid \mathfrak{a}^{\prime}}=\alpha^{\prime}} V_{\alpha}$, so each $V_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ is finite dimensional if this is true for each $V_{\alpha}$.

### 1.7. Examples of gradations.

1) Let $\Delta=\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Delta$-graded : this is the trivial gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$ by its own root system.
2) Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be an almost split real form of $\mathfrak{g}$ (see [2]) and let $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a maximal split toral subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Suppose that the restricted root system $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is reduced of Kac-Moody type. In $[4, \S 9], \mathrm{N}$. Bardy constructed a split real KacMoody subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta\left(\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is obviously finitely $\Delta^{\prime}$-graded.

We get thus many examples coming from known tables for almost split real forms: see [2] in the affine case and [6] in the hyperbolic case.
3) When $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an almost compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}$, the same constructions should lead to gradations by finite root systems, as in [5] e.g.

## 2. Gradations associated to $C$-admissible pairs.

In this section, we suppose the Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ indecomposable and symmetrizable, see however Remark 2.15. We shall build a finite gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$ associated to some good subset of $I$.

We recall some definitions introduced by H. Rubenthaler ([21]) and J. Nervi ([16], [17]). Let $J$ be a subset of $I$ of finite type. For $k \in I \backslash J$, we denote by $I_{k}$ the connected component, containing $k$, of the Dynkin subdiagram corresponding to $J \cup\{k\}$, and let $J_{k}:=I_{k} \backslash\{k\}$.

We are interested in the case where $I_{k}$ is of finite type for all $k \in I \backslash J$ : that is always true if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of affine type and $|I \backslash J| \geq 2$ or if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of hyperbolic type and $|I \backslash J| \geq 3$.
For $k \in I \backslash J$, let $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right)$ be the simple subalgebra generated by $\mathfrak{g}_{ \pm \alpha_{i}}, i \in I_{k}$, then $\mathfrak{h}_{I_{k}}=\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right)=\sum_{i \in I_{k}} \mathbb{C} \alpha_{i}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right)$. Let $H_{k}$ be the unique element of $\mathfrak{h}_{I_{k}}$ such that $\left\langle\alpha_{i}, H_{k}\right\rangle=2 \delta_{i, k}, \forall i \in I_{k}$.

Definition 2.1. We suppose the Dynkin diagram indexed by $I$ connected and consider a subset $J$ of finite type. We preserve the notations introduced above.

1) Let $k \in I \backslash J$.
(i) The pair $\left(I_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ is called admissible if $I_{k}$ is of finite type and there exist $E_{k}, F_{k} \in \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right)$ such that $\left(E_{k}, H_{k}, F_{k}\right)$ is an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple.
(ii) The pair $\left(I_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ is called $C$-admissible if it is admissible and the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right)$ is $A_{1}$-graded by the root system, of type $A_{1}$, associated to the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple $\left(E_{k}, H_{k}, F_{k}\right)$.
2) The pair $(I, J)$ is called $C$-admissible if the pairs $\left(I_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ are $C$-admissible for all $k \in I \backslash J$. It is said irreducible if, moreover, $|I \backslash J|=1$.

Schematically, any $C$-admissible pair $(I, J)$ is represented by the Dynkin diagram, corresponding to $A$, on which the vertices indexed by $J$ are denoted by white circles $\circ$ and those of $I \backslash J$ are denoted by black circles $\bullet$.

Remark 2.2.1) The admissibility of each $\left(I_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ is essential to build (in 2.6, 2.11) the grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$ and its grading root system $\Delta^{J}$.
2) As $\mathfrak{g}(J)$ will be in the eigenspace $V_{0}$ of weight 0 for the grading by $\Delta^{J}$, it is necessary to assume $J$ of finite type to get a finite gradation.
3) $I_{k}$ is of finite type if, and only if, $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right)$ is finite dimensional, and this is equivalent to the alternative assumption in (ii) that the $A_{1}$-gradation has finite
multiplicities. It is clearly necessary to get, in Theorem 2.14, a finite gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$ by the root system $\Delta^{J}$. Moreover, even in a more general situation, the condition $I_{k}$ of finite type will naturally appear (3.14).
4) Note that the definition presented here, for $C$-admissible pairs, is equivalent to that introduced by Rubenthaler and Nervi (see [21], [16]) in terms of prehomogeneous spaces of parabolic type : if $\left(I_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ is $C$-admissible, define for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the subspace $d_{k, p}:=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right) ;\left[H_{k}, X\right]=2 p X\right\}$; then $\left(d_{k, 0}, d_{k, 1}\right)$ is an irreducible regular and commutative prehomogeneous space of parabolic type, and $d_{k, p}=\{0\}$ for $|p| \geq 2$. Then $\left(I_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ is an irreducible $C$-admissible pair. According to Rubenthaler and Nervi ([21, Table 1] or [16, Table 2]) the irreducible $C$-admissible pair ( $I_{k}, J_{k}$ ) should be among the list in Table 1 below.
5) Along our study of general finite gradations in section 3, we shall meet a situation of "generalized $C$-admissible pair" $(I, J)(3.16)$ where $J \subset I$ is of finite type and $I_{k}$ (for $k \in I^{\prime}=I \backslash J$ ) is defined as above but perhaps not of finite type. When $k$ is in some subset $I_{r e}^{\prime}$ of $I^{\prime},\left(I_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ is $C$ - admissible and the $k \in I_{i m}^{\prime}=I^{\prime} \backslash I_{r e}^{\prime}$ do not contribute to the root system $\Sigma$ grading $\mathfrak{g}$. But we do not know the good assumptions on these $\left(I_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ for $k \in I_{i m}^{\prime}$ to get, conversely, a finite gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$ by some root system. So we give no precise definition; it is expected in the work in preparation [7].

## Table 1

List of irreducible $C$-admissible pairs

| $A_{2 n-1},{ }_{n \geq 1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $B_{n},{ }_{n \geq 3}$ |  |
| $C_{n},{ }_{n \geq 2}$ | ${ }^{1}-\square^{2}-3^{3}-\ldots . . .-0-0<0^{n}$ |
| $D_{n, 1},{ }_{n \geq 4}$ |  |
| $D_{2 n, 2},{ }_{n \geq 2}$ |  |
| $E_{7}$ |  |

Definition 2.3. Let $J$ be a subset of $I$ and let $i, k \in I \backslash J$. We say that $i$ and $k$ are $J$-connected relative to $A$ if there exist $j_{0}, j_{1}, \ldots ., j_{p+1} \in I$ such that $j_{0}=i$, $j_{p+1}=k, j_{s} \in J, \forall s=1,2, \ldots, p$, and $a_{j_{s}, j_{s+1}} \neq 0, \forall s=0,1, \ldots, p$.

Remark 2.4. Note that the relation " to be $J$-connected " is symmetric on $i$ and $k$. As the generalized Cartan matrix $A$ is assumed to be indecomposable, for any vertices $i, k \in I \backslash J$ there exist $i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p+1} \in I \backslash J$ such that $i_{0}=i, i_{p+1}=k$ and $i_{s}$ and $i_{s+1}$ are $J$-connected for all $s=0,1, \ldots, p$.

Let us assume from now on that $(I, J)$ is a $C$-admissible pair and let $I^{\prime}:=$ $I \backslash J$. For $k \in I^{\prime}$, let $\left(E_{k}, H_{k}, F_{k}\right)$ be an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple associated to the irreducible $C$-admissible pair $\left(I_{k}, J_{k}\right)$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $k \neq l \in I^{\prime}$, then :

1) $\left\langle\alpha_{l}, H_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^{-}$.
2) the following assertions are equivalent :
i) $k, l$ are $J$-connected
ii) $\left\langle\alpha_{l}, H_{k}\right\rangle$ is a negative integer
iii) $\left\langle\alpha_{k}, H_{l}\right\rangle$ is a negative integer

Proof. 1) One can write $H_{k}=\sum_{i \in I_{k}} n_{i, k} \alpha_{i}$, where $n_{i, k}$ are positive integers (see [21] or $[17,1.4 .1 .2])$. As $l \notin I_{k}$, we have that $\left\langle\alpha_{l}, H_{k}\right\rangle=\sum_{i \in I_{k}} n_{i, k}\left\langle\alpha_{l}, \alpha_{\check{i}}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^{-}$.
2) In view of Remark 2.4, it suffices to prove the equivalence between i) and ii). Since $I_{k}$ is the connected component of $J \cup\{k\}$ containing $k$, the assertion i) is equivalent to say that the vertex $l$ is connected to $I_{k}$, so there exists $i_{k} \in I_{k}$ such that $\left\langle\alpha_{l}, \alpha_{i_{k}}\right\rangle<0$ and hence $\left\langle\alpha_{l}, H_{k}\right\rangle<0$.
Proposition 2.6. Let $\mathfrak{h}^{J}=\Pi_{J}^{\perp}=\left\{h \in \mathfrak{h},\left\langle\alpha_{j}, h\right\rangle=0, \forall j \in J\right\}$. For $k \in I^{\prime}$, denote by $\alpha_{k}^{\prime}=\alpha_{k} / \mathfrak{h}^{J}$ the restriction of $\alpha_{k}$ to the subspace $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$, and $\Pi^{J}=$ $\left\{\alpha_{k}^{\prime} ; k \in I^{\prime}\right\}, \Pi^{J \vee}=\left\{H_{k} ; k \in I^{\prime}\right\}$. For $k, l \in I^{\prime}$, put $a_{k, l}^{\prime}=\left\langle\alpha_{l}, H_{k}\right\rangle$ and $A^{J}=\left(a_{k, l}^{\prime}\right)_{k, l \in I^{\prime}}$. Then $A^{J}$ is an indecomposable and symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix, $\left(\mathfrak{h}^{J}, \Pi^{J}, \Pi^{J \vee}\right)$ is a realization of $A^{J}$ and $\operatorname{corank}\left(A^{J}\right)=\operatorname{corank}(A)$.
Proof. The fact that $a_{k, k}^{\prime}=2$ follows from the definition of $H_{k}$ for $k \in I^{\prime}$. If $k \neq l \in I^{\prime}$, then by lemma $2.5, a_{k, l}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{-}$and $a_{k, l}^{\prime} \neq 0$ if and only if $a_{l, k}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Hence $A^{J}$ is a generalized Cartan matrix. As the matrix $A$ is indecomposable, $A_{J}$ is also indecomposable (see Remark 2.4). Clearly $\Pi^{J}=\left\{\alpha_{k}^{\prime} ; k \in I^{\prime}\right\}$ is a linearly independent subset of the dual space $\mathfrak{h}^{J^{*}}$ of $\mathfrak{h}^{J}, \Pi^{J V}=\left\{H_{k} ; k \in I^{\prime}\right\}$ is a linearly independent subset of $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$ and by construction $\left\langle\alpha_{l}, H_{k}\right\rangle=a_{k, l}^{\prime}, \forall k, l \in I^{\prime}$.
We have to prove that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{h}^{J}\right)-\left|I^{\prime}\right|=\operatorname{corank}\left(A^{J}\right)$. As $J$ is of finite type, the restriction of the invariant bilinear form (., .) to $\mathfrak{h}_{J}$ is non-degenerate and $\mathfrak{h}_{J}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}=\underset{i \in I}{\oplus} \mathbb{C} \check{\alpha_{i}}$. Therefore

$$
\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{h}^{J} \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} \mathfrak{h}_{J}
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}=\left(\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{J}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{J}
$$

It follows that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{J}\right)=\left|I^{\prime}\right|=\operatorname{dim}\left(\underset{k \in I^{\prime}}{\oplus} \mathbb{C} H_{k}\right)$. As the subspace $\underset{k \in I^{\prime}}{\oplus} \mathbb{C} H_{k}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{J}$, we deduce that $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{J}=\underset{k \in I^{\prime}}{\oplus} \mathbb{C} H_{k}$. Note that any supplementary subspace $\mathfrak{h}^{J^{\prime \prime}}$ of $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{J}$ in $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$ is also a supplementary of $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$ in $\mathfrak{h}$; hence, we have that $\operatorname{corank}(A)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{h}^{J^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{h}^{J}\right)-\left|I^{\prime}\right|$. Let $\mathfrak{c}:=\bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{ker}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ be the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\mathfrak{c}^{J}=\cap_{k \in I^{\prime}} \operatorname{ker}\left(\alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right)$. Recall that $\operatorname{corank}(A)=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{c})$ and
$\operatorname{corank}\left(A^{J}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{c}^{J}\right)$. It's clear that $\mathfrak{c}^{J}=\mathfrak{c}$; hence $\operatorname{corank}\left(A^{J}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{c}^{J}\right)=$ $\operatorname{corank}(A)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{h}^{J}\right)-\left|I^{\prime}\right|$.
It remains to prove that $A^{J}$ is symmetrizable. For $k \in I^{\prime}$, let $R_{k}^{J}$ be the fundamental reflection of $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$ such that $R_{k}^{J}(h)=h-\left\langle\alpha_{k}^{\prime}, h\right\rangle H_{k}, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}^{J}$. Let $W^{J}$ be the Weyl group of $A^{J}$ generated by $R_{k}^{J}, k \in I^{\prime}$. Let (., . $)^{J}$ be the restriction to $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$ of the invariant bilinear form (., .) on $\mathfrak{h}$. Then (., .) ${ }^{J}$ is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$ which is $W^{J}$-invariant (see the lemma hereafter). From the relation $\left(R_{k}^{J}\left(H_{k}\right), R_{k}^{J}\left(H_{l}\right)\right)^{J}=\left(H_{k}, H_{l}\right)^{J}$ one can deduce that :

$$
\left(H_{k}, H_{l}\right)^{J}=\frac{\left(H_{k}, H_{k}\right)^{J}}{2} a_{l, k}^{\prime}, \forall k, l \in I^{\prime}
$$

but $\left(H_{k}, H_{k}\right)^{J}>0, \forall k \in I^{\prime}$; hence ${ }^{t} A^{J}$ (and so $A^{J}$ ) is symmetrizable.
Lemma 2.7. For $k \in I^{\prime}:=I \backslash J$, let $w_{k}^{J}$ be the longest element of the Weyl group $W\left(I_{k}\right)$ generated by the fundamental reflections $r_{i}, i \in I_{k}$. Then $w_{k}^{J}$ stabilizes $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$ and induces the fundamental reflection $R_{k}^{J}$ of $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$ associated to $H_{k}$.

Proof. If one looks at the list above of the irreducible $C$-admissible pairs, one can see that $w_{k}^{J}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=-\alpha_{k}$ and that $-w_{k}^{J}$ permutes the $\alpha_{j}, j \in J_{k}$. Clearly $w_{k}^{J}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=\alpha_{j}, \forall j \in J \backslash J_{k}$. Hence $w_{k}^{J}$ stabilizes $\mathfrak{h}_{J}$ and its orthogonal subspace $\mathfrak{h}_{J}^{\perp}=\mathfrak{h}^{J}$. Note that $-w_{k}^{J}\left(H_{k}\right) \in \mathfrak{h}_{I_{k}}$ and it satisfies the same equations defining $H_{k}$. Hence $-w_{k}^{J}\left(H_{k}\right)=H_{k}=-R_{k}^{J}\left(H_{k}\right)$. Recall that $\operatorname{ker}\left(\alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \cap\left(\cap_{j \in J}^{\cap} \operatorname{ker}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right)$; thus it is fixed by $R_{k}^{J}$ and $W_{k}^{J}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}^{J}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right) \oplus \mathbb{C} H_{k}$, the reflection $R_{k}^{J}$ coincides with $W_{k}^{J}$ on $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$.

Remark 2.8. Actually we can now rediscover the list of irreducible $C$-admissible pairs given in Table 1. The black vertex $k$ should be invariant under $-w_{k}^{J}$ and the corresponding coefficient of the highest root of $I_{k}$ should be 1 (an easy consequence of the definition 2.1 1) (ii) ).
Example 2.9. Consider the hyperbolic generalized Cartan matrix A of type $H E_{8}^{(1)}=$ $E_{10}$ indexed by $I=\{-1,0,1, \ldots, 8\}$.
The following two choices for $J$ define $C$-admissible pairs :

1) $J=\{2,3,4,5\}$.


The corresponding generalized Cartan matrix $A^{J}$ is hyperbolic of type $H F_{4}^{(1)}$ :

2) $J=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$.


The corresponding generalized Cartan matrix $A^{J}$ is hyperbolic of type $H G_{2}^{(1)}$ :


Note that the first example corresponds to an almost split real form of the KacMoody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ and $A^{J}$ is the generalized Cartan matrix associated to the corresponding (reduced) restricted root system (see [6]) whereas the second example does not correspond to an almost split real form of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$.

Lemma 2.10. For $k \in I^{\prime}$, set $\mathfrak{s}(k)=\mathbb{C} E_{k} \oplus \mathbb{C} H_{k} \oplus \mathbb{C} F_{k}$. Then, the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is an integrable $\mathfrak{s}(k)$-module via the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{s}(k)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Note that $\mathfrak{s}(k)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ with standard basis $\left(E_{k}, H_{k}, F_{k}\right)$. It is clear that $\operatorname{ad}\left(H_{k}\right)$ is diagonalizable on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $E_{k}=\sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \in d_{k, 1}$, where $\alpha$ runs over the set $\Delta_{k, 1}=\left\{\alpha \in \Delta\left(I_{k}\right) ;\left\langle\alpha, H_{k}\right\rangle=2\right\}, e_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta\left(I_{k}\right)$, and $d_{k, 1}:=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right) ;\left[H_{k}, X\right]=2 X\right\}$. Since $\Delta_{k, 1} \subset \Delta^{r e}, \operatorname{ad}\left(e_{\alpha}\right)$ is locally nilpotent for $\alpha \in \Delta_{k, 1}$. As $d_{k, 1}$ is commutative (see Remark 2.2) we deduce that ad $\left(E_{k}\right)$ is locally nilpotent on $\mathfrak{g}$. The same argument shows that $\operatorname{ad}\left(F_{k}\right)$ is also locally nilpotent. Hence, the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is an integrable $\mathfrak{s}(k)$-module.

Proposition 2.11. Let $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$ and $E_{k}, F_{k}, k \in I^{\prime}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$ is the Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated to the realization $\left(\mathfrak{h}^{J}, \Pi^{J}, \Pi^{J \vee}\right.$ ) of the generalized Cartan matrix $A^{J}$.

Proof. It is not difficult to check that the following relations hold in the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{\left[\mathfrak{h}^{J}, \mathfrak{h}^{J}\right]=0,} & {\left[E_{k}, F_{l}\right]=\delta_{k, l} H_{k}} & \left(k, l \in I^{\prime}\right) ; \\
{\left[h, E_{k}\right]=\left\langle\alpha_{k}^{\prime}, h\right\rangle E_{k},} & {\left[h, F_{k}\right]=-\left\langle\alpha_{k}^{\prime}, h\right\rangle F_{k}} & \left(h \in \mathfrak{h}^{J}, k \in I^{\prime}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

We have to prove the Serre's relations :

$$
\left(\operatorname{ad} E_{k}\right)^{1-a_{k, l}^{\prime}}\left(E_{l}\right)=0, \quad\left(\operatorname{ad} F_{k}\right)^{1-a_{k, l}^{\prime}}\left(F_{l}\right)=0 \quad\left(k \neq l \in I^{\prime}\right)
$$

For $k \in I^{\prime}$, let $\mathfrak{s}(k)=\mathbb{C} F_{k} \oplus \mathbb{C} H_{k} \oplus \mathbb{C} E_{k}$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $l \neq k \in I^{\prime}$; note that $\left[H_{k}, F_{l}\right]=-a_{k, l}^{\prime} F_{l}$ and $\left[E_{k}, F_{l}\right]=0$, which means that $F_{l}$ is a primitive weight vector for $\mathfrak{s}(k)$. As $\mathfrak{g}$ is an integrable $\mathfrak{s}(k)$-module (see Lemma 2.10) the primitive weight vector $F_{l}$ is contained in a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{s}(k)$-submodule (see $[12,3.6])$. The relation $\left(\operatorname{ad} F_{k}\right)^{1-a_{k, l}^{\prime}}\left(F_{l}\right)=0$ follows from the representation theory of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ (see[12, 3.2]). By similar arguments we prove that $\left(\operatorname{ad} E_{k}\right)^{1-a_{k, l}^{\prime}}\left(E_{l}\right)=0$.

Now $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$ is a quotient of the Kac-Moody algebra associated to $A^{J}$ and $\left(\mathfrak{h}^{J}, \Pi^{J}, \Pi^{J \vee}\right)$. By $[12,1.7]$ it is equal to it.

Definition 2.12. The Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$ is called the $C$-admissible algebra associated to the $C$-admissible pair $(I, J)$.
Proposition 2.13. The Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is an integrable $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$-module with finite multiplicities.
Proof. The $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$-module $\mathfrak{g}$ is clearly ad $\left(\mathfrak{h}^{J}\right)$-diagonalizable and $\operatorname{ad}\left(E_{k}\right), \operatorname{ad}\left(F_{k}\right)$ are locally nilpotent on $\mathfrak{g}$ for $k \in I^{\prime}$ (see Lemma 2.10). Hence, $\mathfrak{g}$ is an integrable $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$-module. For $\alpha \in \Delta$, let $\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha_{\mid \mathfrak{h}^{J}}$ be the restriction of $\alpha$ to $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$. Set $\Delta^{\prime}=$ $\left\{\alpha^{\prime} ; \alpha \in \Delta\right\} \backslash\{0\}$. Then the set of weights, for the $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$-module $\mathfrak{g}$, is exactly $\Delta^{\prime} \cup\{0\}$. Note that for $\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha^{\prime}=0$ if and only if $\alpha \in \Delta(J)$. In particular, the weight space $V_{0}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus\left(\underset{\alpha \in \Delta(J)}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}\right)$ corresponding to the null weight is finite dimensional. Let $\alpha=\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} \alpha_{i} \in \Delta$ such that $\alpha^{\prime} \neq 0$. We will see that the corresponding weight space $V_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ is finite dimensional. Note that $V_{\alpha^{\prime}}=\underset{\beta^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime}}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}$. Let $\beta=\sum_{i \in I} m_{i} \alpha_{i} \in \Delta$ such
that $\beta^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime}=\sum_{k \in I^{\prime}} n_{k} \alpha_{k}^{\prime}$, then $m_{k}=n_{k}, \forall k \in I^{\prime}$, since $\Pi^{J}=\left\{\alpha_{k}^{\prime}, k \in I^{\prime}\right\}$ is free in $\left(\mathfrak{h}^{J}\right)^{*}$. In particular, $\beta$ and $\alpha$ are of the same sign, and we may assume $\alpha \in \Delta^{+}$. Let $h t_{J}(\beta)=\sum_{j \in J} m_{j}$ be the height of $\beta$ relative to $J$, and let $W_{J}$ be the finite subgroup of $W$ generated by $r_{j}, j \in J$. Since $W_{J}$ fixes pointwise $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$, we deduce that $\gamma^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime}$, $\forall \gamma \in W_{J} \beta$, and so we may assume that $h t_{J}(\beta)$ is minimal among the roots in $W_{J} \beta$. From the inequality $h t_{J}(\beta) \leq h t_{J}\left(r_{j}(\beta)\right), \forall j \in J$, we get $\left\langle\beta, \alpha_{j}^{\check{j}}\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall j \in J$. Let $\rho_{J}$ be the half sum of positive coroots of $\Delta(J)$. It is known that $\left\langle\alpha_{j}, \rho_{J}\right\rangle=1$, $\forall j \in J$. Note that $\left.\left\langle\beta, \rho_{J}^{\check{J}}\right\rangle=\sum_{j \in J} m_{j}+\sum_{k \in I^{\prime}} n_{k}\left\langle\alpha_{k}, \rho_{\breve{J}}\right\rangle\right\rangle=h t_{J}(\beta)+\sum_{k \in I^{\prime}} n_{k}\left\langle\alpha_{k}, \rho_{J}^{\breve{J}}\right\rangle$. Hence, the condition $\left(\left\langle\beta, \rho_{J}\right\rangle \leq 0\right)$ implies $\left(h t_{J}(\beta) \leq \sum_{k \in I^{\prime}}-n_{k}\left\langle\alpha_{k}, \rho_{j}^{\check{j}}\right\rangle\right)$. Thus there is just a finite number of possibilities for $\beta$. It follows that $\alpha^{\prime}$ is of finite multiplicity.

Theorem 2.14. Let $\Delta^{J}$ be the root system of the pair $\left(\mathfrak{g}^{J}, \mathfrak{h}^{J}\right)$, then the Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Delta^{J}$-graded, with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$.

Proof. Let $\Delta^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha \in \Delta\right\} \backslash\{0\}$ be the set of non-null weights of the $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$-module $\mathfrak{g}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$. Let $\Delta_{+}^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha^{\prime} \in \Delta^{\prime}, \alpha \in \Delta^{+}\right\}$and $\Delta_{+}^{J}$ the set of positive roots of $\Delta^{J}$ relative to the root basis $\Pi^{J}$. We have to prove that $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta^{J}$ or equivalently $\Delta_{+}^{\prime}=\Delta_{+}^{J}$. Let $Q^{J}=\mathbb{Z} \Pi^{J}$ be the root lattice of $\Delta^{J}$ and $Q_{+}^{J}=\mathbb{Z}^{+} \Pi^{J}$. It is known that the positive root system $\Delta_{+}^{J}$ is uniquely defined by the following properties (see [12, Ex. 5.4]) :
(i) $\Pi^{J} \subset \Delta_{+}^{J} \subset Q_{+}^{J}, 2 \alpha_{i}^{\prime} \notin \Delta_{+}^{J}, \forall i \in I^{\prime}$;
(ii) if $\alpha^{\prime} \in \Delta_{+}^{J}, \alpha^{\prime} \neq \alpha_{i}^{\prime}$, then the set $\left\{\alpha^{\prime}+k \alpha_{i}^{\prime} ; k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \cap \Delta_{+}^{J}$ is a string $\left\{\alpha^{\prime}-p \alpha_{i}^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha^{\prime}+q \alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $p-q=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, H_{i}\right\rangle$;
(iii) if $\alpha^{\prime} \in \Delta_{+}^{J}$, then $\operatorname{supp}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is connected.

We will see that $\Delta_{+}^{\prime}$ satisfies these three properties and hence $\Delta_{+}^{\prime}=\Delta_{+}^{J}$. Clearly $\Pi^{J} \subset \Delta_{+}^{\prime} \subset Q_{+}^{J}$. For $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $k \in I^{\prime}$, the condition $\alpha^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N} \alpha_{k}$ implies $\alpha \in \Delta\left(I_{k}\right)^{+}$. As $\left(I_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ is $C$-admissible for $k \in I^{\prime}$, the highest root of $\Delta\left(I_{k}\right)^{+}$has coefficient 1 on the root $\alpha_{k}$ (cf. Remark 2.8). It follows that $2 \alpha_{k}^{\prime} \notin \Delta_{+}^{\prime}$ and (i) is satisfied. By Proposition 2.13, $\mathfrak{g}$ is an integrable $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$-module with finite multiplicities. Hence, the propriety (ii) follows from $[12,3.6]$. Let $\alpha \in \Delta_{+}$, then $\operatorname{supp}(\alpha)$ is connected and $\operatorname{supp}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \subset \operatorname{supp}(\alpha)$. Let $k, l \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$; if $k, l$ are $J-\operatorname{connected} \operatorname{in} \operatorname{supp}(\alpha)$ relative to the generalized Cartan matrix $A$ (cf. 2.3), then by lemma 2.5, $k, l$ are linked in $I^{\prime}$ relative to the generalized Cartan matrix $A^{J}$. Hence, the connectedness of $\operatorname{supp}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$, relative to $A^{J}$, follows from that of $\operatorname{supp}(\alpha)$ relative to $A$ (see Remark 2.4) and (iii) is satisfied.

Remark 2.15. Note that the definition of $C$-admissible pair can be extended to decomposable Kac-Moody Lie algebras : thus if $I^{1}, I^{2}, \ldots ., I^{m}$ are the connected components of $I$ and $J^{k}=J \cap I^{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, m$, then $(I, J)$ is $C$-admissible if and only if $\left(I^{k}, J^{k}\right)$ is for all $k=1,2, \ldots, m$. In particular, the corresponding $C$-admissible algebra is $\mathfrak{g}^{J}=\underset{k=1}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}\left(I^{k}\right)^{J^{k}}$, where $\mathfrak{g}\left(I^{k}\right)^{J^{k}}$ is the $C$-admissible subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}\left(I^{k}\right)$ corresponding to the $C$-admissible pair $\left(I^{k}, J^{k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, m$.

## 3. REAL GRADATIONS.

From now on we suppose that the Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is symmetrizable and, starting from 3.5, indecomposable.

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a Kac-Moody subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{m}$. Put $\Sigma=\Delta(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a})$ the corresponding root system. We assume that $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ and that $\mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Sigma$-graded with $\mathfrak{m}$ as grading subalgebra. Thus $\mathfrak{g}=\sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma \cup\{0\}} V_{\gamma}$, with $V_{\gamma}=\{x \in \mathfrak{g} ; \quad[a, x]=\langle\gamma, a\rangle x, \forall a \in \mathfrak{a}\}$ is finite dimensional for all $\gamma \in \Sigma \cup\{0\}$. For $\alpha \in \Delta$, denote by $\rho_{a}(\alpha)$ the restriction of $\alpha$ to $\mathfrak{a}$. As $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\Sigma$-graded, one has $\rho_{a}(\Delta \cup\{0\})=\Sigma \cup\{0\}$.

## Lemma 3.1.

1) Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ and denote by $\mathfrak{c}_{a}$ the center of $\mathfrak{m}$. Then $\mathfrak{c}_{a}=\mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{a}$. In particular, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is perfect, then the grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ is also perfect.
2) Suppose that $\Delta^{i m} \neq \emptyset$, then $\rho_{a}\left(\Delta^{i m}\right) \subset \Sigma^{i m}$.

Proof.

1) It is clear that $\mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{c}_{a}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\Sigma$ - graded, we deduce that $\mathfrak{c}_{a}$ is contained in the center $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, hence $\mathfrak{c}_{a} \subset \mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{a}$. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is perfect, then $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{c}=\{0\}$; so $\mathfrak{c}_{a}=\{0\}, \mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$.
2) If $\alpha \in \Delta^{i m}$, then $\mathbb{N} \alpha \subset \Delta$. Since $V_{0}$ is finite dimensional, $\rho_{a}(\alpha) \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{N} \rho_{a}(\alpha) \subset \Sigma$, hence $\rho_{a}(\alpha) \in \Sigma^{i m}$.

Definition 3.2. ( $[3,5.2 .6])$ Suppose that $\Delta^{i m} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^{i m}$.
(i) The imaginary roots $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are said to be linked if $\mathbb{N} \alpha+\mathbb{N} \beta \subset \Delta$ or $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}^{+} \alpha$.
(ii) The imaginary roots $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are said to be linkable if there exists a finite family of imaginary roots $\left(\beta_{i}\right)_{0 \leq i \leq n+1}$ such that $\beta_{0}=\alpha, \beta_{n+1}=\beta$ and $\beta_{i}$ and $\beta_{i+1}$ are linked for all $i=0,1, \ldots, n$.
Proposition 3.3. ( $33,5.2 .7])$ Suppose that $\Delta^{i m} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\Delta=\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \Delta_{j}$ be the decomposition of $\Delta$ in indecomposable root systems. Suppose that $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \ldots, \Delta_{r}$ $(r \leq m)$ are the indecomposable root subsystems of $\Delta$ which are not of finite type. Then to be linkable is an equivalence relation on $\Delta^{i m}$ and the equivalence classes are the $2 r$ sets $\Delta_{ \pm}^{i m} \cap \Delta_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, r$.
Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $\Delta^{i m} \neq \emptyset$, then there exist root bases in $\Sigma$ and $\Delta$ such that $\rho_{a}\left(\Delta_{+}^{i m}\right) \subset \Sigma_{+}^{i m}$.
Proof. Fix a root basis $\Pi_{a}$ for the grading root system $\Sigma$. Let $\Delta=\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \Delta_{j}$ be, as above, the decomposition of $\Delta$ in indecomposable root systems. Denote by $\Pi_{j}:=\Pi \cap \Delta_{j}$ the root basis of $\Delta_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$. If $\alpha, \beta$ are two imaginary linkable roots of $\Delta_{j}^{i m}$, then $\rho_{a}(\alpha)$ and $\rho_{a}(\beta)$ are also linkable in $\Sigma^{i m}$. By Proposition 3.3, $\rho_{a}(\alpha)$ and $\rho_{a}(\beta)$ are of the same sign. Since $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are of the same sign in $\Delta_{j}^{i m}$ relative to the root basis $\Pi_{j}$, one can, if necessary, change the sign of $\Pi_{j}$ so that $\rho_{a}(\alpha)$ and $\rho_{a}(\beta)$ are positive imaginary roots of $\Sigma^{+}$relative to the fixed root basis $\Pi_{a}$. Hence we get a root basis of $\Delta=\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \Delta_{j}$ satisfying $\rho_{a}\left(\Delta_{+}^{i m}\right) \subset \Sigma_{+}^{i m}$.

In the following, we will show that the indecomposable Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and the grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ are of the same type.

Lemma 3.5. The Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is of indefinite type if and only if $\Delta^{i m}$ generates the dual space $(\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{c})^{*}$ of $\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{c}$.
Proof. Note that the root basis $\Pi=\left\{\alpha_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ induces a basis for the quotient vector space $(\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{c})^{*}$. It follows that the condition $\left(\Delta^{i m} \neq \emptyset\right)$ implies $\left(\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{c})^{*} \geq\right.$ 2). Suppose now that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of indefinite type. Let $\alpha \in \Delta_{+}^{\text {sim }}$ be a positive strictly imaginary root satisfying $\left\langle\alpha, \alpha_{i}^{\check{i}}\right\rangle<0, \forall i \in I$; then, $r_{i}(\alpha)=\alpha-\left\langle\alpha, \alpha_{i}^{\check{r}}\right\rangle \alpha_{i} \in \Delta_{+}^{i m}$ for all $i \in I$. In particular, the vector subspace $\left\langle\Delta^{i m}\right\rangle$ spanned by $\Delta^{i m}$ contains $\Pi$ and hence is equal to $(\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{c})^{*}$. Conversely, if $\Delta^{i m}$ generates $(\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{c})^{*}$, then $\Delta^{i m}$ is non-empty and contains at least two linearly independent imaginary roots; hence $\Delta$ can not be of finite or affine type.

Proposition 3.6. 1) If $\Delta^{i m}$ is not empty, then $\mathfrak{m}$ is indecomposable.
2) The Kac-Moody Lie Algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and the grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ are of the same type.
3) Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ Lorentzian, then $\mathfrak{m}$ is also Lorentzian.
N.B. We will see below that $\mathfrak{m}$ is always indecomposable (3.11) and symmetrizable (3.17).

Proof. 1) We saw in Lemma 3.4 that $\rho_{a}\left(\Delta_{+}^{i m}\right)$ is in a unique linkable equivalence class of $\Sigma_{+}^{i m}$. So, if $\Sigma=\Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}$ is decomposable, we may assume $\rho_{a}\left(\Delta_{+}^{i m}\right) \subset \Sigma_{1}^{i m}$. But there is $\delta \in \Delta_{+}^{i m}$ such that $\alpha+n \delta \in \Delta_{+}$for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{+}$and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [12, 4.3, 5.6 and 6.3]. So $\rho_{a}(\alpha)+n \rho_{a}(\delta) \in \Sigma$ for $n \gg 0$ and $\rho_{a}(\alpha) \in \Sigma_{1} \cup\{0\}$. As $\rho_{a}(\Delta \cup\{0\})=\Sigma \cup\{0\}$, we have $\Sigma_{2}=\emptyset$.
2) If $\mathfrak{g}$ is of finite type, then $\Delta$ is finite and hence $\Sigma=\rho_{a}(\Delta) \backslash\{0\}$ is finite.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is affine, let $\delta$ be the lowest positive imaginary root. One can choose a root basis $\Pi_{a}=\left\{\gamma_{i}, i \in \bar{I}\right\}$ of $\Sigma$ so that $\bar{\delta}:=\rho_{a}(\delta)$ is a positive imaginary root. Note that $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}:=\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{m}^{\prime} \subset \mathfrak{h}^{\prime} ;$ in particular $\bar{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}\right)=\{0\}$ and $\left\langle\bar{\delta}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle=0, \forall i \in \bar{I}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{m}$ is affine (see [12, 4.3]).
Suppose now that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of indefinite type. Thanks to Lemma 3.5, it suffices to prove that $\Sigma^{i m}$ generates $\left(\mathfrak{a} / \mathfrak{c}_{a}\right)^{*}$, where $\mathfrak{c}_{a}=\mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{a}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{m}$. The natural homomorphism of vector spaces $\pi: \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{c}$ induces a monomorphism $\bar{\pi}: \mathfrak{a} / \mathfrak{c}_{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{c}$. By duality, the homomorphism $\bar{\pi}^{*}:(\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{c})^{*} \rightarrow\left(\mathfrak{a} / \mathfrak{c}_{a}\right)^{*}$ is surjective and $\bar{\pi}^{*}\left(\Delta^{i m}\right) \subset \Sigma^{i m}$ generates $\left(\mathfrak{a} / \mathfrak{c}_{a}\right)^{*}$.
3) Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is Lorentzian (hence of indefinite type) and let (.,.) be an invariant non-degenerate bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, the restriction of $(.,$.$) to \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ has signature $(++\ldots+,-)$ and any maximal totally isotropic subspace of $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ relatively to (.,.) is one dimensional. Let $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}:=\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $(., .)_{a}$ be the restriction of $(.,$.$) to \mathfrak{m}$. As $\mathfrak{m}$ is of indefinite type, $\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{a}) \geq 2$ and the restriction of $(., .)_{a}$ to $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is non-null. It follows that the orthogonal subspace $\mathfrak{m}^{\perp}$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ relatively to (., . $)_{a}$ is a proper ideal of $\mathfrak{m}$. Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is perfect (because $\mathfrak{g}$ is) we deduce that $\mathfrak{m}^{\perp}=\{0\}$ (cf. $[12,1.7]$ ) and the invariant bilinear form $(., .)_{a}$ is non-degenerate. It follows that $\mathfrak{m}$ is symmetrizable and the bilinear form $(., .)_{a}$ when restricted to $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is nondegenerate; since $\mathfrak{m}$ is of indefinite type, it can not be positive definite. Hence, the bilinear form $(., .)_{a}$ has signature $(++\ldots+,-)$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and then the grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ is Lorentzian.

Definition 3.7. Let $\Pi_{a}$ be a root basis of $\Sigma$ and let $\Sigma^{+}$be the corresponding set of positive roots. The root basis is said to be adapted to the root basis $\Pi$ of $\Delta$ if $\rho_{a}\left(\Delta^{+}\right) \subset \Sigma^{+} \cup\{0\}$.

We will see (3.10) that adapted root bases always exist.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\Pi_{a}$ be a root basis of $\Sigma$ such that $\rho_{a}\left(\Delta_{+}^{i m}\right) \subset \Sigma_{+}^{i m}$ and let $X_{a}$ be the corresponding positive Tits cone. Then we have $\bar{X}_{a} \subset \bar{X} \cap \mathfrak{a}$.
Proof. As $\Delta^{i m} \neq \emptyset$, one has $\bar{X}=\left\{h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} ;\langle\alpha, h\rangle \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta_{+}^{i m}\right\}$ (see Remark 1.3). The lemma follows from Lemma 3.4.
Lemma 3.9. Suppose that $\Delta^{i m} \neq \emptyset$. Let $p \in \bar{X}$ such that $\langle\alpha, p\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \alpha \in \Delta$, and $\langle\beta, p\rangle>0, \forall \beta \in \Delta_{+}^{i m}$. Then $p \in \stackrel{\circ}{X}$.

Proof. The result is clear when $\Delta$ is of affine type since $\stackrel{\circ}{X}=\stackrel{\circ}{\bar{X}}=\left\{h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} ;\langle\delta, h\rangle>0\right\}$. Suppose now that $\Delta$ is of indefinite type. If one looks to the proof of Proposition 5.8.c) in [12], one can show that an element $p \in \bar{X}$ satisfying the conditions of the lemma lies in $X$. As $\Delta_{+}^{i m}$ is $W$-invariant, we may assume that $p$ lies in the fundamental chamber $C$. Hence there exists a subset $J$ of $I$ such that $\{\alpha \in$ $\Delta ;\langle\alpha, p\rangle=0\}=\Delta_{J}=\Delta \cap \sum_{j \in J} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_{j}$. Since $\Delta_{J} \cap \Delta^{i m}=\emptyset$, the root subsystem $\Delta_{J}$ is of finite type and $p$ lies in the finite type facet of type $J$. Thus $p \in \stackrel{\circ}{X}$ (see 1.5).

Theorem 3.10. There exists a root basis $\Pi_{a}$ of $\Sigma$ which is adapted to the root basis $\Pi$ of $\Delta$. Moreover, there exists a finite type subset $J$ of $I$ such that $\Delta_{J}=\{\alpha \in$ $\left.\Delta ; \rho_{a}(\alpha)=0\right\}$.
N.B. This is part 1) of Theorem 2.

Proof. Let $\Pi_{a}=\left\{\gamma_{i}, i \in \bar{I}\right\}$ be a root basis of $\Sigma$ such that $\rho_{a}\left(\Delta_{+}^{i m}\right) \subset \Sigma_{+}^{i m}$, where $\bar{I}$ is just a set indexing the basis elements. Let $p \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\left\langle\gamma_{i}, p\right\rangle=1, \forall i \in \bar{I}$ and let $P=\{\alpha \in \Delta ;\langle\alpha, p\rangle \geq 0\}$. If $\Delta$ is finite, then $P$ is clearly a parabolic subsystem of $\Delta$ and the result is trivial. Suppose now that $\Delta^{i m} \neq \emptyset$; then $p$ satisfies the conditions of the Lemma 3.9 and we may assume that $p$ lies in the facet of type $J$ for some subset $J$ of finite type in $I$. In which case $P=\Delta_{J} \cup \Delta^{+}$is the standard parabolic subsystem of finite type $J$. Note that, for $\gamma \in \Sigma^{+}$, one has $\langle\gamma, p\rangle=h t_{a}(\gamma)$ the height of $\gamma$ with respect to $\Pi_{a}$. It follows that $\left\{\alpha \in \Delta ; \rho_{a}(\alpha)=0\right\}=\Delta_{J}$, in particular, $\rho_{a}\left(\Delta^{+}\right)=\rho_{a}(P) \subset \Sigma^{+} \cup\{0\}$. Hence, the root basis $\Pi_{a}$ is adapted to $\Pi$.

Corollary 3.11. $\Sigma$ is indecomposable.
Proof. For $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Pi_{a}$, there are $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \Delta_{+}$such that $\gamma_{i}=\rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$. But $\gamma_{i}$ is not a sum in $\Sigma_{+}$, so, up to $\Delta_{J}, \alpha_{i}$ is not a sum: we may assume $\alpha_{i} \in \Pi$. As $\Delta$ is indecomposable, there is a root $\alpha \in \Delta \cap\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \mathbb{Z}^{+} \alpha\right)$. Now $\rho_{a}(\alpha) \in(\Sigma \cup\{0\}) \cap\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\sum_{\gamma \in \Pi_{a}} \mathbb{Z}^{+} \gamma\right) \subset \Sigma$ and $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ have to be in the same connected component of $\Pi_{a}$.

From now on, we fix a root basis $\Pi_{a}=\left\{\gamma_{s}, s \in \bar{I}\right\}$, for the grading root system $\Sigma$, which is adapted to the root basis $\Pi=\left\{\alpha_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ of $\Delta$ (see Theorem 3.10). As before, let $J:=\left\{j \in I ; \rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=0\right\}$ and $I^{\prime}:=I \backslash J$. For $k \in I^{\prime}$, we denote, as above, by $I_{k}$ the connected component of $J \cup\{k\}$ containing $k$, and $J_{k}:=J \cap I_{k}$.

## Proposition 3.12.

1) Let $s \in \bar{I}$, then there exists $k_{s} \in I^{\prime}$ such that $\rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{k_{s}}\right)=\gamma_{s}$ and any preimage $\alpha \in \Delta$ of $\gamma_{s}$ is equal to $\alpha_{k}$ modulo $\sum_{j \in J_{k}} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_{j}$ for some $k \in I^{\prime}$ satisfying $\rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=$ $\gamma_{s}$.
2) Let $k \in I^{\prime}$ such that $\rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)$ is a real root of $\Sigma$. Then $\rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \in \Pi_{a}$ is a simple root.

Proof. This result was proved by J. Nervi for affine algebras (see [17, 2.3.10] and the proof of Prop. 2.3.12). The arguments used there are available for general Kac-Moody algebras.

We introduce the following notations :

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{r e}^{\prime}:=\left\{i \in I^{\prime} ; \rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \in \Pi_{a}\right\} ; I_{i m}^{\prime}:=I^{\prime} \backslash I_{r e}^{\prime}, \\
I_{r e}=\bigcup_{k \in I_{r e}^{\prime}} I_{k} ; J_{r e}=I_{r e} \cap J=\underset{k \in I_{r e}^{\prime}}{ } J_{k} ; J^{\circ}=J \backslash J_{r e} \\
\Gamma_{s}:=\left\{i \in I^{\prime} ; \rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\gamma_{s}\right\}, \forall s \in \bar{I} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $J^{\circ}$ is not connected to $I_{r e}$.
Remark 3.13.

1) In view of Proposition 3.12, assertion 2), one has $\rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \in \Sigma_{+}^{i m}, \forall k \in I_{i m}^{\prime}$.
2) $I=I_{r e} \cup I_{i m}^{\prime} \cup J^{\circ}$ is a disjoint union.
3) If $I_{i m}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, then $I=I_{r e} \cup J^{\circ}$. Since $I$ is connected (and $I_{r e}$ is not connected to $J^{\circ}$ ) we deduce that $J^{\circ}=\emptyset, I=I_{r e}$ and $I_{r e}^{\prime}=I^{\prime}=I \backslash J$.
4) If $I_{i m}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, then $I_{r e}$ may be non-connected (see the example in $\S 5$ below).

Proposition 3.14.

1) Let $k \in I_{r e}^{\prime}$, then $I_{k}$ is of finite type.
2) Let $s \in \bar{I}$. If $\left|\Gamma_{s}\right| \geq 2$ and $k \neq l \in \Gamma_{s}$, then $I_{k} \cup I_{l}$ is not connected: $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{l}\right)$ commute and are orthogonal.
3) For all $k \in I_{r e}^{\prime},\left(I_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ is an irreducible $C$-admissible pair.
4) The derived subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ of the grading algebra $\mathfrak{m}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\left(I_{r e}\right)$ (as defined in proposition 1.2).
Proof.
5) Suppose that there exists $k \in I_{r e}^{\prime}$ such that $I_{k}$ is not of finite type; then there exists an imaginary root $\beta_{k}$ whose support is the whole $I_{k}$. Hence, there exists a positive integer $m_{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho_{a}\left(\beta_{k}\right)=m_{k} \rho\left(\alpha_{k}\right)$ is an imaginary root of $\Sigma$. It follows that $\rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)$ is an imaginary root and this contradicts the fact that $k \in I_{r e}^{\prime}$. 2) Let $s \in \bar{I}$ such that $\left|\Gamma_{s}\right| \geq 2$ and let $k \neq l \in \Gamma_{s}$. Since $V_{n \gamma_{s}}=\{0\}$ for all integer $n \geq 2$, the same argument used in 1) shows that $I_{k} \cup I_{l}$ is not connected, and $I_{k}$ and $I_{l}$ are its two connected components. In particular, $\left[\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right), \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{l}\right)\right]=\{0\}$ and $\left(\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right), \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{l}\right)\right)=\{0\}$.
6) Let $k \in I_{r e}^{\prime}$ and let $s \in \bar{I}$ such that $\rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=\gamma_{s}$. Let ( $\left.\bar{X}_{s}, \bar{H}_{s}=\gamma_{s}, \bar{Y}_{s}\right)$ be an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple in $\mathfrak{m}$ corresponding to the simple root $\gamma_{s}$. Let $V_{\gamma_{s}}$ be the weight space of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to $\gamma_{s}$. In view of Proposition 3.12, assertion 1), one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\gamma_{s}}=\underset{l \in \Gamma_{s}}{\oplus} V_{\gamma_{s}} \cap \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{l}\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, one can write :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{X}_{s}=\sum_{l \in \Gamma_{s}} E_{l} ; \quad \bar{Y}_{s}=\sum_{l \in \Gamma_{s}} F_{l}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $E_{l} \in V_{\gamma_{s}} \cap \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{l}\right)$ and $F_{l} \in V_{-\gamma_{s}} \cap \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{l}\right)$. It follows from assertion 2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}_{s}=\gamma_{s}^{\check{s}}=\left[\bar{X}_{s}, \bar{Y}_{s}\right]=\sum_{l \in \Gamma_{s}}\left[E_{l}, F_{l}\right]=\sum_{l \in \Gamma_{s}} H_{l}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{l}:=\left[E_{l}, F_{l}\right] \in \mathfrak{h}_{I_{l}}, \forall l \in \Gamma_{s}$. Then one has, for $k \in \Gamma_{s}$,

$$
2=\left\langle\gamma_{s}, \gamma_{s}^{\check{s}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\alpha_{k}, \gamma_{s}^{\check{s}}\right\rangle=\sum_{l \in \Gamma_{s}}\left\langle\alpha_{k}, H_{l}\right\rangle=\left\langle\alpha_{k}, H_{k}\right\rangle,
$$

and for $j \in J_{k}$,

$$
0=\left\langle\alpha_{j}, \gamma_{s}^{\check{s}}\right\rangle=\sum_{l \in \Gamma_{s}}\left\langle\alpha_{j}, H_{l}\right\rangle=\left\langle\alpha_{j}, H_{k}\right\rangle
$$

In particular, $H_{k}$ is the unique semi-simple element of $\mathfrak{h}_{I_{k}}$ satisfying :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha_{i}, H_{k}\right\rangle=2 \delta_{i, k}, \forall i \in I_{k} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\left(E_{k}, H_{k}, F_{k}\right)$ is an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple in the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right)$ and since $V_{2 \gamma_{s}}=$ $\{0\},\left(I_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ is an irreducible $C$-admissible pair for all $k \in \Gamma_{s}$. The statement 4) follows from the relation (3.2).

Corollary 3.15. The pair $\left(I_{r e}, J_{r e}\right)$ is $C$-admissible (in the eventually decomposable sense of Remark 2.15). If $I_{i m}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, then $I_{r e}=I, J_{r e}=J$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Delta^{J}$-graded, with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$.
N.B. We have got part 2) of Theorem 2.

Proof. The first assertion is a consequence of Proposition 3.14. By remark 3.13, when $I_{i m}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, we have $I=I_{r e}$; hence, by Theorem $2.14, \mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Delta^{J}$-graded.

Definition 3.16. If $I_{i m}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, then $(I, J)$ is called a generalized $C$-admissible pair and the gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\Sigma$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ is said imaginary.
On the contrary if $I_{i m}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, the gradation is said real.
If $I_{i m}^{\prime}=J=\emptyset$, the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be maximally finitely $\Sigma$-graded.
Corollary 3.17. The grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is symmetrizable and the restriction to $\mathfrak{m}$ of the invariant bilinear form of $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-degenerate.

Proof. Let $(., .)_{a}$ be the restriction to $\mathfrak{m}$ of the invariant bilinear form (.,.) of $\mathfrak{g}$. Recall from the proof of Proposition 3.14 that $\gamma_{s}^{\check{s}}=\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s}} H_{k}, \forall s \in \bar{I}$. In particular $\left(\gamma_{s}, \gamma_{s}^{\check{s}}\right)_{a}=\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s}}\left(H_{k}, H_{k}\right)>0$. It follows that $(., .)_{a}$ is a non-degenerate invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{m}$ (see §1.4) and that $\mathfrak{m}$ is symmetrizable.

Corollary 3.18. Let $\mathfrak{h}^{J}$ be the orthogonal of $\mathfrak{h}_{J}$ in $\mathfrak{h}$. For $k \in I_{\text {im }}^{\prime}$, write

$$
\rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=\sum_{s \in \bar{I}} n_{s, k} \gamma_{s}
$$

For $s \in \bar{I}$, choose $l_{s}$ a representative element of $\Gamma_{s}$. Then $\mathfrak{a} / \mathfrak{c}_{a}$ can be viewed as the subspace of $\mathfrak{h}^{J} / \mathfrak{c}$ defined by the following relations :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\alpha_{k}, h\right\rangle=\left\langle\alpha_{l_{s}}, h\right\rangle, \forall k \in \Gamma_{s}, \forall s \in \bar{I} \\
& \left\langle\alpha_{k}, h\right\rangle=\sum_{s \in \bar{I}} n_{s, k}\left\langle\alpha_{l_{s}}, h\right\rangle, \forall k \in I_{i m}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The subspace of $\mathfrak{h}^{J} / \mathfrak{c}$ defined by the above relations has dimension $|\bar{I}|$ and contains $\mathfrak{a} / \mathfrak{c}_{a}$ and hence it is equal to $\mathfrak{a} / \mathfrak{c}_{a}$.

Proposition 3.19. Let $(., .)_{a}$ be the restriction to $\mathfrak{m}$ of the invariant bilinear form $(.,$.$) of \mathfrak{g}$.

1) Let $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ and let $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime \prime}$ be a supplementary subspace of $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$ which is totally isotropic relatively to $(., .)_{a}$. Then $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime \prime} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}=\{0\}$.
2) Let $A_{I_{r e}}$ be the submatrix of $A$ indexed by $I_{r e}$. Then there exists a subspace $\mathfrak{h}_{I_{r e}}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ containing $\mathfrak{a}$ such that $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{I_{r e}}, \Pi_{I_{r e}}, \Pi_{I_{r e}}\right)$ is a realization of $A_{I_{r e}}$. In particular, the Kac-Moody subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)$ associated to this realization (in 1.2) contains the grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$.
3) The Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)$ is finitely $\Delta\left(I_{r e}\right)^{J_{r e}}$-graded and its grading subalgebra is the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)^{J_{r e}}$ associated to the $C$-admissible pair $\left(I_{r e}, J_{r e}\right)$ as in Proposition 2.11.
4) The Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)^{J_{r e}}$ contains $\mathfrak{m}$.

Proof.

1) Recall that the center $\mathfrak{c}_{a}$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ is contained in the center $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{c}^{\perp}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{a}$ is in duality with $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime \prime}$ relatively to $(., .)_{a}$, we deduce that $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime \prime} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}=\{0\}$.
2) From the proofs of 3.17 and 3.14 we get $\gamma_{s}^{\vee}=\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s}} H_{k} \in \sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s}} \mathfrak{h}_{I_{k}}=\mathfrak{h}_{I_{r e}}^{\prime}$. So $\mathfrak{c}_{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}^{\prime} \subset \mathfrak{h}_{I_{r e}}^{\prime} \subset \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}$. It follows that $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{I_{r e}}^{\prime}+\mathfrak{h}^{I_{r e}}\right)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{c}_{a}^{\perp}$ the orthogonal subspace of $\mathfrak{c}_{a}$ in $\mathfrak{h}$. Since $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime \prime} \cap \mathfrak{c}_{a}^{\perp}=\{0\}$, one can choose a supplementary subspace $\mathfrak{h}_{I_{r e}}^{\prime \prime}$ of $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{I_{r e}}^{\prime}+\mathfrak{h}^{I_{r e}}\right)$ containing $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime \prime}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{I_{r e}}=\mathfrak{h}_{I_{r e}}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{I_{r e}}^{\prime \prime}$, then, by Proposition 1.2, $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{I_{r e}}, \Pi_{I_{r e}}, \Pi_{I_{r e}}\right)$ is a realization of $A_{I_{r e}}$.
3) As in Corollary 3.15, assertion 3) is a simple consequence of Theorem 2.14.
4) The algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ is in $\mathfrak{h}_{I_{r e}} \cap \Pi \frac{\perp}{J}=\left(\mathfrak{h}_{I_{r e}}\right)^{J_{r e}}$. By the proof of Proposition 3.14, for $s \in \bar{I}, \bar{X}_{s}$ and $\bar{Y}_{s}$ are linear combinations of the elements in $\left\{E_{k}, F_{k} \mid k \in \Gamma_{s}\right\} \subset$ $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)^{J_{r e}}$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)^{J_{r e}}$ contains all generators of $\mathfrak{m}$.

Lemma 3.20. Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a Kac-Moody subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{m}$. Then $\mathfrak{l}$ is finitely $\Sigma$-graded. In particular, the Kac-Moody subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)$ or $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)^{J_{r e}}$ is finitely $\Sigma$-graded.
N.B. Proposition 3.19 and Lemma 3.20 finish the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. Recall that the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ is $\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$-diagonalizable. Since $\mathfrak{l}$ is $\operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{a})$-invariant, one has $\mathfrak{l}=\sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma \cup\{0\}} V_{\gamma} \cap \mathfrak{l}$. By assumption $\{0\} \neq \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma} \subset V_{\gamma} \cap \mathfrak{l}$ for all $\gamma \in \Sigma$. Thus, $\mathfrak{l}$ is finitely $\Sigma$-graded.

Proposition 3.21. If $I_{\text {im }}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, then $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)=\mathfrak{g}$ and the $C$-admissible subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$ is maximally finitely $\Sigma$-graded, with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. This result is due to J. Nervi ([17, 2.5.10]) for the affine case; it follows from the facts that $V_{0} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{J}=\mathfrak{h}^{J}$ and $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{J}$ (see Prop. 3.19).

We now want a precise description of the gradation of $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)$ by $\Sigma$ and $\mathfrak{m}$; particularly in the case (already mentioned in Remark 3.13 ) where $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)$ (and so $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)^{J_{r e}}$ ) is decomposable.

Let $I_{r e}^{1}, I_{r e}^{2}, \ldots, I_{r e}^{q}$ be the connected components of $I_{r e}$ and $J_{r e}^{i}:=J_{r e} \cap I_{r e}^{i}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, q$. Then $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)=\underset{i=1}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}^{i}\right)$ and hence $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)^{J_{r e}}=\underset{i=1}{\oplus} \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}^{i}\right)^{J_{r e}^{i}}$ (see Remark 2.15). Retain the notations introduced just before Proposition 3.14 and
those introduced in its proof.
For $s \in \bar{I}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots, q$, let $\Gamma_{s}^{i}:=\Gamma_{s} \cap I_{r e}^{i}$. If $\Gamma_{s}^{i}$ is non-empty, put $E_{s}^{i}:=\sum_{l \in \Gamma_{s}^{i}} E_{l}$; $F_{s}^{i}:=\sum_{l \in \Gamma_{s}^{i}} F_{l}$ and $H_{s}^{i}:=\sum_{l \in \Gamma_{s}^{i}} H_{l}$. We take $E_{s}^{i}=F_{s}^{i}=H_{s}^{i}=0$ if $\Gamma_{s}^{i}$ is empty. Note that $\Gamma_{s}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{q} \Gamma_{s}^{i}$ (disjoint union) and from the proof of the Proposition 3.14 we get the following relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{X}_{s}=\sum_{i=1}^{q} E_{s}^{i} ; \quad \bar{Y}_{s}=\sum_{i=1}^{q} F_{s}^{i}, \forall s \in \bar{I}  \tag{3.5}\\
\bar{H}_{s}=\gamma_{s}^{\check{ }}=\left[\bar{X}_{s}, \bar{Y}_{s}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{q}\left[E_{s}^{i}, F_{s}^{i}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{q} H_{s}^{i}, \forall s \in \bar{I} \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 3.22. Let $s \in \bar{I}$ and $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, q\}$ such that $\Gamma_{s}^{i} \neq \emptyset$. Then we have

1) $\Gamma_{t}^{i} \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \in \bar{I}$ satisfying $\left\langle\gamma_{t}, \gamma_{s}^{\curlyvee}\right\rangle<0$.
2) $\Gamma_{t}^{i} \neq \emptyset, \forall t \in \bar{I}$.

Proof. To prove 1), suppose $\Gamma_{t}^{i}=\emptyset$ for any $t$ satisfying $\left\langle\gamma_{t}, \gamma_{s}^{\sim}\right\rangle<0$. Let $k \in \Gamma_{s}^{i}$, then $\left\langle\gamma_{s}, \gamma_{t}\right\rangle=\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{q}\left\langle\alpha_{k}, H_{t}^{j}\right\rangle=0$, a contradiction since $\left\langle\gamma_{s}, \check{\gamma_{t}}\right\rangle$ must be negative.
Thus $\Gamma_{s}^{i} \neq \emptyset$ iff $\Gamma_{t}^{i} \neq \emptyset$. The second statement follows from the connectedness of $\bar{I}$ : For $t \in \bar{I}$, there exists a sequence $s_{0}=s, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}=t$ in $\bar{I}$ such that $s_{j}$ is linked to $s_{j+1}$ for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. By 1) $\Gamma_{s_{j}}^{i}$ is, as $\Gamma_{s}^{i}$, non-empty for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n$. In particular $\Gamma_{t}^{i} \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.23. $\Gamma_{s}^{i} \neq \emptyset, \forall s \in \bar{I}, \forall i=1,2, \ldots, q$, and $\left(H_{s}^{i}\right)_{s \in \bar{I}}$ is free for all $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, q$.
Proof. Recall that $I_{r e}=\underset{k \in I_{r e}^{\prime}}{\cup} I_{k}$, with all the $I_{k}$ connected. Let $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, q\}$ and let $k \in I_{r e}^{\prime}$ such that $I_{k} \subset I_{r e}^{i}$. Let $s \in \bar{I}$ such that $\rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=\gamma_{s}$, then $k \in \Gamma_{s}^{i}$ and $\Gamma_{s}^{i} \neq \emptyset$. By the Lemma 3.22, $\Gamma_{t}^{i} \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \in \bar{I}$. Thus $H_{s}^{i} \neq 0, \forall s \in \bar{I}$; $\forall i=1,2, \ldots, q$, and the freeness of $\left(H_{s}^{i}\right)_{s \in \bar{I}}$ follows from that of $\left(H_{k}\right)_{k \in I_{r e}^{\prime}}$.
Proposition 3.24. For $i=1,2, \ldots, q$, let $p_{i}$ be the projection of $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)$ on $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}^{i}\right)$ with kernel $\oplus_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}^{j}\right)$ and let $\mathfrak{m}_{i}:=p_{i}(\mathfrak{m})$. Then we have :

1) $\mathfrak{m}_{i}$ is a Kac-Moody subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}^{i}\right)^{J_{r e}^{i}}$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{m}$.
2) The Kac-Moody subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}^{i}\right)^{J_{r e}^{i}}$ is maximally finitely $\Sigma_{i}-$ graded, where $\Sigma_{i}$ is the root system of $\mathfrak{m}_{i}$ relative to the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}_{i}:=p_{i}(\mathfrak{a})$.
N.B. Note that $\mathfrak{m}$ is contained in $\underset{i=1}{\underset{\oplus}{\oplus}} \mathfrak{m}_{i}$. In particular, $\underset{i=1}{\underset{\oplus}{\oplus}} \mathfrak{m}_{i}$ is finitely $\Sigma$-graded.
 diagonal subalgebra $\Delta\left(\mathfrak{m}^{q}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{m}^{q}: \Delta\left(\mathfrak{m}^{q}\right):=\{(X, X, \ldots, X) ; X \in \mathfrak{m}\}$.
Proof. For $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, q\}, p_{i}$ is a morphism of Lie algebras and $\mathfrak{m}_{i}:=p_{i}(\mathfrak{m})$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}^{i}\right)^{J_{r e}^{i}}$. For $s \in \bar{I}$, one has $p_{i}\left(\gamma_{s}^{\check{s}}\right)=H_{s}^{i}$. Thus the restriction of $p_{i}$ to $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}:=[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}]=\oplus_{s \in \bar{I}} \mathbb{C} \gamma_{s}^{\check{s}}$ is injective by Lemma 3.23. Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is indecomposable, $p_{i}$
when restricted to $\mathfrak{m}$ is still injective (see [12, 1.7]). Thus $\mathfrak{m}_{i}=p_{i}(\mathfrak{m})$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{m}$ and we have the following commutative diagram :


For the second assertion, Let $\mathfrak{a}_{i}:=p_{i}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\Sigma_{i}=\Delta\left(\mathfrak{m}_{i}, \mathfrak{a}_{i}\right)$. When restricted to $\mathfrak{m}$ $p_{i}$ induces an isomorphism of root systems $\psi_{i}: \Sigma_{i} \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that

$$
\langle\alpha, h\rangle=\left\langle\psi_{i}^{-1}(\alpha), p_{i}(h)\right\rangle, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Sigma, \forall h \in \mathfrak{a}
$$

Note that for $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)$ satisfying $[h, X]=\langle\alpha, h\rangle X, \forall h \in \mathfrak{a}$, one has $\left[h^{i}, p_{i}(X)\right]=\left\langle\psi_{i}^{-1}(\alpha), h^{i}\right\rangle p_{i}(X), \forall h^{i} \in \mathfrak{a}_{i}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)^{J_{r e}}\right)$ is finitely $\Sigma$-graded and $p_{i}$ is surjective, the Kac-Moody subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}^{i}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}^{i}\right)^{J_{r e}^{i}}\right)$ is finitely $\Sigma_{i}$-graded. For $k \in I_{r e}^{i}$, Let $\rho_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)$ be the restriction of $\alpha_{k}$ to $\mathfrak{a}_{i}$. Then $\left(\rho_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=0\right) \Longleftrightarrow\left(\rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=0\right) \Longleftrightarrow\left(k \in J_{r e}^{i}\right)$. By Proposition 3.21, $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}^{i}\right) J_{r e}^{i}$ is maximally finitely $\Sigma_{i}$-graded.

Corollary 3.25. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is Lorentzian then $I_{r e}$ is connected.
Proof. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is Lorentzian, then By Proposition 3.6, the grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ and hence all the $\mathfrak{m}_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, q)$ are also Lorentzian. When restricted to $\underset{i=1}{\oplus} \mathfrak{a}_{i}$ the invariant bilinear form (.,.) is still non-degenerate and has signature $(q(r-1), q)$, where $r$ is the common rank of the $\mathfrak{m}_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, q$. Hence $q=1$ and $I_{r e}$ is connected.

Proposition 3.26. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is of finite, affine or hyperbolic type, then any finite gradation is real: $I_{i m}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ and $(I, J)$ is a $C$-admissible pair.
Proof. The result is trivial if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of finite type. Suppose $I_{i m}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ for one of the other cases. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is affine, then $I_{r e}$ is of finite type and by Lemma $3.19, \mathfrak{m}$ is contained in the finite dimensional semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)$. This contradicts the fact that $\mathfrak{m}$ is, as $\mathfrak{g}$, of affine type (see Proposition 3.6). If $\mathfrak{g}$ is hyperbolic, then it is Lorentzian and perfect (cf. section 1.1). By Lemma 3.20 and Corollary 3.25, $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)$ is an indecomposable finitely $\Sigma$-graded Kac-Moody subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. As $I_{r e}$ is assumed to be a proper connected subset of $I, \mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)$ is of finite or affine type, a contradiction since, by Proposition 3.6, $\mathfrak{m}$ must be Lorentzian. Hence $I_{i m}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ in the two last cases.

Proposition 3.27. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is hyperbolic, then the grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ is also hyperbolic.

Proof. Recall that in this case, $I_{r e}=I$ (see Proposition 3.26 and Corollary 3.15). Let $\bar{I}^{1}$ be a proper subset of $\bar{I}$ and suppose that $\bar{I}^{1}$ is connected. Let $I^{1}=$ $\cup_{s \in \bar{I}^{1}}\left(\cup_{k \in \Gamma_{s}}^{\cup} I_{k}\right)$. Then, $I^{1}$ is a proper subset of $I$. We may assume that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}\left(\bar{I}^{1}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}\left(I^{1}\right)$. Let $\Sigma^{1}:=\Sigma\left(\bar{I}^{1}\right)$ be the root system
of $\mathfrak{m}\left(\bar{I}^{1}\right)$. Then, it is not difficult to check that $\mathfrak{g}\left(I^{1}\right)$ is finitely $\Sigma^{1}$-graded. The argument used in Proposition 3.24 shows that the indecomposable components of $\mathfrak{g}\left(I^{1}\right)$ (which all are of finite or affine type) are finitely $\Sigma^{1}$-graded. By Proposition 3.6, $\mathfrak{m}\left(\bar{I}^{1}\right)$ is of finite or affine type. Hence $\mathfrak{m}$ is hyperbolic.

Corollary 3.28. The problem of classification of finite real gradations of $\mathfrak{g}$ comes down first to classify the $C$-admissible pairs $(I, J)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and then the maximal finite gradations of the corresponding admissible algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$. When $\mathfrak{g}$ is of finite, affine or hyperbolic type, we get thus all finite gradations.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.26, Proposition 3.21 and Lemma 1.5.

## 4. Maximal gradations

We assume now moreover that $\mathfrak{g}$ is maximally finitely $\Sigma$-graded. We keep the notations in section 3 but we have $J=I_{i m}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. So $\bar{I}$ is a quotient of $I$, with quotient map $\rho$ defined by $\rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=\gamma_{\rho(k)}$. For $s \in \bar{I}, \Gamma_{s}=\rho^{-1}(\{s\})$.

## Proposition 4.1.

1) If $k \neq l \in I$ and $\rho(k)=\rho(l)$, then there is no link between $k$ and $l$ in the Dynkin diagram of $A: \alpha_{k}\left(\alpha_{l}^{\vee}\right)=\alpha_{l}\left(\alpha_{k}^{\vee}\right)=0$ and $\left(\alpha_{k}, \alpha_{l}\right)=0$.
2) $\mathfrak{a} \subset\left\{h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \alpha_{k}(h)=\alpha_{l}(h)\right.$ whenever $\left.\rho(k)=\rho(l)\right\}$.
3) For good choices of the simple coroots and Chevalley generators $\left(\alpha_{k}^{\vee}, e_{k}, f_{k}\right)_{k \in I}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\left(\gamma_{s}^{\vee}, \bar{X}_{s}, \bar{Y}_{s}\right)_{s \in \bar{I}}$ in $\mathfrak{m}$, we have $\gamma_{s}^{\vee}=\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s}} \alpha_{k}^{\vee}$, $\bar{X}_{s}=\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s}} e_{k}$ and $\bar{Y}_{s}=\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s}} f_{k}$.
4) In particular, for $s, t \in \bar{I}$, we have $\gamma_{s}\left(\gamma_{t}^{\vee}\right)=\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{t}} \alpha_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{\vee}\right)$ for any $i \in \Gamma_{s}$.

Proof. Assertions 1) and 2) are proved in 3.14 and 3.18. For $i \in \Gamma_{s}, \gamma_{s}=\rho_{a}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ is the restriction of $\alpha_{i}$ to $\mathfrak{a}$; so 4) is a consequence of 3 ).

For 3) recall the proof of Proposition 3.14. The $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}-\operatorname{triple}\left(\bar{X}_{s}, \gamma_{s}^{\vee}, \bar{Y}_{s}\right)$ may be written $\gamma_{s}^{\vee}=\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s}} H_{k}, \bar{X}_{s}=\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s}} E_{k}$ and $\bar{Y}_{s}=\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s}} F_{k}$ where $\left(E_{k}, H_{k}, F_{k}\right)$ is an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple in $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right)$, with $\alpha_{k}\left(H_{k}\right)=2$. But now $J=I_{i m}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, so $I_{k}=\{k\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{k}\right)=\mathbb{C} e_{k} \oplus \mathbb{C} \alpha_{k}^{\vee} \oplus \mathbb{C} f_{k}$, hence the result.

So the grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ may be entirely described by the quotient map $\rho$.
We look now to the reciprocal construction.
So $\mathfrak{g}$ is an indecomposable and symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra associated to a generalized Cartan matrix $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$. We consider a quotient $\bar{I}$ of $I$ with quotient map $\rho: I \rightarrow \bar{I}$ and fibers $\Gamma_{s}=\rho^{-1}(\{s\})$ for $s \in \bar{I}$. We suppose that $\rho$ is an admissible quotient i.e. that it satisfies the following two conditions:
(MG1) If $k \neq l \in I$ and $\rho(k)=\rho(l)$, then $a_{k, l}=\alpha_{l}\left(\alpha_{k}^{\vee}\right)=0$.
(MG2) If $s \neq t \in \bar{I}$, then $\bar{a}_{s, t}:=\sum_{i \in \Gamma_{s}} a_{i, j}=\sum_{i \in \Gamma_{s}} \alpha_{j}\left(\alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right)$ is independent of the choice of $j \in \Gamma_{t}$.

Proposition 4.2. The matrix $\bar{A}=\left(\bar{a}_{s, t}\right)_{s, t \in \bar{I}}$ is an indecomposable generalized Cartan matrix.

Proof. Let $s \neq t \in \bar{I}$ and let $j \in \Gamma_{t}$. By (MG1) one has $\bar{a}_{t, t}=\sum_{i \in \Gamma_{t}} a_{i, j}=a_{j, j}=2$, and by (MG2) $\bar{a}_{s, t}:=\sum_{i \in \Gamma_{s}} a_{i, j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{-}\left(\forall j \in \Gamma_{t}\right)$. Moreover, $\bar{a}_{s, t}=0$ if and only
if $a_{i, j}=0\left(=a_{j, i}\right), \forall(i, j) \in \Gamma_{s} \times \Gamma_{t}$. It follows that $\bar{a}_{s, t}=0$ if and only if $\bar{a}_{t, s}=0$, and $\bar{A}$ is a generalized Cartan matrix. Since $A$ is indecomposable, $\bar{A}$ is also indecomposable.

Let $\mathfrak{h}^{\Gamma}=\left\{h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \alpha_{k}(h)=\alpha_{l}(h)\right.$ whenever $\left.\rho(k)=\rho(l)\right\}, \gamma_{s}^{\vee}=\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s}} \alpha_{k}^{\vee}$ and $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}=\oplus_{s \in \bar{I}} \mathbb{C} \gamma_{s}^{\vee} \subset \mathfrak{h}^{\Gamma}$. We may choose a subspace $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathfrak{h}^{\Gamma}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime \prime} \cap \mathfrak{a}^{\prime}=\{0\}$, the restrictions $\bar{\alpha}_{i}=: \gamma_{\rho(i)}$ to $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{a}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\prime \prime}$ of the simple roots $\alpha_{i}$ (corresponding to different $\rho(i) \in \bar{I}$ ) are linearly independent and $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime \prime}$ is minimal for these two properties.
Proposition 4.3. $\left(\mathfrak{a},\left\{\gamma_{s} \mid s \in \bar{I}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{s}^{\vee} \mid s \in \bar{I}\right\}\right)$ is a realization of $\bar{A}$.
Proof. Let $\ell$ be the rank of $\bar{A}$. Note that $\mathfrak{a}$ contains $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}=\oplus_{s \in \bar{I}} \mathbb{C} \gamma_{s}^{\vee}$; the family $\left(\gamma_{s}\right)_{s \in \bar{I}}$ is free in the dual space $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ and satisfies $\left\langle\gamma_{t}, \gamma_{s}^{\vee}\right\rangle=\bar{a}_{s, t}, \forall s, t \in \bar{I}$. It follows that $\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{a}) \geq 2|\bar{I}|-\ell$ (see [11, 14.1] or [12, Ex. 1.3]). As $\mathfrak{a}$ is minimal, we have $\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{a})=2|\overline{\bar{I}}|-\ell$ (see $[11,14.2]$ for minimal realization). Hence $\left(\mathfrak{a},\left\{\gamma_{s} \mid\right.\right.$ $\left.s \in \bar{I}\},\left\{\gamma_{s}^{\vee} \mid s \in \bar{I}\right\}\right)$ is a (minimal) realization of $\bar{A}$.

We note $\Delta^{\rho}=\Sigma \subset \oplus_{s \in \bar{I}} \mathbb{Z} \gamma_{s}$ the root system associated to this realization.
We define now $\bar{X}_{s}=\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s}} e_{k}$ and $\bar{Y}_{s}=\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s}} f_{k}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{g}^{\rho}$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\mathfrak{a}$ and the elements $\bar{X}_{s}, \bar{Y}_{s}$ for $s \in \bar{I}$.
Proposition 4.4. The Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{g}^{\rho}$ is the Kac-Moody algebra associated to the realization $\left(\mathfrak{a},\left\{\gamma_{s} \mid s \in \bar{I}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{s}^{\vee} \mid s \in \bar{I}\right\}\right.$ ) of $\bar{A}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}$ is an integrable $\mathfrak{g}^{\rho}$-module with finite multiplicities.

Proof. Clearly, the following relations hold in the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\rho}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}]=0,} & {\left[\bar{X}_{s}, \bar{Y}_{t}\right]=\delta_{s, t} \gamma_{s}^{\vee}} & (s, t \in \bar{I}) \\
{\left[a, \bar{X}_{s}\right]=\left\langle\gamma_{s}, a\right\rangle \bar{X}_{s},} & {\left[a, \bar{Y}_{s}\right]=-\left\langle\gamma_{s}, a\right\rangle \bar{Y}_{s}} & (a \in \mathfrak{a}, s \in \bar{I}) .
\end{array}
$$

For the Serre's relations, one has :

$$
1-\bar{a}_{s, t} \geq 1-a_{i, j}, \forall(i, j) \in \Gamma_{s} \times \Gamma_{t}
$$

In particular, one can see, by induction on $\left|\Gamma_{s}\right|$, that :

$$
\left(\operatorname{ad} \bar{X}_{s}\right)^{1-\bar{a}_{s, t}}\left(e_{j}\right)=\left(\sum_{i \in \Gamma_{s}} \operatorname{ad} e_{i}\right)^{1-\bar{a}_{s, t}}\left(e_{j}\right)=0, \forall j \in \Gamma_{t} .
$$

Hence

$$
\left(\operatorname{ad} \bar{X}_{s}\right)^{1-\bar{a}_{s, t}}\left(\bar{X}_{t}\right)=0, \forall s, t \in \bar{I}
$$

and in the same way we obtain that:

$$
\left(\operatorname{ad} \bar{Y}_{s}\right)^{1-\bar{a}_{s, t}}\left(\bar{Y}_{t}\right)=0, \forall s, t \in \bar{I}
$$

It follows that $\mathfrak{g}^{\rho}$ is a quotient of the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\bar{A})$ associated to $\bar{A}$ and $\left(\mathfrak{a},\left\{\gamma_{s} \mid s \in \bar{I}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{s}^{\vee} \mid s \in \bar{I}\right\}\right)$ in which the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{g}(\bar{A})$ is embedded. By $[12,1.7] \mathfrak{g}^{\rho}$ is equal to $\mathfrak{g}(\bar{A})$.
It's clear that $\mathfrak{g}$ is an integrable $\mathfrak{g}^{\rho}$-module with finite dimensional weight spaces relative to the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{a}$, since for $\alpha=\sum_{i \in I} n_{i} \alpha_{i} \in \Delta^{+}$, its restriction $\rho_{a}(\alpha)$ to $\mathfrak{a}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{a}(\alpha)=\sum_{s \in \bar{I}}\left(\sum_{i \in \Gamma_{s}} n_{i}\right) \gamma_{s} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.5. The Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is maximally finitely $\Delta^{\rho}$-graded with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\rho}$.

Proof. As in Theorem 2.14, we will see that $\rho_{a}\left(\Delta^{+}\right) \subset Q_{+}^{\Gamma}:=\underset{s \in \bar{I}}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z}^{+} \gamma_{s}$ satisfies, as $\Sigma^{+}=\Delta_{+}^{\rho}$, the following conditions :
(i) $\gamma_{s} \in \rho_{a}\left(\Delta^{+}\right) \subset Q_{+}^{\Gamma}, 2 \gamma_{s} \notin \rho_{a}\left(\Delta^{+}\right), \forall s \in \bar{I}$.
(ii) if $\gamma \in \rho_{a}\left(\Delta^{+}\right), \gamma \neq \gamma_{s}$, then the set $\left\{\gamma+k \gamma_{s} ; k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \cap \rho_{a}\left(\Delta^{+}\right)$is a string $\left\{\gamma-p \gamma_{s}, \ldots, \gamma+q \gamma_{s}\right\}$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $p-q=\left\langle\gamma, \gamma_{s}^{\vee}\right\rangle$;
(iii) if $\gamma \in \rho_{a}\left(\Delta^{+}\right)$, then $\operatorname{supp}(\gamma)$ is connected.

Clearly $\left\{\gamma_{s} \mid s \in \bar{I}\right\} \subset \rho_{a}\left(\Delta_{+}\right) \subset Q_{+}^{\Gamma}$. For $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $s \in \bar{I}$, the condition $\rho_{a}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \gamma_{s}$ implies $\alpha \in \Delta\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)^{+}=\left\{\alpha_{i} ; i \in \Gamma_{s}\right\}$ [see (4.1)]. It follows that $2 \gamma_{s} \notin \rho_{a}\left(\Delta_{+}\right)$and (i) is satisfied. By Proposition 4.4, $\mathfrak{g}$ is an integrable $\mathfrak{g}^{\rho}$-module with finite multiplicities. Hence, the propriety (ii) follows from [12, 3.6]. Let $\alpha \in \Delta_{+}$ and let $s, t \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\rho_{a}(\alpha)\right)$. By (4.1) there exists $(k, l) \in \Gamma_{s} \times \Gamma_{t}$ such that $k, l \in$ $\operatorname{supp}(\alpha)$, which is connected. Hence there exist $i_{0}=k, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n+1}=l$ such that $\alpha_{i_{j}} \in \operatorname{supp}(\alpha), j=0,1, \ldots, n+1$, and for $j=0,1, \ldots, n, i_{j}$ and $i_{j+1}$ are linked relative to the generalized Cartan matrix $A$. In particular, $\rho\left(i_{j}\right) \neq \rho\left(i_{j+1}\right) \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\rho_{a}(\alpha)\right)$ and they are linked relative to the generalized Cartan matrix $\bar{A}, j=0,1, \ldots, n$, with $\rho\left(i_{0}\right)=s$ and $\rho\left(i_{n+1}\right)=t$. Hence the connectedness of $\operatorname{supp}\left(\rho_{a}(\alpha)\right)$ relative to $\bar{A}$. It follows that $\rho_{a}\left(\Delta^{+}\right)=\Delta_{+}^{\rho}$ and hence $\rho_{a}(\Delta)=\Delta^{\rho}$ (see [12, Ex. 5.4]. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Delta^{\rho}$-graded with $J=\emptyset=I_{i m}^{\prime}$.

Corollary 4.6. The restriction to $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{g}^{\rho}$ of the invariant bilinear form (., .) of $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-degenerate. In particular, the generalized Cartan matrix $\bar{A}$ is symmetrizable of the same type as $A$.

Proof. The first part of the corollary follows from Proposition 4.5 and Corollary 3.17. The second part follows from Proposition 3.6.

Remark 4.7. The map $\rho$ coincides with the map (also denoted $\rho$ ) defined at the beginning of this section using the maximal gradation of Proposition 4.5. Conversely Proposition 4.1 tells that, for a general maximal finite gradation, $\rho$ is admissible and $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{g}^{\rho}$ for good choices of the Chevalley generators. So we get a good correspondence between maximal gradations and admissible quotient maps.

By Corollary 3.28 the real finite gradations of a Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ are bijectively associated to pairs of a $C$-admissible pair $(I, J)$ and an admissible quotient $\operatorname{map} \rho: I^{\prime}=I \backslash J \rightarrow \bar{I}^{\prime}$.

## 5. An example

The following example shows that imaginary gradations do exist. It shows in particular that, for a generalized $C$-admissible pair $(I, J), J^{\circ}$ may be non-empty and $I_{r e}$ may be non-connected. Moreover, the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ may be not graded by the root system of $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{\text {re }}\right)$.
The imaginary gradations will be studied in a forthcoming paper [7].

Example 5.1. Consider the Kac Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the indecomposable and symmetric generalized Cartan matrix A :

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & -3 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-3 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & -3 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -3 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

with the corresponding Dynkin diagram :


Note that $\operatorname{det}(A)=275$ and the symmetric submatrix of $A$ indexed by $\{1,2,4,5,6\}$ has signature $(+++,--)$. Since $\operatorname{det}(A)>0$, the matrix $A$ should have signature $(++++,--)$. Let $\Sigma$ be the root system associated to the strictly hyperbolic generalized Cartan matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 & -3 \\ -3 & 2\end{array}\right)$, the corresponding Dynkin diagram is the following :

$$
H_{3,3} \quad \underset{3}{1} \quad \underset{3}{2}
$$

We will see that $\mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Sigma$-graded and describe the corresponding generalized $C$-admissible pair.

1) Let $\tau$ be the involutive diagram automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\tau(1)=5$, $\tau(2)=6$ and $\tau$ fixes the other vertices. Let $\sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ be the normal semi-involution of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the split real form of $\mathfrak{g}$. Consider the quasi-split real form $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}$ associated to the semi-involution $\tau \sigma_{n}^{\prime}$ (see [2] or [6]). Then $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}:=\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau}$ is a maximal split toral subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}$. The corresponding restricted root system $\Delta^{\prime}:=\Delta\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is reduced and the corresponding generalized Cartan matrix $A^{\prime}$ is given by :

$$
A^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & -3 & -2 & 0 \\
-3 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

with the corresponding Dynkin diagram :


Following N. Bardy [4, 9], there exists a split real Kac-Moody subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}$ containing $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$. It follows that $\mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Delta^{\prime}$-graded.
2) Let $\mathfrak{m}^{1}:=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{t}:=\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Denote by $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}:=\alpha_{i} / \mathfrak{t}, i=1,2,3,4$. Put $\alpha_{1}^{\prime \check{1}}=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{5}^{\check{5}}, \alpha^{\prime \check{ }}=\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{6}^{\check{6}}, \alpha^{\prime \check{ }}=\alpha_{3}^{\check{ }}$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \check{4}=\alpha_{4}$. Let $I^{1}:=\{1,2,3,4\}$, then $\left(\mathfrak{t}, \Pi^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}, i \in I^{1}\right\}, \Pi^{\prime \vee}=\left\{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}, i \in I^{1}\right\}\right)$ is a realization of $A^{\prime}$ which is symmetrizable and Lorentzian.

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the Kac-Moody subalgebra of $\mathfrak{m}^{1}$ corresponding to the submatrix $\bar{A}$ of $A^{\prime}$ indexed by $\{1,2\}$. Thus $\bar{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 & -3 \\ -3 & 2\end{array}\right)$ is strictly hyperbolic. Let $\mathfrak{a}:=$
$\mathbb{C} \alpha^{\prime} \check{1} \oplus \mathbb{C} \alpha^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ be the standard Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{m}$ and let $\Sigma=\Delta(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a})$. For
 $s=1,2$. Then $\Pi_{a}=\left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\}$ is the standard root basis of $\Sigma$. One can see easily that $\rho_{1}\left(\alpha_{4}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\rho_{1}\left(\alpha_{3}^{\prime}\right)=2\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right)$ is a strictly positive imaginary root of $\Sigma$. Now we will show that $\mathfrak{m}^{1}$ is finitely $\Sigma$-graded.
Let $(., .)_{1}$ be the normalized invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{m}^{1}$ such that short real roots have length 1 and long real roots have square length 2 . Then there exists a positive rational $q$ such that the restriction of $(., .)_{1}$ to $\mathfrak{t}$ has the matrix $B_{1}$ in the basis $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$, where:

$$
B_{1}=q\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & -3 & -1 & 0 \\
-3 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 1 & -1 / 2 \\
0 & 0 & -1 / 2 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

By duality, the restriction of $(., .)_{1}$ to $t$ induces a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{t}^{*}$ (see $[12,2.1]$ ) such that its matrix $B_{1}^{\prime}$ in the basis $\Pi^{\prime}$, is the following :

$$
B_{1}^{\prime}=q^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & -3 & -2 & 0 \\
-3 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\
-2 & -2 & 4 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence, $q$ equals 2.
Note that for $\alpha^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} n_{i} \alpha_{i}^{\prime} \in \Delta^{\prime+}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right)_{1}=n_{1}^{2}+n_{2}^{2}+2 n_{3}^{2}+2 n_{4}^{2}-3 n_{1} n_{2}-2 n_{1} n_{3}-2 n_{2} n_{3}-2 n_{3} n_{4} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that $\rho_{1}\left(\Delta^{\prime+}\right)=\Sigma^{+} \cup\{0\}$. Note that $\Sigma$ can be identified with $\Delta^{\prime} \cap$ $\left(\mathbb{Z} \alpha_{1}^{\prime}+\mathbb{Z} \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right)$; hence $\rho_{1}$ is injective on $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{+} \subset \rho_{1}\left(\Delta^{\prime+}\right)$.
Let $(., .)_{a}$ be the normalized invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{m}$ such that all real roots have length 2. Then the restriction of $(., .)_{a}$ to $\mathfrak{a}$ has the matrix $B_{a}$ in the basis $\Pi_{a}^{\check{\sim}}=\left\{\gamma_{1}^{\check{1}}, \gamma_{2}^{\check{2}}\right\}$, where :

$$
B_{a}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & -3 \\
-3 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $\bar{A}$ is symmetric, the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form, on $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$, induced by the restriction of $(., .)_{a}$ to $\mathfrak{a}$, has the same matrix $B_{a}$ in the basis $\Pi_{a}$. In particular, we have that:

$$
\left(\rho_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right), \rho_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)_{a}=2\left[\left(n_{1}+2 n_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(n_{2}+2 n_{3}\right)^{2}-3\left(n_{1}+2 n_{3}\right)\left(n_{2}+2 n_{3}\right)\right]
$$

since $\rho_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\left(n_{1}+2 n_{3}\right) \gamma_{1}+\left(n_{1}+2 n_{3}\right) \gamma_{2}$.
Using (5.1), it is not difficult to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right), \rho_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)_{a}=2\left[\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right)_{1}-\left(n_{3}-n_{4}\right)^{2}-5 n_{3}^{2}-n_{4}^{2}\right] \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $n_{3}=0$, then, since $\operatorname{supp}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is connected, we have that $\alpha^{\prime}=n_{1} \alpha_{1}^{\prime}+n_{2} \alpha_{2}^{\prime}$
or $\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha_{4}^{\prime}$. Hence $\rho_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=n_{1} \gamma_{1}+n_{2} \gamma_{2} \in \Sigma$ or $\rho_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=0$.
Suppose $n_{3} \neq 0$, then, since $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right)_{1} \leq 2$, one can see, using (5.2), that

$$
\left(\rho_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right), \rho_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)_{a}<0
$$

As $\Sigma$ is hyperbolic and $\rho_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{N} \gamma_{1}+\mathbb{N} \gamma_{2}$, we deduce that $\rho_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is a positive imaginary root of $\Sigma$ (see [12, 5.10]). It follows that $\rho_{1}\left(\Delta^{\prime+}\right)=\Sigma^{+} \cup\{0\}$.
To see that $\mathfrak{m}^{1}$ is finitely $\Sigma$-graded, it suffices to prove that, for $\gamma=m_{1} \gamma_{1}+m_{2} \gamma_{2} \in$ $\Sigma^{+} \cup\{0\}$, the set $\left\{\alpha^{\prime} \in \Delta^{\prime+}, \rho_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\gamma\right\}$ is finite. Note that if $\alpha^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} n_{i} \alpha_{i}^{\prime} \in \Delta^{\prime+}$ satisfying $\rho_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\gamma$, then $n_{i}+2 n_{3}=m_{i}, i=1,2$. In particular, there are
only finitely many possibilities for $n_{i}, i=1,2,3$. The same argument as the one used in the proof of Proposition 2.13 shows also that there are only finitely many possibilities for $n_{4}$.
3) Recall that $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{1} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. The fact that $\mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Delta^{\prime}$-graded with grading subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}^{1}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{1}$ is finitely $\Sigma$-graded implies that $\mathfrak{g}$ is finitely $\Sigma$-graded (cf. lemma 1.5). Let $I=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$, then the root basis $\Pi_{a}$ of $\Sigma$ is adapted to the root basis $\Pi$ of $\Delta$ and we have $I_{r e}=\{1,2,5,6\}$ (not connected), $\Gamma_{1}=\{1,5\}$, $\Gamma_{2}=\{2,6\}, J=\{4\}, J_{r e}=\emptyset, I_{i m}^{\prime}=\{3\}$ and $J^{\circ}=J=\{4\}$.
Note that, for this example, $\mathfrak{g}\left(I_{r e}\right)$, which is $\Sigma$-graded, is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}$. This gradation corresponds to that of the pseudo-complex real form of $\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}$ (i.e. the complex Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{m}$ viewed as real Lie algebra) by its restricted reduced root system. Since the pair $\left(I_{3}, J_{3}\right)=(\{3,4\},\{4\})$ is not admissible, it is not possible to build a Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$ grading finitely $\mathfrak{g}$ and maximally finitely $\Sigma$-graded.
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