On Forms of Kac-Moody Algebras

GUY ROUSSEAU

Let K be a field of characteristic 0 and \overline{K} its algebraic closure. We want to look to Kac-Moody algebras for generalizations of the theory of semisimple Lie algebras over K.

Here a Kac-Moody algebra g = g(A) will be the Lie algebra over \overline{K} defined by the generators and relations associated to a generalized Cartan matrix A (also called Kac-Moody matrix: KMM see §4). More precisely g is defined as in [K] (except that $\mathbb C$ is replaced by \overline{K}).

A K-form of g is a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_K over K such that there exists an isomorphism from g to $\mathfrak{g}_K\otimes\overline{K}$.

If we replace \overline{K} by K in the definition of \mathfrak{g} , we obtain a K-form \mathfrak{g}_K which is called *split*. If $K = \mathbb{R}$ a "compact" form of \mathfrak{g} is also defined in [K]. Some other forms may be found in the literature; but here we want to make a systematic study of all these forms. Their algebraic structures have in fact some likeness with that of the generalizations of Kac-Moody algebras studied e.g. by Borcherds [Bo] or Slodowy [S].

1. The different kinds of forms

Let \mathfrak{g}_K be a K-form of a Kac-Moody algebra \mathfrak{g} and let us fix an isomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to $\mathfrak{g}_K \otimes \overline{K}$. Then the Galois group $\Gamma = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ acts on \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}_K is identified with the fixed points set \mathfrak{g}^{Γ} .

The K-form \mathfrak{g}_K is the direct sum of some indecomposable K-forms. If \mathfrak{g}_K is indecomposable then $\mathfrak{g}_K \otimes \overline{K}$ may not be indecomposable but the indecomposable factors are permuted by Γ and one easily sees that there exists a finite extension K'/K and a K'-form \mathfrak{g}_K' of an indecomposable Kac-Moody algebra \mathfrak{g}' over \overline{K} such that \mathfrak{g}_K may be identified with \mathfrak{g}_K' viewed as a Lie algebra over K.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B67.

This paper is in final form, and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

So we suppose in the sequel that g is indecomposable and infinite dimensional.

There is a root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}\oplus(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta}\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha})$ with respect to a Cartan subalgebra (CSA) \mathfrak{h} , a basis Π of the system $\Delta=\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ of roots, a Weyl group W, a set $\Delta_{re}=W\Pi$ of real roots and standard Borel subalgebras $\mathfrak{b}^{\pm}=\mathfrak{h}\oplus(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Lambda+}\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha})$.

Let G be the adjoint group of \mathfrak{g} (generated by subgroups U_{α} for $\alpha \in \Delta_{re}$ acting on \mathfrak{g} as $\exp(\operatorname{ad}\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha})$). The CSAs of \mathfrak{g} are conjugated by G [PK], we define N (resp. H) as the stabilizer (resp. fixer) of \mathfrak{h} in G. The Galois group Γ acts on G and we define $G_{\nu} = G^{\Gamma}$.

group Γ acts on G and we define $G_K = G^\Gamma$. The Borel subgroup B^\pm of G is generated by H and U_α for $\alpha \in \Delta_{re}^\pm$. There are two Tits systems in $G\colon (G,B^+,N)$ and (G,B^-,N) . The corresponding parabolic subgroups of G (or the associated parabolic subalgebras of \mathfrak{g}) are called respectively positive and negative. Two proper parabolics of different signs are not conjugated by G; moreover for a suitable intrinsic definition of Borel subalgebra [PK] there are exactly two conjugacy classes of Borel subalgebras (or subgroups): those of \mathfrak{b}^+ (or B^+) and \mathfrak{b}^- (or B^-).

A (semi-)linear automorphism of g acts also on G and the image of a conjugacy class is a conjugacy class; it is said to be of first kind if it stabilizes each conjugacy class of Borel subgroup, otherwise it is said to be of second kind and it exchanges the two conjugacy classes.

A K-form of g is said to be almost split if for each γ in Γ the action of γ on g is of first kind; otherwise it is said to be almost anisotropic (or almost compact when $K = \mathbb{R}$).

In fact ([R4] using [KP2]) the K-form is almost split iff there exists a proper parabolic subalgebra defined over K. So split or quasi split K-forms are almost split (as usual a K-form is quasi split if a Borel subalgebra is defined over K). If $K = \mathbb{R}$ the compact form is almost compact.

In [Hée1] Jean-Yves Hée has constructed the quasi-split Kac-Moody groups over K and some other groups twisted unalgebraically following R. Steinberg and R. Ree's method for Chevalley groups.

2. K-forms of affine algebras

Let $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra over \overline{K} , θ an automorphism of $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$ of finite order p and $\zeta \in \overline{K}$ a primitive pth root of unity. For γ in Γ we define $n(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}$ (modulo p) such that $\gamma(\zeta) = \zeta^{n(\gamma)}$.

If $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}_j$ is the eigenspace of θ in \mathfrak{g} corresponding to the eigenvalue ζ^j , $j\in\mathbb{Z}$, one knows that $\mathfrak{g}=(\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}t^j\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}_j)\oplus\overline{K}D\oplus\overline{K}c$ may be endowed with a Lie algebra structure such that $\overline{K}c$ is the center, D acts as $t\cdot\partial/\partial t$ and $[t^jX,t^kY]=t^{j+k}[X,Y]$ modulo c. In fact any affine Kac-Moody algebra is built like this.

Take now a K-form $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}_K$ of $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$ and consider the action of Γ on $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$. Suppose that

There exists an homomorphism $\varepsilon \colon \Gamma \to \{\pm 1\}$ such that $\gamma \theta \gamma^{-1} = \theta^{\varepsilon(\gamma)n(\gamma)} \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $\gamma(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}_i) = \mathring{\mathfrak{g}}_{\varepsilon(\gamma)i}$ and we may define an action of Γ on \mathfrak{g} by

$$\gamma(t^j X) = t^{\varepsilon(\gamma)j} \gamma(X)$$
 $\gamma(D) = \varepsilon(\gamma)D$ $\gamma(c) = \varepsilon(\gamma)c.$

Then $\mathfrak{g}_K = \mathfrak{g}^{\Gamma}$ is a K-form of \mathfrak{g} (already defined by Goodman and Wallach [GW] when $\theta = \mathrm{Id}$).

This K-form is almost split iff $\varepsilon(\gamma) = 1$ for all γ in Γ .

In fact any almost split form of an affine algebra is as built here. This is proved in [R3] when $K = \mathbb{R}$ and in [B₃R] by Valérie Back for general K. For almost compact real forms the same result is also true, but only if we allow $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$ to be semisimple (Ben Messaoud's thesis).

In [A3] N. Andruskiewitsch constructs K-forms of \mathfrak{g} using a K-form $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}_K$ of $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$ and a K-form of the associative algebra $K[t, t^{-1}]$.

3. Real-forms of symmetrizable algebras

A real form $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of \mathfrak{g} corresponds to a conjugate linear involution σ' of \mathfrak{g} . Hence the compact form corresponds to a *compact involution* ω' .

If $\mathfrak g$ is symmetrizable there exists an invariant nondegenerate bilinear form B(x,y) on $\mathfrak g$. Then $H(x,y)=-B(x,\omega'y)$ is hermitian and in fact positive definite on $\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta}\mathfrak g_\alpha$. So, after having supposed that σ' and ω' stabilize the same CSA $\mathfrak h$, one may generalize a classical proof (see [H, III 7.1]) to obtain that

By conjugating by G one may suppose that ω' commutes with σ' [KP2] or [R2]. Thus $\sigma = \sigma'\omega'$ is a (linear) involution and $\mathfrak{k} = (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})^{\sigma} = (\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})^{\omega'}$ is called a maximal compact subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

If $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is almost compact then σ is of first kind. And one obtains thus [R2] a one-to-one correspondence between the conjugacy classes (under G) of (linear) involutions of first kind of \mathfrak{g} and the conjugacy classes (under G) of pairs of an almost compact real form $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{R}}$ of \mathfrak{g} and a maximal compact subalgebra of it. These compact subalgebras could perhaps be not conjugated under $G_{\mathbf{R}}$ as the classical proof used above is now available only if σ' and ω' stabilize the same CSA; and this is not always true even if σ' is a compact involution as the Cartan decomposition is false: $G \neq G^{\omega'}HG^{\omega'}$. The compact form is unique up to conjugacy, it corresponds to $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$.

The (linear) involutions of first kind are classified by Levstein [L] (see some corrections and more precisions in [BR]).

If $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is almost split then σ is of second kind. Hechmi Ben Messaoud $[\mathbf{B}_3\mathbf{R}]$ has proved (using $[\mathbf{K}\mathbf{W}]$ and $\S 4$) that one obtains thus a one-to-one correspondence between the conjugacy classes (under G) of (linear) involutions of second kind of \mathfrak{g} and the conjugacy classes (under G) of almost split real forms of \mathfrak{g} . A classification of these forms is also given.

Definitions by generators and relations of these real forms are given by

Berman and Pianzola [BeP] for quasi-split and almost compact forms and by Andruskiewitsch [A1, A2] for almost compact forms which are inner (i.e. σ is an inner automorphism).

4. Almost split K-forms

A Borel-Tits theory for these forms is developed in [R4], [R5], and [B₃R]. The principal tools are the buildings \mathcal{B}^+ and \mathcal{B}^- associated to the Tits systems (G, B^+, N) and (G, B^-, N) , they are twin buildings (a theory developed by Ronan and Tits, see [T4]); in particular there are three Bruhat decompositions: $G = B^+ N B^+ = B^- N B^- = B^+ N B^-$. For nontwisted affine algebras they are Bruhat-Tits buildings of simple algebraic groups over the valuated fields $\overline{K}((t))$ and $\overline{K}((t^{-1}))$ respectively.

The principal result of [R4], [R5] is that for each $\varepsilon=+$ or -, G_K is transitive on pairs $(\mathfrak{t}_K,\mathfrak{p}_K^{\varepsilon})$ such that $\mathfrak{t}_K\subset\mathfrak{p}_K^{\varepsilon}$ where $\mathfrak{p}_K^{\varepsilon}$ is a minimal parabolic subalgebra over K of sign ε and \mathfrak{t}_K a maximal K-split toral subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_K (i.e. $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{t}_K)$ is diagonalizable in \mathfrak{g}_K and \mathfrak{t}_K is maximal for this property). Moreover the derived algebra \mathfrak{l}_K of the centralizer \mathfrak{z}_K of \mathfrak{t}_K (which is the Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}_K^{\varepsilon}$) is a finite dimensional semisimple subalgebra called K-anisotropic kernel. There exists a CSA \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} defined over K such that $\mathfrak{t}_K\subset\mathfrak{h}\subset\mathfrak{p}_K^{\varepsilon}$.

If P^{ε} is the parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}_{K}^{\varepsilon} \otimes \overline{K}$, $P_{K}^{\varepsilon} = (P^{\varepsilon})^{\Gamma}$ and N' (resp. H') is the stabilizer (resp. fixer) of \mathfrak{t}_{K} in G_{K} then $(G_{K}, P_{K}^{\varepsilon}, N')$ is a Tits system; its building is $\mathscr{B}_{K}^{\varepsilon} = (\mathscr{B}^{\varepsilon})^{\Gamma}$.

As in the classical case [T1] one may define a *-action of Γ on Π such that if $\mathfrak p$ is a parabolic subalgebra of type $X \subset \Pi$ then $\gamma(\mathfrak p)$ is of type $\gamma^*(X)$. In fact if $\mathfrak b$ is a Borel subalgebra such that $\mathfrak h \subset \mathfrak b \subset \mathfrak p_K^e$ then $\gamma(\mathfrak b)$ is another such Borel subalgebra; so there exists w in the Weyl group of $(\mathfrak z, \mathfrak h)$ such that $w\gamma(\mathfrak b) = \mathfrak b$. The action γ^* on Π is induced by $w\gamma$ and so is compatible with the Dynkin diagram.

The index of \mathfrak{g}_K is the data consisting of the Dynkin diagram, the *-action of Γ on it and $\Pi_0=\operatorname{type}(\mathfrak{p}_K^+)\subset\Pi$. As in the classical case the knowledge of the anisotropic kernel \mathfrak{l}_K and of the index determine the K-form \mathfrak{g}_K . This form is quasi split iff $\Pi_0=\varnothing$ and split iff moreover the *-action is trivial. The problem of telling whether such a pair comes actually from a K-form may be reduced to rank one (when $\Pi-\Pi_0$ is a single orbit of the *-action) $[\mathbf{B_3R}]$.

The relative root system $\Delta' = \Delta'(\mathfrak{g}_K, \mathfrak{t}_K) = \{\alpha' = \alpha_{|\mathfrak{t}_K} \neq 0/\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})\}$ is more surprising (work of Nicole Bardy, $[\mathbf{B}_3\mathbf{R}]$). It has a basis $\Pi' = \{\alpha' \neq 0/\alpha \in \Pi\} = \{\alpha'_i/i \in J\}$ indexed by the set J of orbits of the *-action on $\Pi - \Pi_0$ (for α , $\beta \in \Pi$, $\alpha' = \beta' \neq 0$ iff α and β are in the same orbit in $\Pi - \Pi_0$). The problem is that, as in Borcherd's work $[\mathbf{B}_0]$, an element of the basis may be imaginary (in the sense that no reflection correspond to this root): α'_i is imaginary when $\Pi_0 \cup \Gamma^* \alpha_i$ is not of finite type. In our case all

positive integer multiples of an imaginary root are still roots (see [R5] and $[B_3R]$).

One may define coroots $(\alpha_i^*)_{i \in J}$ in \mathfrak{t}_K : then $A' = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in J}$ where $a_{i,j} = \alpha'_j(\alpha_i^*)$ is a relative Kac-Moody matrix (RKMM instead of generalized generalized Cartan matrix) in the sense that

$$a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}; \qquad a_{i,i} \leq 2; \qquad a_{i,j} \leq 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j; \qquad a_{i,j} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{j,i} = 0.$$

The relative Weyl group W' = N'/H' is simply transitive on the set of minimal parabolic subalgebras over K of sign ε and containing \mathfrak{t} ; it is generated by reflections r_i for $i \in J$ such that $a_{i,i} > 0$ (i.e. such that α'_i is a real simple root). These reflections are defined by $r_i(h) = h - (2\alpha'_i(h)/a_{i,i})\alpha^*_i$; this says that α^*_i is the coroot of $(2/a_{i,i})\alpha'_i$.

The relative root system is the only subset Δ' of $\bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathbb{Z} \alpha'_i$ such that

(1)
$$\Delta' = \Delta'_{+} \cup \Delta'_{-} \quad \text{where } -\Delta'_{-} = \Delta'_{+} = \Delta' \cap \left(\bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathbb{N}\alpha'_{i}\right)$$

(2)
$$\mathbb{N}\alpha'_{i} \cap \Delta'_{+} = \{\alpha'_{i}\} \quad \text{if } a_{i,i} = 2,$$

$$= \{\alpha'_{i}, 2\alpha'_{i}\} \quad \text{if } a_{i,i} = 1,$$

$$= \mathbb{N}^{*}\alpha'_{i} \quad \text{if } a_{i,i} \leq 0,$$

(3)
$$\forall \alpha' \in \Delta'_{+} - \Pi' \quad \exists i \in J \text{ such that } \alpha' - \alpha'_{i} \in \Delta'_{+},$$

(4)
$$\forall i \in J, \forall \alpha' \in \Delta'_{\perp} \backslash \mathbb{N}\alpha'_{i} \text{ then } \Delta' \cap (\alpha' + \mathbb{Z}\alpha'_{i}) \text{ is equal to :}$$

the string $\{\alpha' - p\alpha'_i, \ldots, \alpha' + q\alpha'_i\}$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p - q = (2/a_{i,i})\alpha'(\alpha^*_i)$ if $a_{i,i} > 0$,

 $\{\alpha'\}$ if $a_{i,i} \leq 0$ and $\sup(\alpha')$ and $\{\alpha'_i\}$ are not linked (with respect to A'), a set containing $\alpha' + \mathbb{N}\alpha'_i$ if $a_{i,i} \leq 0$ and $\sup(\alpha')$ and $\{\alpha'_i\}$ are linked.

This generalizes a well-known result for Kac-Moody matrices [K, Example 3.5].

To each RKMM an abstract root system with these four properties may be associated (N. Bardy). The classical root system BC_n is associated to a relative Cartan matrix (i.e. an RKMM such that $a_{i,i} > 0$ for all i and if $b_{i,j} = (2/a_{i,i})a_{i,j}$ then $(b_{i,j})$ is a Cartan matrix).

The root systems built like this have some good properties (with respect to quotients by groups of permutations of the basis (cf. [Hée2]) or by some subspaces or with respect to subsystems) but as shown by Moody and Pianzola [MP] it is necessary to consider a generalization where Π' is not free and not finite.

REFERENCES

- [A1] N. Andruskiewitsch, Some forms of Kac Moody algebras, J. Algebra 147 (1992), 324-344.
- [A2] _____, The representation theory of inner almost compact forms of Kac-Moody algebras, Tôhoku Math. J. 43 (1991), 425-445.
- [A3] _____, On the realization of forms of affine Kac-Moody algebras, Indag. Math. 2 (1991), 275-281.
- [B₃R] V. Back, N. Bardy, H. Ben Messaoud, and G. Rousseau, Formes presque-déployées d'algèbres de Kac-Moody: classification et racines relatives, J. Algebra (to appear).
- [B] J. Bausch, Étude et classification des automorphismes d'ordre fini et de première espèce des algèbres de Kac-Moody affines, Revue de l'Institut Elie Cartan Nancy 11 (1988), 5-124.
- [B'] _____, Automorphismes des algèbres de Kac-Moody affines, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 302 (1986), 409-412.
- [BR] J. Bausch and G. Rousseau, *Involutions de première espèce des algèbres affines*, Revue de l'Institut Elie Cartan Nancy 11 (1988), 125-139.
- [BeP] S. Berman and A. Pianzola, Generators and relations for real forms of some Kac-Moody Lie algebras, Comm. Algebra 15 (1987), 935-959.
- [Bo] R. Borcherds, Generalized Kac-Moody algebras, J. Algebra 115 (1988), 501-512.
- [BoT] A. Borel and J. Tits, Groupes réductifs, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 27 (1965), 55-150.
- [BrT] F. Bruhat and J. Tits, Groupes réductifs sur un corps local, I. Données radicielles valuées, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 41 (1972), 5-252.
- [GW] R. Goodman and N. R. Wallach, Structure and unitary cocycle representations of loop groups and the group of diffeomorphisms of the circle, J. für Math. 347 (1984), 69–133.
- [Hée1] J. Y. Hée, Construction de groupes tordus en théorie de Kac-Moody, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 310 (1990), 77-80.
- [Hée2] _____, Systèmes de racines sur un anneau commutatif totalement ordonné, Geom. Dedicata 37 (1991), 65-102.
- [H] S. Helgason, Differential geometry, Lie groups and symmetric spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [K] V. G. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, Cambridge Univ. Press, London and New York, 1985.
- [KP1] V. G. Kac and D. H. Peterson, Defining relations of certain infinite dimensional groups, Elie Cartan et les mathématiques d'aujourd'hui, Lyon, 1984, Astérisque n° hors série (1985), 165-208.
- [KP2] _____, On geometric invariant theory for infinite dimensional groups, Algebraic groups Utrecht 1986, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 1271, Springer-Verlag, 1987, pp. 109-142.
- [KW] V. G. Kac and S. P. Wang, On automorphisms of Kac-Moody algebras and groups, Adv. in Math. 92 (1992), 129-195.
- [L] F. Levstein, A classification of involutive automorphisms of an affine Kac-Moody algebra, J. Algebra 114 (1988), 489-518.
- [MP] R. V. Moody and A. Pianzola, On infinite root systems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 315 (1989), 661-696.
- [PK] D. H. Peterson and V. G. Kac, Infinite flag varieties and conjugacy theorems, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 80 (1983), 1778-1782.
- [R1] G. Rousseau, Espaces affines symétriques et algèbres affines, Revue de l'Institut Elie Cartan Nancy 11 (1988), 141-174.
- [R2] _____, Formes réelles presque compactes des algèbres de Kac-Moody affines, Revue de l'Institut Elie Cartan Nancy 11 (1988), 175-205.
- [R3] _____, Formes réelles presque déployées des algèbres de Kac-Moody affines, Harmonic Analysis, Luxembourg, 1987, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1359, Springer-Verlag, New York and Berlin, 1988, 252-264.
- [R4] ______, Almost split K-forms of Kac-Moody algebras, Infinite dimensional Lie Algebras and Groups, Marseille, 1988 (V. G. Kac, ed.), Adv. Ser. in Math. Physics, 7, World Scientific, 1989, pp. 70-85.
- [R5] _____, L'immeuble jumelé d'une forme presque déployée d'une algèbre de Kac-Moody, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. 42 (1990), 673-694.

- [S] P. Slodowy, Beyond Kac-Moody algebras and inside, Canad. Math. Soc. Conference Proc. 5 (1986), 361-371.
- [T1] J. Tits, Classification of algebraic semi-simple groups, Algebraic groups and discontinuous subgroups, Boulder, 1965, A.M.S. Symposia IX, pp. 33-62.
- [T2] _____, Groups and group functors attached to Kac-Moody data, Arbeitstagung Bonn 1984 Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, vol. 1111, 1985, pp. 193-223.
- [T3] ____, Uniqueness and presentation of Kac-Moody Groups over fields, J. Algebra 105 (1987), 542-573.
- [T4] _____, Immeubles jumelés, cours au Collège de France, 1988/89 and 1989/90. See also: Twin buildings and groups of Kac-Moody type, groups, combinatorics, geometry, Durham 1990, (Liebech and Sarl, eds.), London Math. Soc., Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 165, Cambridge Univ. Press, London and New York, 1992, pp. 249-286.

Université De Nancy I, Nancy France E-mail address: rougeeau@ieca.u-nancy.fr