

There in the set of the second of the set of the second second second second second second second second second

wie das Q(x, t, a, w) is the site for the first

Decay estimates of solutions of a nonlinearly damped semilinear wave equation

by AISSA GUESMIA (Metz) and SALIM A. MESSAOUDI (Dhahran)

Abstract. We consider an initial boundary value problem for the equation $u_{tt} - \Delta u - \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla u + f(u) + g(u_t) = 0$. We first prove local and global existence results under suitable conditions on f and g. Then we show that weak solutions decay either algebraically or exponentially depending on the rate of growth of g. This result improves and includes earlier decay results established by the authors.

1. Introduction. In [11] Nakao considered the following initial boundary value problem:

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \varrho(u_t) + f(u) = 0 = 0, \qquad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0,$$

1.1)
$$u(x,t) = 0, \qquad x \in \partial \Omega, \ t \ge 0,$$
$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

(

where $\varrho(v) = |v|^{\beta}v, \beta > -1, f(u) = bu|u|^{\alpha}, \alpha, b > 0$, and Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n $(n \ge 1)$, with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. He showed that (1.1) has a unique global weak solution if $0 \le \alpha \le 2/(n-2), n \ge 3$, and a unique global strong solution if $\alpha > 2/(n-2), n \ge 3$ (of course for n = 1 or 2 there is no restriction on α). In addition to global existence the issue of the decay rate was addressed. In both cases, it has been shown that the energy of the solution decays algebraically if $\beta > 0$ and exponentially if $\beta = 0$. This improves an earlier result by the same author [12], where he studied the problem in an abstract setting and established a theorem concerning the decay of the solution energy only for the case $\alpha \le 2/(n-2), n \ge 3$. Later on, in a joint work with Ono [13], this result was extended to the Cauchy problem for the equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \lambda^2(x)u + \varrho(u_t) + f(u) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t > 0,$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B40, 35L55, 35B37.

Key words and phrases: wave equation, local, global, multiplier method, exponential, polynomial decay.

[25]



where $\varrho(u_t)$ behaves like $|u_t|^{\beta}u_t$ and f(u) behaves like $-bu|u|^{\alpha}$. In this case the authors required that the initial data be small enough in the $H^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ norm and of compact support.

Pucci and Serrin [14] discussed the stability of the following problem:

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + Q(x, t, u, u_t) + f(x, u) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0,$$

(1.2) $u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \ t \ge 0,$
 $u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$

and proved that the energy of the solution is a Lyapunov function. Although they did not discuss the decay rate, they did show that in general the energy goes to zero as t approaches infinity. They also considered an important special case of (1.2) when $Q(x,t,u,u_t) = a(t)t^{\alpha}u_t$ and f(x,u) = V(x)u, and showed that the behavior of the solutions depends crucially on the parameter α . If $|\alpha| \leq 1$ then the rest field is asymptotically stable. On the other hand, when $\alpha < -1$ or $\alpha > 1$ there are solutions that do not approach zero or approach nonzero functions $\phi(x)$ as $t \to \infty$.

Messaoudi [10] discussed an initial boundary value problem for the equation

(1.3)
$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + a(1 + |u_t|^{m-2})u_t + bu|u|^{p-2} = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0,$$

where $a, b > 0, m \ge 2, p > 2$, and proved that the energy of the solution decays exponentially. The proof of this result is based on a direct method used in [5] and [6].

In this paper we are concerned with the problem

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u - \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla u + f(u) + g(u_t) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0,$$

$$(1.4) \quad u(x,t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \ t \ge 0,$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where ϕ is a function in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, Ω is a bounded open domain in \mathbb{R}^n with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are two continuous functions satisfying f(0) = g(0) = 0 and

- (H1) $||f(u) f(v)||_2 \le a(u,v) ||\nabla(u-v)||_2$, where a(u,v) is a function depending on the norms of u, v in $H_0^1(\Omega)$,
- (H2) g is an increasing function such that

(1.5)
$$c_1\{|s_1-s_2|^r+|s_1-s_2|^p\} \le |g(s_1)-g(s_2)| \le c_2\{|s_1-s_2|+|s_1-s_2|^p\}$$

(1.6) for some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0, \ 1 \le r \le p$ with $(n-2)p \le n+2.$



Semilinear wave equation

2. Local existence. In this section, we establish local and global existence results for (1.4). First we consider, for v given, the linear problem

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u - \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla u + f(v) + g(u_t) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0$$

$$(2.1) \quad u(x,t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \ t \ge 0,$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where u is the sought solution.

LEMMA 2.1. Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. Then given any v in $C([0,T]; C_0^{\infty}(\Omega))$ and u_0, u_1 in $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, the problem (2.1) has a unique solution u satisfying

(2.2)
$$u \in L^{\infty}((0,T); H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)),$$
$$u_{t} \in L^{\infty}((0,T); H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)),$$
$$u_{tt} \in L^{\infty}((0,T); L^{2}(\Omega)).$$

This lemma is a direct consequence of [7, Chapter 1, Theorem 3.1] (see also [1]).

LEMMA 2.2. Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. Then given any v in $C([0,T]; H_0^1(\Omega))$, u_0 in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, and u_1 in $L^2(\Omega)$, the problem (2.1) has a unique weak solution

(2.3)
$$u \in C([0,T]; H^1_0(\Omega)), u_t \in C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^{p+1}(\Omega \times (0,T)).$$

Moreover,

$$(2.4) \qquad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} [u_t^2 + |\nabla u|^2](x,t) \, dx + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} g(u_t) u_t(x,s) \, dx \, ds$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} [u_1^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2](x) \, dx - \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} f(v) u_t(x,s) \, dx \, ds, \quad \forall t \in [0,T].$$

Proof. We approximate u_0 , u_1 by sequences (u_0^{μ}) , (u_1^{μ}) in $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and v by a sequence (v^{μ}) in $C([0,T]; C_0^{\infty}(\Omega))$. We then consider the set of linear problems

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt}^{\mu} - \Delta u^{\mu} - \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla u^{\mu} + g(u_{t}^{\mu}) + f(v^{\mu}) &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ (2.5) \quad u^{\mu}(x,t) &= 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ u^{\mu}(x,0) &= u_{0}^{\mu}(x), \quad u_{t}^{\mu}(x,0) = u_{1}^{\mu}(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 guarantees the existence of a sequence of unique solutions (u^{μ}) satisfying (2.3). Now we proceed to show that (u^{μ}, u^{μ}_t) is a Cauchy sequence in

$$\mathbf{Y} := \{ w : w \in C([0,T]; H^1_0(\Omega)), \, w_t \in C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p(\Omega \times (0,T)) \}.$$



For this purpose we set $U:=u^{\mu}-u^{
u}, \quad V:=v^{\mu}-v^{
u}.$

It is straightforward to see that U satisfies

$$U_{tt} - \Delta U - \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla U + g(u_t^{\mu}) - g(u_t^{\nu}) + f(v^{\mu}) - f(v^{\nu}) = 0,$$

$$U(x, t) = 0 \qquad x \in \partial Q, t > 0$$

(2.6)
$$U(x,0) = U_0(x) = u_0^{\mu}(x) - u_0^{\nu}(x),$$
$$U_t(x,0) = U_1(x) = u_1^{\mu}(x) - u_1^{\nu}(x).$$

We multiply the first equation of (2.6) by $e^{\phi(x)}U_t$ and integrate over $\Omega \times (0, t)$ to get

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} [U_t^2 + |\nabla U|^2](x,t) \, dx + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} (g(u_t^{\mu}) - g(u_t^{\nu})) U_t(x,s) \, dx \, ds$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} [U_1^2 + |\nabla U_0|^2](x) \, dx + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} [f(v^{\mu}) - f(v^{\nu})] U_t(x,s) \, dx \, ds.$$

By using (H1) and the fact that g is increasing, (2.7) yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} [U_t^2 + |\nabla U|^2](x, t) \, dx \\
\leq \int_{\Omega} [U_1^2 + |\nabla U_0|^2](x) \, dx + \Gamma \int_{\Omega}^t ||U_t(\cdot, s)||_2 ||\nabla V(\cdot, s)||_2 \, ds,$$

where Γ is a generic positive constant depending on C, the supremum and the infimum of $e^{\phi(x)}$, and the radius of the ball in $C([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega))$ containing v^{μ} and v^{ν} . Young's inequality then gives

$$\max_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{\Omega} [U_t^2 + |\nabla U|^2](x, t) \, dx \le \Gamma \int_{\Omega} [U_1^2 + |\nabla U_0|^2](x) \, dx \\ + \Gamma T \max_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{\Omega} [V_t^2 + |\nabla V|^2](x, t) \, dx.$$

Since (ϕ^{μ}) is Cauchy in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and in $L^2(\Omega)$, and (v^{μ}) is Cauchy in $C([0,T]; H_0^1(\Omega))$ we conclude that (u^{μ}, u_t^{μ}) is Cauchy in $C([0,T]; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$. To show that u_t is Cauchy in $L^{p+1}(\Omega \times (0,T))$ we use (H2) to obtain

(2.8)
$$||U_t||_{L^{p+1}(\Omega \times (0,T))}^{p+1} \le C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} (g(u_t^{\mu}) - g(u_t^{\nu})) U_t(x,s) \, dx \, ds,$$

which yields, by virtue of (2.7), $\|U_t\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega \times (0,T))}^{p+1} \leq \Gamma \int_{\Omega} [U_1^2 + |\nabla U_0|^2](x) \, dx + \Gamma \int_0^T \|U_t(\cdot,s)\|_2 \|\nabla V(\cdot,s)\|_2 \, ds.$



28

Therefore (u_t^{μ}) is Cauchy in $L^{p+1}(\Omega \times (0,T))$, hence (u^{μ}, u_t^{μ}) is Cauchy in **Y**. We now show that the limit (u, u_t) is a weak solution of (2.1) in the sense of [6]. That is, for each θ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ we must show that

(2.9)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u_t(x,t)\theta(x) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x,t) \cdot \nabla \theta(x) \, dx \\ - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla u)\theta(x) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} [f(u) + g(u_t)]\theta(x) \, dx = 0,$$

for almost all t in (0, T). To establish this we multiply equation (2.5) by θ and integrate over Ω to obtain

(2.10)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u_t^{\mu}(x,t)\theta(x) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u^{\mu}(x,t) \cdot \nabla \theta(x) \, dx \\ - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla u^{\mu})\theta(x) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} [f(u^{\mu}) + g(u_t^{\mu})]\theta(x) \, dx = 0.$$

As $\mu \to \infty$, we see that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u^{\mu}(x,t) \cdot \nabla \theta(x) \, dx \to \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x,t) \cdot \nabla \theta(x) \, dx,$$
$$\int_{\Omega} f(u^{\mu})\theta(x) \, dx \to \int_{\Omega} f(u)\theta(x) \, dx \quad \text{in } C([0,T])$$

and $\int_{\Omega} g(u_t^{\mu})\theta(x) dx \to \int_{\Omega} g(u_t)\theta(x) dx$ in $L^1((0,T))$. Thus $\int_{\Omega} u_t(x,t)\theta(x) dx$ [= $\lim \int_{\Omega} u_t^{\mu}(x,t)\theta(x) dx$] is an absolutely continuous function on [0, T], so (2.9) holds for almost all t in [0,T]. For the energy equality (2.4), we start from the energy equality for u^{μ} and proceed in the same way to establish it for u. To prove uniqueness we take v^{μ} and v^{ν} and let u^{μ} and u^{ν} be the corresponding solutions of (2.1). It is clear that $U = u^{\mu} - u^{\nu}$ satisfies

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} [U_t^2 + |\nabla U|^2](x,t) \, dx + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} (g(u_t^{\mu}) - g(u_t^{\nu})) U_t(x,s) \, dx \, ds \\ + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} [f(v^{\mu}) - f(v^{\nu})] U_t(x,s) \, dx \, ds = 0.$$

If $v^{\mu} = v^{\nu}$ then (2.11) shows that U = 0, which implies uniqueness. This completes the proof.

REMARK 2.1. Note that condition (1.6) on p is needed for $\int_{\Omega} g(u_t^{\mu})\theta(x) dx$ to make sense.

THEOREM 2.3. Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. Then given any u_0 in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and any u_1 in $L^2(\Omega)$, the problem (1.4) has a unique weak solution u satisfying (2.3) for T small enough.



Proof. For M > 0 large and T > 0, we define Z(M, T) to be the class of all functions w in \mathbf{Y} satisfying the initial conditions of (1.4) and

(2.12)
$$\max_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{\Omega} [w_t^2 + |\nabla w|^2](x,t) \, dx + \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega} |w_t(x,s)|^{p+1} \, dx \, ds \le M^2.$$

Z(M,T) is nonempty if M is large enough. This follows from the trace theorem (see [8]). We also define the map h from Z(M,T) into \mathbf{Y} by u := h(v), where u is the unique solution of the linear problem (2.1). We would like to show, for M sufficiently large and T sufficiently small, that h is a contraction from Z(M,T) into itself.

By using the energy equality (2.4), (H1) and (H2) we get

$$(2.13) \qquad \int_{\Omega} [u_t^2 + |\nabla u|^2](x,t) \, dx + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |u_t(x,s)|^{p+1} \, dx \, ds$$
$$\leq C \int_{\Omega} [u_1^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2](x) \, dx + C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} |f(v)| \, |u_t|(x,s) \, dx \, ds$$
$$\leq C \int_{\Omega} [u_1^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2](x) \, dx + C \int_{0}^{t} a(u,0) \|\nabla v\|_2 \|u_t\|_2, \quad \forall t \in [0,T],$$

and consequently

$$\|u\|_{\mathbf{Y}}^2 \leq C \int_{\Omega} [u_1^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2](x) \, dx + CKT \|u\|_{\mathbf{Y}},$$

where K is a constant depending on M. By choosing M large enough and T sufficiently small, (2.12) is satisfied; hence $u \in Z(M,T)$. This shows that h maps Z(M,T) into itself.

Next we verify that h is a contraction. Set $U = u - \overline{u}$ and $V = v - \overline{v}$, where u = h(v) and $\overline{u} = h(\overline{v})$. It is straightforward to see that U satisfies

 $U_{tt} - \Delta U - \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla U + g(u_t)u_t - g(\overline{u}_t)\overline{u}_t + f(v) - f(\overline{v}) = 0,$ (2.14) $U(x,t) = 0, \qquad x \in \partial \Omega, \ t > 0,$ $U(x,0) = U_t(x,0) = 0, \qquad x \in \Omega.$

By multiplying the first equation of (2.14) by $e^{\phi(x)}U_t$ and integrating over $\Omega \times (0, t)$, we arrive at

(2.15)
$$\int_{\Omega} [U_t^2 + |\nabla U|^2](x,t) \, dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} [g(u_t)u_t - g(\overline{u}_t)\overline{u}_t] U_t(x,s) \, dx \, ds$$

 $\leq C \iint_{0 \Omega} |f(v) - f(\overline{v})| |U_t|(x,s) \, dx \, ds.$ By using (H1) and (H2) we obtain



$$\int_{\Omega} [U_t^2 + |\nabla U|^2](x,t) \, dx + \int_{0}^t \int_{\Omega} |U_t(x,s)|^{p+1} \, dx \, ds$$
$$\leq C \int_{0}^t a(v,\overline{v}) \|U_t\|_2 \|\nabla V\|_2(\cdot,s) \, ds.$$

Thus we have

(2.16)

 $\|U\|_{\mathbf{Y}}^2 \le CTK \|V\|_{\mathbf{Y}}^2.$

By choosing T so small that CTK < 1, (2.16) shows that h is a contraction. The contraction mapping theorem then guarantees the existence of a unique u satisfying u = h(u). Obviously it is a solution of (1.4). The uniqueness of this solution follows from inequality (2.15). The proof is complete.

3. Global existence and decay. In this section, we are interested in the precise decay rate of an equivalent energy of the solution of (1.4). We define the equivalent energy of the solution by the formula

(3.1)
$$E(t) = \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} [u_t^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + 2F(u)] \, dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

where

(3.2)
$$F(s) = \int_{0} f(\sigma) \, d\sigma, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We suppose that

(3.3)
$$F(s) \ge -a|s|^2, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$$

for some

$$0 \le a < \frac{1}{2c_0},$$

where c_0 is the positive constant satisfying (Sobolev embedding)

(3.5)
$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \le c_0 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad \forall u \in H^1_0(\Omega).$$

REMARK 3.1. Conditions (3.3) and (3.4) ensure the following inequality: (3.6) $\|(u, u_t)\|_{H^1(Q) \times L^2(Q)}^2 \le kE(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$

where
$$k = 1/(m(1-2ac_0)) > 0$$
 and $m = \inf_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)}$. Indeed, (3.3) and (3.5)

$$\begin{split} \text{imply that} \\ E(t) &\geq \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} [u_t^2 + |\nabla u|^2 - 2a|u|^2] \, dx \geq \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} [u_t^2 + (1 - 2ac_0) |\nabla u|^2] \, dx \\ &\geq m(1 - 2ac_0) \int_{\Omega} [u_t^2 + |\nabla u|^2] \, dx = m(1 - 2ac_0) \|(u, u_t)\|_{H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)}^2, \end{split}$$
which gives (3.6).



Using the first equation of (1.4) and the boundary condition, we can easily prove that the energy E satisfies

(3.7)
$$E'(t) = -2 \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} u_t g(u_t) \, dx \le 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

since g is increasing; hence the energy is nonincreasing. We take $0 \le S < T < \infty$ and integrate (3.7) over [S,T] to get

(3.8)
$$\int_{S\Omega}^{T} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} u_t g(u_t) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \left[E(S) - E(T) \right].$$

THEOREM 3.1. Assume that (H1), (H2), (3.2)–(3.4) hold. Then given any u_0 in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and any u_1 in $L^2(\Omega)$, the solution of problem (1.4) is global.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_t^2 + |\nabla u|^2)(x,t) \, dx$$

remains bounded independently of t. To achieve this, we multiply (1.4) by $e^{\phi}u_t$, integrate over $\Omega \times (0, t)$ and use the boundary conditions to obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} [u_t^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + 2F(u)](x,t) \, dx + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} g(u_t) u_t(x,s) \, dx \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} [u_1^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2 + 2F(u_0)](x) \, dx, \quad \forall t \in [0,T]. \end{split}$$

By using (3.6), we arrive at

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_t^2 + |\nabla u|^2)(x, t) \, dx$$

$$\leq kE(t) \leq k \int_{\Omega} e^{\phi(x)} [u_1^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2 + 2F(u_0)](x) \, dx, \quad \forall t \ge 0$$

This completes the proof.

We now establish some decay estimates of the energy under hypotheses (H1), (H2), (3.2)–(3.4), and

(H3) There exists a constant 0 < b < 1 such that

 $(3.9) 2bF(s) \le sf(s), \forall s \in \mathbb{R}^+.$

REMARK 3.2. If f is increasing then (3.2) and (3.9) are satisfied with a = 0 and b = 1/2.

We also note that (H2) and the fact that g(0) = 0 yield

$$(3.10) \quad c_1\{|s|^r + |s|^p\} \le |g(s)| \le c_2\{|s| + |s|^p\}.$$



32

THEOREM 3.2. Under hypotheses (H1)–(H3) and (3.2)–(3.4) there exist constants $\omega, c > 0$ such that

(3.11) $E(t) \le E(0)e^{1-\omega t}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$

if r = 1, and

(3.12) $E(t) \le c(1+t)^{-2/(r-1)}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$

if r > 1.

REMARK 3.3. If $\phi \equiv 0$ and $g(s) = \alpha s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha > 0$ (that is, r = p = 1), then we find the results obtained in [9]. On the other hand, if $g(s) = \alpha(1 + |s|^{m-2})s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with m > 2 (that is, p = m - 1 and r = 1) then we obtain the results of [10].

REMARK 3.4. It is possible to weaken the growth assumption (3.10) as was done for elasticity systems in [2], and for the Petrovsky system in [3]. In any case, the proof of our estimates (3.11) and (3.12) is similar to those in the two papers.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We are going to prove that the energy E satisfies, for any $0 \le S < T < \infty$,

(3.13)
$$\int_{S}^{I} E^{(r+1)/2}(t) dt \le c E(S).$$

Here and in what follows we shall denote by c various positive constants, by ε various positive constants small enough, and by c_{ε} various positive constants depending on ε . The inequality (3.13) gives (3.11) and (3.12) (see [2, Proposition 3.7]).

We multiply the first equation of (1.4) by $E^{(r-1)/2}(t)e^{\phi(x)}u$ and integrate over $\Omega \times [S,T]$ to get

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E^{(r-1)/2}(t) e^{\phi(x)} [u_{t}^{2} + |\nabla u|^{2} + uf(u)] \, dx \, dt$$
$$= \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E^{(r-1)/2}(t) e^{\phi(x)} [2u_{t}^{2} - ug(u_{t})] \, dx \, dt$$
$$+ \frac{r-1}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E^{(r-3)/2}(t) E'(t) e^{\phi(x)} uu_{t} \, dx \, dt - \left[\int_{\Omega} E^{(r-1)/2}(t) e^{\phi(x)} uu_{t} \, dx \, dt\right]_{S}^{T}.$$

The last two terms of (3.14) can be easily majorized by $cE^{(r+1)/2}(S)$ (see [2] and [3]). We now follow the proof given in [4]. We set 1/q = 1 - p/(p+1), $\Omega^+ = \{x \in \Omega : |u_t| > 1\}$ and $\Omega^- = \Omega \setminus \Omega^+$. We apply the Schwarz and Young inequalities and the embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset L^q(\Omega)$ to get



$$\begin{split} - \int_{S} \int_{\Omega^{+}} E^{(r-1)/2}(t) e^{\phi(x)} ug(u_{t}) dx \\ &\leq c \int_{S}^{T} E^{(r-1)/2}(t) \Big(\int_{\Omega^{+}} |u|^{q} dx \Big)^{1/q} \Big(\int_{\Omega^{+}} |g(u_{t})|^{1+1/p} dx \Big)^{p/(p+1)} dt \\ &\leq c \int_{S}^{T} E^{(r-1)/2}(t) \Big[\varepsilon \int_{\Omega^{+}} |u|^{q} dx + c_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega^{+}} |g(u_{t})|^{1+1/p} dx \Big] dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon c \int_{S}^{T} E^{(r+q-1)/2}(t) dt + c_{\varepsilon} E^{(r-1)/2}(S) \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega^{+}} e^{\phi(x)} u_{t} g(u_{t}) dx dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon c \int_{S}^{T} E^{(r+1)/2}(t) dt + c_{\varepsilon} [E^{(r+1)/2}(S) - E^{(r+1)/2}(T)]. \end{split}$$
 On the other hand, using the growth assumption (3.10), we have
$$- \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega^{-}} E^{(r-1)/2}(t) e^{\phi(x)} ug(u_{t}) dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon c \int_{S}^{T} E^{(r-1)/2}(t) \left[\varepsilon \int_{\Omega^{-}} u^{2} dx + c_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega^{-}} g^{2}(u_{t}) dx \right] dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon c \int_{S}^{T} E^{(r-1)/2}(t) \int_{\Omega^{-}} e^{\phi(x)} |\nabla u|^{2} dx dt + c_{\varepsilon} E^{(r-1)/2}(S) \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega^{-}} e^{\phi(x)} u_{t} g(u_{t}) dx dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon c \int_{S}^{T} E^{(r+1)/2}(t) dt + c_{\varepsilon} [E^{(r+1)/2}(S) - E^{(r+1)/2}(T)]. \end{split}$$

Adding the last two inequalities and substituting the result into the righthand side of (3.14) and using (3.9), we obtain

(3.15)
$$(b - \varepsilon c) \int_{S}^{T} E^{(r+1)/2}(t) dt$$

 $\leq c E^{(r+1)/2}(S) + 2 \int_{S}^{T} \int_{O} E^{(r-1)/2}(t) e^{\phi(x)} u_t^2 dx dt.$

Using Young's inequality once again we have, by (3.8) and (3.10),

$$2\int_{S} \int_{\Omega^{+}} E^{(r-1)/2}(t) e^{\phi(x)} u_{t}^{2} dx dt \leq c E^{(r-1)/2}(S) \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega^{+}} e^{\phi(x)} u_{t}g(u_{t}) dx dt$$
$$\leq c [E^{(r+1)/2}(S) - E^{(r+1)/2}(T)].$$



T

Semilinear wave equation

In the same way, we get T is closed as a closed of the second second

$$2\int_{S} \int_{\Omega^{-}} E^{(r-1)/2}(t) e^{\phi(x)} u_t^2 \, dx \, dt \le c \int_{S} \int_{\Omega^{-}} E^{(r-1)/2}(t) (e^{\phi(x)} u_t g(u_t))^{2/(r+1)} \, dx \, dt$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega^{-}} E^{(r+1)/2}(t) dt + c_{\varepsilon} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega^{-}} e^{\phi(x)} u_{t}g(u_{t}) dx dt$$
$$\leq \varepsilon \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E^{(r+1)/2}(t) dt + c_{\varepsilon} [E(S) - E(T)].$$

Substituting the sum of these two estimates into the right-hand side of (3.15) and choosing ε small enough we obtain

$$\int_{S} \int_{\Omega} E^{(r+1)/2}(t) \, dt \le c[1 + E^{(r-1)/2}(0)]E(S) \le cE(S),$$

and (3.13) follows.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank an anonymous referee for his valuable suggestions.

References

- V. Barbu, Analysis and Control of Nonlinear Infinite Dimensional Systems, Academic Press, New York, 1993.
- [2] A. Guesmia, Existence globale et stabilisation interne non linéaire d'un système d'élasticité, Portugal. Math. 55 (1998), 333-347.
- [3] —, Existence globale et stabilisation interne non linéaire d'un système de Petrovsky, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 5 (1998), 583–594.
- [4] —, Energy decay for a damped nonlinear coupled system, J. Math. Anal. Ap₁, 239 (1999), 38–48.
- [5] M. Kirane and N. Tartar, A memory type boundary stabilization of a mildly damped wave equation, Electr. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 6 (1999), 1–7.
- [6] A. Komornik and E. Zuazua, A direct method for the boundary stabilization of the wave equation, J. Math. Pures Appl. 69 (1990), 33–54.
- J.-L. Lions, Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non-linéaires, Dunod and Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [8] J.-L. Lions et E. Magenes, Problèmes aux limites non-homogènes et applications, Vols. 1 & 2, Dunod, Paris, 1968.
- S. A. Messaoudi, Energy decay of solutions of a semilinear wave equation, Int. J. Appl. Math. 9 (2000), 1037–1048.
- [10] —, Decay of the solution energy for a nonlinearly damped wave equation, Arab. J. Sci. Engl. Sect. A Sci. 26 (2001), 63–68.
- [11] M. Nakao, Remarks on the existence and uniqueness of global decaying solutions of the nonlinear dissipative wave equations, Math. Z. 206 (1991), 265–275.
- [12] —, Decay of solutions of some nonlinear evolution equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 60 (1977), 542–549.



- [13] M. Nakao and K. Ono, Global existence to the Cauchy problem of the semilinear wave equation with a nonlinear dissipation, Funkcial. Ekvac. 38 (1995), 417-431.
- [14] P. Pucci and J. Serrin, Asymptotic stability for nonautonomous dissipative wave systems, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 49 (1996), 177-216.

Département de Mathématiques UFR MIM, Université de Metz Ile de Saulcy 57045 Metz, France E-mail: guesmia@math.univ-metz.fr

Mathematical Sciences Department KFUPM Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia E-mail: messaoud@kfupm.edu.sa

Reçu par la Rédaction le 6.10.2003 Révisé le 13.1.2005 (1473)

choi (X, S) bus

A ekaiswiedgreents - The authors through an mongraphices of the sowiaidable may servore.

solution of the

(a) I Make a description good Classified of 20 or one of the A contract Make Strategies and design F. and P. and Michael (1990).

- g "As" behav de "Benefaser geland Stradeanen is met mer dan Selemen fan Benefaser Arbeidenen Contacial Konte 'n 1969en als del
- (a) A second set of consists on product common second for the form. The second construction of the second sec
- (19-20) The data static methy and N Construction device for an international static production of the static static static static context.
- (1) C. Agrantico I. Harbor and Organiz-Heyning Olderic Signation of the definition of the end of the ended wind in condition. A dimensional for the transform and Mathematical Communications of the dimensional data dataset. (2), 51.
- [7] S. L. LECKE, Readowing the result of the second of the Matrix's start for the field of the second start of the second s
- Eq. (1) L. Corrections, eq. (35) "Managements, (1) with integer that it details in (2). The test area in a predimention of the Weylow D. Device of the Provide 10005.
- (a) A. Masamaniki, America Weak ad advection of a concerning masses presented with addition logical Manufacture in antibality. In 479-1411462, 2019. Doi: 10.1003/2019. Doi: 10.1003/2019.
- [15] ..., Dynam of the set-stars in an in a machinering densities inter contributed in the SEC Base. A to 1 26 (2014), 63–63.
- (4) Matheway Managerika on Myle Rechtleheigen und Der sonnenen sychologik Matheway (2014) An enterheisen dereingenen streite einen die Gauda Z. 2006 (2014). 2019 (2017) 2019.
- [13] J. Bingan of vehicitation and periodences. Increments candidates. J. Math. Proc. Athlet. 69 (1997), 342-543.



36